

Dear Author,

Here are the proofs of your article.

- You can submit your corrections **online** or by **fax**.
- For **online** submission please insert your corrections in the online correction form. Always indicate the line number to which the correction refers.
- Please return your proof together with the **permission to publish** confirmation.
- For **fax** submission, please ensure that your corrections are clearly legible. Use a fine black pen and write the correction in the margin, not too close to the edge of the page.
- Remember to note the journal title, article number, and your name when sending your response via e-mail, fax or regular mail.
- **Check** the metadata sheet to make sure that the header information, especially author names and the corresponding affiliations are correctly shown.
- Check the questions that may have arisen during copy editing and insert your answers/ corrections.
- **Check** that the text is complete and that all figures, tables and their legends are included. Also check the accuracy of special characters, equations, and electronic supplementary material if applicable. If necessary refer to the *Edited manuscript*.
- The publication of inaccurate data such as dosages and units can have serious consequences. Please take particular care that all such details are correct.
- Please **do not** make changes that involve only matters of style. We have generally introduced forms that follow the journal's style. Substantial changes in content, e.g., new results, corrected values, title and authorship are not allowed without the approval of the responsible editor. In such a case, please contact the Editorial Office and return his/her consent together with the proof.
- If we do not receive your corrections within 48 hours, we will send you a reminder.

Please note

Your article will be published **Online First** approximately one week after receipt of your corrected proofs. This is the **official first publication** citable with the DOI. **Further changes are, therefore, not possible**.

After online publication, subscribers (personal/institutional) to this journal will have access to the complete article via the DOI using the URL: <u>http://dx.doi.org/[DOI]</u>.

If you would like to know when your article has been published online, take advantage of our free alert service. For registration and further information go to: <u>www.springerlink.com</u>.

Due to the electronic nature of the procedure, the manuscript and the original figures will only be returned to you on special request. When you return your corrections, please inform us, if you would like to have these documents returned.

The **printed version** will follow in a forthcoming issue.

Fax to: +44 870 622 1325 (UK) or +44 870 762 8807 (UK)



To: Springer Correction Team

- 6&7, 5th Street, Radhakrishnan Salai, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India 600004
 Re: Computational Mechanics DOI:10.1007/s00466-008-0348-1
- Desingularized meshless method for solving Laplace equation with over-specified boundary conditions using regularization techniques

Authors: K.H. Chen · J.H. Kao · J.T. Chen · K.L. Wu

Permission to publish

I have checked the proofs of my article and

- I have no corrections. The article is ready to be published without changes.
- **I** have a few corrections. I am enclosing the following pages:
- I have made many corrections. Enclosed is the complete article.

Date / signature _____

Metadata of the article that will be visualized in OnlineFirst

Please note: Images will appear in color online but will be printed in black and white.					
ArticleTitle	Desingularized meshless method for solving Laplace equation with over-specified boundary conditions using regularization techniques				
Article Sub-Title					
Article CopyRight - Year	Springer-Verlag 2008 (This will be the copyright line in the final PDF)				
Journal Name	Computational Mechanics	chanics			
Corresponding Author	Family Name	Chen			
	Particle				
	Given Name	К. Н.			
	Suffix				
	Division	Department of Civil Engineering			
	Organization	National Ilan University			
	Address	26047, Ilan, Taiwan			
	Email	khc6177@niu.edu.tw			
Author	Family Name	Kao			
	Particle				
	Given Name	J. H.			
	Suffix				
	Division	Department of Hydraulic and Ocean Engineering			
	Organization	National Cheng Kung University			
	Address	70101, Tainan, Taiwan			
	Email				
Author	Family Name	Chen			
	Particle				
	Given Name	J. T.			
	Suffix				
	Division	Department of Harbor and River Engineering			
	Organization	National Taiwan Ocean University			
	Address	20224, Keelung, Taiwan			
	Email				
Author	Family Name	Wu			
	Particle				
	Given Name	K. L.			
	Suffix				
	Division	Department of Civil Engineering			
	Organization	National Taiwan University			
	Address	10617, Taipei, Taiwan			
	Email				
	Received	29 November 2007			
Schedule	Revised	_, _ ,			

	Accepted	17 October 2008
Abstract	The desingularized meshless with specified boundary cond with the problems with over-s problem is remedied by using decomposition method. The r comparing with the results of results using Tikhonov methor examples.	method (DMM) has been successfully used to solve boundary-value problems itions (a direct problem) numerically. In this paper, the DMM is applied to deal pecified boundary conditions. The accompanied ill-posed problem in the inverse the Tikhonov regularization method and the truncated singular value numerical evidences are given to verify the accuracy of the solutions after analytical solutions through several numerical examples. The comparisons of d and truncated singular value decomposition method are also discussed in the
Keywords (separated by '-')	Desingularized meshless met Inverse problem - Subtracting	hod - Tikhonov method - Truncated singular value decomposition method - g and adding-back technique
Footnote Information		

ORIGINAL PAPER

Desingularized meshless method for solving Laplace equation with over-specified boundary conditions using regularization techniques

K. H. Chen · J. H. Kao · J. T. Chen · K. L. Wu

Received: 29 November 2007 / Accepted: 17 October 2008 © Springer-Verlag 2008

Abstract The desingularized meshless method (DMM) has been successfully used to solve boundary-value problems 2 with specified boundary conditions (a direct problem) numerз ically. In this paper, the DMM is applied to deal with the problems with over-specified boundary conditions. The 5 accompanied ill-posed problem in the inverse problem is 6 remedied by using the Tikhonov regularization method and 7 the truncated singular value decomposition method. The numerical evidences are given to verify the accuracy of the 9 solutions after comparing with the results of analytical solu-10 tions through several numerical examples. The comparisons 11 of results using Tikhonov method and truncated singular 12 value decomposition method are also discussed in the 13 examples. 14

¹⁵ Keywords Desingularized meshless method ·

- ¹⁶ Tikhonov method · Truncated singular value decomposition
- 17 method · Inverse problem · Subtracting and adding-back

18 technique

K. H. Chen (⊠) Department of Civil Engineering, National Ilan University, Ilan 26047, Taiwan e-mail: khc6177@niu.edu.tw

J. H. Kao

Department of Hydraulic and Ocean Engineering, National Cheng Kung University, Tainan 70101, Taiwan

J. T. Chen

Department of Harbor and River Engineering, National Taiwan Ocean University, Keelung 20224, Taiwan

K. L. Wu

Department of Civil Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 10617, Taiwan

1 Introduction

The boundary-value problems subjected to the overspecified boundary conditions (B.C.s) can be viewed as one of the inverse problems. The unreasonable results of traditional numerical methods often occur in the inverse problems undergoing the measured and contaminated errors on the over-specified B.C.s because of the ill-posed behavior in the linear algebraic system [4, 17]. Mathematically speaking, the influence matrix in the inverse problem is ill-posed since the solution is very sensitive to the given data. Such a divergent problem could be avoided by using regularization methods [1,2,4,7,15,18,19,21–24]. For examples, the truncated singular value decomposition technique (TSVD) [10,11,17, 19], the zeroth order and first order techniques of Tikhonov regularization technique [1,2,9,12,13,19,20] have been applied to deal with divergent problems. The three techniques can obtain a convergence solution more precisely and reasonably. The numerical methods combined with the regularization techniques of the TSVD method and Tikhonov method, respectively [1,2,10,17,25], had been successfully applied to overcome the ill-posed problem of the Laplace equation. In this paper, the desingularized meshless method (DMM) in conjunction with the two regularization techniques is employed to solve the inverse problem. To obtain a better regularization method, the comparison of two regularization techniques is made through several numerical examples.

For the inverse problem, the influence matrix is often 45 ill-posed such that the regularization techniques which 46 regularize the influence matrix are necessary. The TSVD can 47 alleviate the ill-posed behavior of the solution prone to diver-48 gence by the input data errors by choosing an appropriate 49 truncated number, *i*. Similarly, the Tikhonov regularization 50 technique transforms into a well-posed one by choosing an 51 appropriate parameter for λ [22]. An appropriate truncated 52

Deringer

💢 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

37 38

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

39

40

41

42

43

100

number (or parameter) can be determined according to a 53 compromise point between regularization errors (due to data 54 smoothing) and perturbation errors (due to noise disturbance) 55 by implementing the L2 norm [4,11]. The L2 norm deter-56 mines the optimal value of λ (or *i*) which will be employed 57 to provide the compromise point and will be elaborated on 58 later. But we are well aware that many real problems usually 59 have no analytical solution. To find out the optimal solu-60 tion reasonably, it is needed to employ the error criterion 61 technique in the case of no exact solution. An alternative 62 technique, called L-curve technique [1,14] is introduced. It 63 is implemented in case 3. 64

During the last decade, scientific researchers have paid 65 attention to the method of fundamental solutions (MFS) for 66 solving engineering problems [3,6,8,16,25], in which the 67 mesh or element is free. The DMM is one kind of modified 68 MFS and has been applied to solve some potential problems of elliptic operators [3,5–7,14,16,25,26]. By employing the 70 desingularization technique of subtracting and adding-back 71 technique to regularize the singularity and hypersingularity 72 of the kernel functions [26], the proposed method can dis-73 tribute the observation and source points on the coincident 74 locations of the real boundary and still maintain the spirit 75 of the MFS. Therefore, the DMM provides a significant and 76 promising alternative to dominant numerical methods such as 77 the FEM and BEM. Since neither domain nor surface mesh-78 ing is required for the meshless methods, they could be more 79 attractive for engineers to use. 80

In this paper, we will employ the DMM in conjunction with the TSVD method and the zeroth order and first order techniques of Tikhonov regularization method to circumvent the ill-posed problems. The results of the examples contaminated with artificial noises on the over-specified B. C. are given to illustrate the validity of the proposed technique.

87 2 Formulation

⁸⁸ 2.1 Governing equation subject to over-specified B.C.s

The inverse problem for the Laplace equation subject to overspecified B.C.s as shown in Fig. 1 can be modeled by:

91 $\nabla^2 \phi(x) = 0, \quad x \in D,$ (1)

subjected to the B. C. on B_1 as

where ∇^2 is the Laplacian operator, *D* is the domain of interest, $\psi(x) = \partial \phi(x) / \partial n_x$ in which n_x is the normal vector at *x*, *B*₁ is the known boundary (*B*₁) of *B* in which *B* is



Fig. 1 Problem sketch for the inverse Laplace problem

the whole boundary which consists of boundary (B_1) with specified BCs, and the boundary (B_2) with unknown BCs.

- 2.2 Methods of the solution
- 2.2.1 Review of conventional method of fundamental 101 solutions 102

By employing the radial basis function (RBF) concept 1_{103} [6–8, 16], the representation of the solution for interior problem can be approximated in terms of the strengths α_j of the singularities s_j as 1_{104}

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+M} A(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j,$$
(4) 107

$$\psi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+M} B(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j,$$
(5) 108

where $A(s_j, x_i)$ is RBF, $B(s_j, x_i) = \partial A(s_j, x_i)/\partial n_{x_i}, \alpha_j$ is the *j*th unknown coefficient (strength of the singularity), s_j is the *j*th source point (singularity), x_i is the *i*th observation point. The indexes, *N* and *M*, are numbers of the boundary points on B_1 and B_2 , respectively. The chosen RBFs of Eqs. 4 and 5 in this paper are the double-layer potentials in the potential theory as

$$A(s_j, x_i) = \frac{-\langle (x_i - s_j), n_j \rangle}{r_{ij}^2},$$
(6) 116

$$B(s_j, x_i) = \frac{2\langle (x_i - s_j), n_j \rangle \langle (x_i - s_j), \overline{n_i} \rangle}{r_{ij}^4} - \frac{\langle n_j, \overline{n_i} \rangle}{r_{ij}^2}, \qquad 117$$

where \langle , \rangle is the inner product of two vectors, r_{ij} is $|s_j - x_i|$, 119 n_j is the normal vector at s_j , and $\overline{n_i}$ is the normal vector 120 at x_i .

<u>Springer</u>

😰 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

When the collocation point x_i approaches to the source 122 point s_i , Eqs. 4 and 5 become singular. Equations 4 and 5 123 for the interior problems need to be regularized by using the 124 subtracting and adding-back technique [5,26] as follows: 125

in which 128

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+M} A^{(E)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_i = 0, \quad x_i \in B,$$
(9)

where the superscript (I) and (E) denotes the inward and 130 outward normal vectors, respectively. The detailed deriva-131 tion of Eq. 9 had been given in the Ref. [26]. Therefore, we 132 can obtain 133

$$\phi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} A^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{N+M} A^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{m=1}^{N+M} A^{(I)}(s_m, x_i) - A^{(I)}(s_i, x_i) \right] \alpha_i, \quad x_i \in B.$$

$$(10)$$

Similarly, the boundary flux is obtained as 137

138
$$\psi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N+M} B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j$$

 $-\sum_{i=1}^{N+M} B^{(E)}(s_j, x_i)\alpha_i, \quad x_i \in B,$ 139

in which 140

141
$$\sum_{j=1}^{N+M} B^{(E)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_i = 0, \quad x_i \in B.$$
 (12)

The detailed derivation of Eq. 12 had been demonstrated in 142 the Ref. [26]. Therefore, we can obtain 143

144
$$\psi(x_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j + \sum_{j=i+1}^{N+M} B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) \alpha_j$$

145 $-\left[\sum_{m=1}^{N+M} B^{(I)}(s_m, x_i) - B^{(I)}(s_i, x_i)\right] \alpha_i, \quad x_i \in B.$
146 (13)

According to the dependence of the normal vectors for 147 inner and outer boundaries [26], their relationships are 148

$$\begin{cases} A^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) = -A^{(E)}(s_j, x_i), & i \neq j \\ A^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) = A^{(E)}(s_j, x_i), & i = j \end{cases}$$
(14) 149

$$\begin{cases} B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) = B^{(E)}(s_j, x_i), & i \neq j \\ B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i) = B^{(E)}(s_j, x_i), & i = j \end{cases}$$
(15) 150

where the left-hand and right-hand sides of the equal sign in 151 Eqs. 14 and 15 denote the kernels for observation and source 152 point with the inward and outward normal vectors, respec-153 tively. 154

By using the proposed technique, the singular terms in 155 Eqs. 4 and 5 have been transformed into regular terms 156 $\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^{N+M} A^{(I)}(s_m, x_i) - A^{(I)}(s_i, x_i) \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } -\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{m=1}^{N+M} B^{(I)} \\ (s_m, x_i) - B^{(I)}(s_i, x_i) \end{bmatrix} \text{ in Eqs. 10 and 13, respectively. The}$ 157 158 terms of $\sum_{m=1}^{N+M} A^{(I)}(s_m, x_i)$ and $\sum_{m=1}^{N+M} B^{(I)}(s_m, x_i)$ are the adding-back terms and the terms of $A^{(I)}(s_i, x_i)$ and 159 160 $B^{(I)}(s_i, x_i)$ are the subtracting terms in two brackets for 161 the special treatment technique. After using the abovemen-162 tioned method of regularization of subtracting and adding-163 back technique [5,26], we have removed the singularity and 164 hypersingularity of the kernel functions. 165

2.2.2 Derivation of diagonal coefficients of influence 166 matrices 167

The following linear algebraic system can be derived after 168 collocating N observation points on B_1 and M observation 169 points on B_2 , $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{N+M}$, in Eq. 10 as 170

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\phi_1} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{\phi_N} \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} \phi_{N+1} \\ \vdots \\ \phi_{N+M} \end{cases} \\ (N+M) \times 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [A_1]_{N \times (N+M)} \\ [A_2]_{M \times (N+M)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_N \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \begin{cases} \alpha_{N+1} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{N+M} \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ (N+M) \times 1 \end{cases}, \quad (16) \quad {}_{172}$$

Deringer

171

😭 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

(11)



174



Deringer

😰 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

vuthor Proo

177

179

181 in which

¹⁸²
$$b_{ij} = B^{(I)}(s_j, x_i), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N + M.$$
 (23)

183 2.2.3 Derivation of influence matrix

We can rearrange the influence matrices of Eqs. 16 and 20
into the linear algebraic system as

$$\left\{ \begin{cases} \overline{\phi_1} \\ \overline{\psi_1} \\ N \times 1 \end{cases} \right\} = \begin{bmatrix} [A_1]_{N \times (N+M)} \\ [B_1]_{N \times (N+M)} \end{bmatrix} \{\alpha\}_{(N+M) \times 1} .$$
 (24)

The linear algebraic system in Eq. 24 can be generally
 written as

$$D = CX. (25)$$

For the inverse problem, the influence matrix C is often illposed such that the regularization techniques are necessary to regularize the ill-posed matrix.

¹⁹³ 2.3 Regularization techniques for the inverse problem

2.3.1 Truncated singular value decomposition method (TSVD)

In the singular value decomposition (SVD), the matrix C can be decomposed into

$$_{198} \quad C = [U] [\Sigma] [V]^T , \qquad (26)$$

where $[U] = [u_1, u_2, ..., u_{(N+M)}]$ and $[V] = [v_1, v_2, ..., v_{(N+M)}]$ are column orthonormal matrices, with column vectors called left and right singular vectors, respectively, *T* denotes the matrix transposition, and $[\Sigma] = diag(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, ..., \sigma_{(N+M)})$ is a diagonal matrix with nonnegative diagonal elements in non-increasing order, which are the singular values of *C*.

A convenient measure of the conditioning of the matrix C is the condition number defined as

$$Cond = \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_{(N+M)}},$$
(27)

where σ_1 is the maximum singular value and $\sigma_{(N+M)}$ is the minimum singular value, i.e., the ratio between the largest singular value and the smallest singular value. By means of the SVD, the solution a^0 can be written as

$$a^{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{u_{i}^{T} d}{\sigma_{i}} v_{i}, \qquad (28)$$

where *k* is the rank of *C*, u_i is the element of the left singular vector and v_i is the element of the right singular vector. For

²¹⁶ an ill-conditioned matrix, there are small singular values, therefore the solution is dominated by contributions from

small singular values when the noise is present in the input
data. One simple remedy to treat the difficulty is to leave out
contributions from small singular values, i.e., taking a^p as
an approximate solution, where a^p is defined as217
218

$$a^p = \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{u_i^T d}{\sigma_i} v_i, \qquad (29) \quad 22$$

where $p \le k$ is the regularization parameter, which determines when one starts to leave out small singular values. Note that if p = k, the approximate solution is exactly the least squares solution. This method is known as TSVD in the inverse problem community [10, 17, 19].

2.3.2 Tikhonov regularization technique

227

Tikhonov proposed a method [1,2,9,12,13,19,20] to trans-
form an ill-posed problem into a well-posed one. Instead of
solving Eq. 25 directly, the solution of Tikhonov technique
regularized as follows:226
230

$$f_{\lambda}(X_{\lambda}) = \min_{X \in \mathbb{R}^M} f_{\lambda}(X), \qquad (30) \quad {}_{232}$$

where the λ is the regularization parameter and f_{λ} is the *k*-th order Tikhonov function as given 234

$$f_{\lambda}(X) = \|CX - D\|^{2} + \lambda^{2} \|R^{(k)}X\|^{2},$$
²³⁶

$$R^{(k)} \in R_{(M-k) \times M}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2....$$
 (31) 230

Solving $\nabla f_{\lambda}(X) = 0$, we can obtain the Tikhonov regularized solution X_{λ} of the Eq. 30 which is given as the solution of the regularized equation 239

$$\left(C^{T}C + \lambda^{2}R^{(k)^{T}}R^{(k)}\right)X = C^{T}D, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3...,$$
(32) 240

where T denotes matrix transposition. The matrix, $R^{(k)}$, in 242 Eq. 31 is a matrix that defines a (semi) norm of solution 243 vector in which the superscript, k, represents k-th derivative 244 operator on R. $R^{(k)}$ is an identity matrix when k = 0 and 245 the influence matrix, $(C^T C + \lambda^2 R^{(k)^T} R^{(k)})$, in Eq. 32 can 246 only be regularized in a diagonal term by λ . $R^{(k)}$ is a banded 247 matrix when k = 1 and the influence matrix in Eq. 32 can 248 be regularized in a diagonally banded term. In this paper, the 249 zeroth order and first order techniques of Tikhonov regular-250 ization method are considered, respectively. The matrices of 251 $R^{(0)}$ and $R^{(1)}$ of zeroth order and first order techniques of 252 Tikhonov regularization method are given by 253

Deringer

😰 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🔤 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

$${}_{254} \quad R^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{M \times M},$$
(33)
$${}_{255} \quad R^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{(M-1) \times M}.$$
(34)

An ill-posed matrix will be transformed into a well one 256 by employing the proposed regularization techniques. If too 257 much regularization, i.e., λ is large, the solution will be too 258 smoothing. If too little regularization, i.e., λ is small, the solu-259 tion will be unreasonable by the contributions from the input 260 data with perturbation error in measurements. The choice of 261 the regularization parameter in Eq. 32 is vital for obtaining 262 a reasonable and convergent solution and this is obtained on 263 the next section. 264

2.4 Determining the optimal parameter 265

L2 norm technique (1)266

To aid us in selecting the optimal parameter λ (or *I*, trun-267 cated number), the value of L2 norm is implemented as 268 the y-axis and parameter λ (or *I*, truncated number) 269 as the x-axis. The L2 norm is defined as $\|\phi - \phi_e\| =$ 270 $\int |\phi - \phi_e|^2 dB$, where ϕ is the numerical result and ϕ_e 27 is the analytical result. When the L2 norm $\|\phi - \phi_e\|$ 272 tends to be very small versus the regularization parameter, it is the optimal parameter. The L-curve shape can 274 be similarly observed in the figure. The corner point 275 of the L-curve shape is a local minimum norm and is 276 the appropriate choice for the optimal parameter (or the 27 optimal truncated number of TSVD). 278

(2)L-curve technique 279

The L-curve technique is a log-log plot of the norm of 280 regularized solution versus the norm of corresponding 281 residual norm [1,11]. The norm of regularized solution is defined as 283

$$284 Log ||CX - D||^2, (30)$$

and the norm of corresponding residual norm as follows 285

286
$$Log ||X||^2$$
,

The x-axis is the solution norm, and y-axis is the resid-287 ual norm. The former is the index of how smooth the 288 solution is treated, and the latter is the distance index 289 between the predicted output and real output. The cor-290 ner point of L-curve technique is a compromise between 291

Springer







the regularization errors due to data smoothing and per-292 turbation errors in measurements or other noise, even 293 though an analytical solution is not available. The 294 L-curve technique belongs to a error criterion technique 295 and does not need to compare the results with analytical 296 solution. 297

3 Numerical examples

To show the accuracy and validity of the proposed method 299 and obtain a better regularization method, three cases with 300 circular, square and infinite strip domains subjected to the 301 over-specified B.C.s are considered. 302

😭 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

(31)



Fig. 4 Numerical result by using the DMM without employing the regularization technique

Case 1: Circular domain case

The problem sketch of the inverse problem with circular 304 domain is drawn in Fig. 2. The unit radius is given and 305 the input data on the over-specified boundary is specified as 306 $\phi_{exact} = \sin \theta$ and $\psi_{exact} = \cos \theta$ in which $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$. 307 By using the random data simulation, we can obtain ran-308 dom errors contaminating the input data $\overline{\phi_i} = (\phi_i)_{exact} +$ 309 $(\phi_i)_{exact} rand(i)\varepsilon$ and $\overline{\psi_i} = (\psi_i)_{exact} + (\psi_i)_{exact} rand(i)\varepsilon$ 310 where the random number rand(i) is chosen between [-1,1]311 (also in Cases 2 and 3) and ε denotes the percentage of the 312 relative noise error, as shown in Fig. 3. If regularization tech-313 niques are not employed, the results are unreasonable and 314 divergent as shown in Fig. 4. To see the sensitivity analy-315 sis of regularization parameters of the three regularization 316 techniques to obtain a optimal solution, we find out the rela-317 tionship between the norm error and the value of λ (or *i*) 318 in which the norm error is defined as $\int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_{exact}(r=1,\theta)|$ 319 $-\phi(r=1,\theta)|^2 d\theta$. Figure 5a displays the optimal truncated 320 number, 94, for the TSVD technique. Figure 5b, c displays the 321 optimal value of regularization parameters of 0.0001905 and 322 0.198, respectively, for the zeroth order and first order tech-323 niques of Tikhonov regularization method. We obtain three 324 better results with the three optimal parameters by employ-325 ing the three regularization techniques as shown in Fig. 6 by 326 distributing 200 nodes. The result of the first order technique 327 of Tikhonov method is better than other techniques as shown 328 in Fig. 6. Therefore we adopted the first order technique of 329 Tikhonov method in cases 2 and 3. The result of absolute 330 error with the exact solution of three regularization methods 331 is plotted in Fig. 7. To see the convergent analysis of the 332 DMM in conjunction with the first order Tikhonov regulari-333 zation method, Fig. 8 is plotted. A convergent result can be 334 obtained after distributing over 100 points. 335



Fig. 5 Optimal truncated number and regularization parameter for **a** TSVD method, **b** zeroth order Tikhonov method, **c** first order Tikhonov method

Deringer

Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS TYPESET DISK LE CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large



Fig. 6 Numerical results by employing the TSVD method, the zero and first order Tikhonov methods, respectively, and using 200 nodes for the case 1



Fig. 7 Absolute error with the exact solution by employing three regularization methods and using 200 nodes for the case 1

336 *Case 2:* Square domain case

The square domain of the inverse problem and B.C.s are sketched in Fig. 9. The exact solution in the whole domain is u(x, y) = xy. The over-specified B.C.s is given on partial boundary. To see the effects on increasing or decreasing the information of known or unknown data and to examine how the diversity of boundary data will affect the solution, three



Fig. 8 The norm error along the boundary versus the number of nodes by using the first order Tikhonov method for the case 1





kinds of distributions are given as S1 type: data on boundary 4343is unknown. S2 type: data on boundaries 3 and 4 are unknown.344S3 type: data on boundaries 2, 3 and 4 are unknown. The345length of square domain is 1.0.346

 Table 1
 Optimal regularization parameters for the S1, S2 and S3 labels of different random errors

Label	Unknown boundary	Optimal regularization parameters			
		0.1% Random error	1% Random error	3% Random error	
S1	B_4	7.143	6.953	11.735	
S2	B_3, B_4	1.863	2.489	2.699	
S3	B_2,B_3,B_4	124.321	132.676	117.817	

🖄 Springer

	Journal: 466 MS: 348	CMS: GIVE CMS	TYPESET 🗌 DISK	LE CP Disp.:2008/11/	11 Pages: 11 Layout: Larg	e
--	----------------------	---------------	----------------	----------------------	---------------------------	---



Fig. 10 Numerical results for a S1, b S2, c S3 by using the first order Tikhonov method in different random error



Fig. 11 Relative root mean square errors for the S1 and S2 cases

The optimal regularization parameters for different rel-347 ative noise levels $\varepsilon = 0.1-3\%$ are reported in Table 1. The 348 numerical results with different relative noise levels are illus-349 trated in Fig. 10a–c for the three types (S1, S2 and S3 types). 350 The phenomenon is apparent that the more number of the 351 known data are given, the more accurately the results are 352 derived. To compare the result for different relative noise 353 levels with the reference [25], the relative root mean square 354 error [25] respective to the various noise level are graphi-355 cally shown in Fig. 11. To see the ill-posed sensitivity, the 356 condition number of the influence matrix versus the number 357 of boundary nodes is graphically reported in Fig. 12 for S1 358 and S2 types. It is shown that the more number of nodes is 359 distributed, the larger the condition number is obtained. 360

Case 3: Infinite strip region case

The infinite strip region of inverse problem and overspec-362 ified boundary conditions, $\phi(x, l) = \overline{\phi}$ and $\phi_{y}(x, l) = 0$, 363 are given, respectively, as shown in Fig. 13, and the cosine 364 and square waves through the surface of infinite strip region 365 are considered, respectively. We can obtain the optimal reg-366 ularization parameters, 0.02 and 0.00025, respectively, for 367 the cosine wave and square wave in the surface by using 368 the L-curve technique, which are shown in Figs. 14 and 15. 369 The unknown boundary data, $\phi(x, 0)$, is solved by adopting 370 the optimal parameters. The new specified boundary condi-371 tion, $\phi(x, 0)$, is given again which is obtained before and the 372 original boundary condition, $\phi_{y}(x, l) = 0$ is defined as the 373 new boundary condition. The new problem with new speci-374 fied boundary condition is the well-posed problem. The result 375 of $\phi(x, l)$ is reformulated by using the DMM and compare it 376

Deringer



Fig. 12 Condition number for the S1 and S2







Fig. 14 Optimal regularization parameter of cosine wave by employing the L-curve technique



Fig. 15 Optimal regularization parameter of square wave by employing the L-curve technique



Fig. 16 Numerical result of cosine wave by using the L-curve technique in conjunction with the first order Tikhonov method

with the original boundary condition, $\overline{\phi}$, as plotted in Figs. 16 and 17, respectively. 378

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we successfully applied the DMM in conjunction with the regularization techniques to solving inverse problems. The source and collocation points can be located on the real boundary at the same time by using the proposed

379

🖄 Springer

💢 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large



Fig. 17 Numerical result of square wave by employing the L-curve technique in conjunction with the first order Tikhonov method

desingularization technique. The resulting ill-conditioned
system of linear algebraic equations has been regularized
by using the three regularization techniques. The ill-posed
problems can be effectively remedied by using the first order
regularization method and the absolute error with the exact
solution is smaller than those of other regularization techniques through the given examples.

Acknowledgments Financial support from the National Science Council under Grant No. NSC-95-2221-E-197-026-MY3 to the first author is gratefully acknowledged.

394 References

- Calvetti D, Morigi S, Reichel L, Sgallari F (2000) Tikhonov regularization and the L-curve for large discrete ill-posed problems. J Comput Appl Math 123:423–446
- Chang JR, Yeih W, Shieh MH (2001) On the modified Tikhonov's regularization method for the Cauchy problem of the Laplace equation. J Mar Sci Technol 9:113–121
- 3. Chen CS, Golberg MA, Hon YC (1998) The method of fundamental solutions and quasi-Monte-Carlo method for diffusion equations. Int J Numer Methods Eng 43:1421–1435
- 4. Chen JT, Chen KH (1998) Analytical study and numerical experiments for Laplace equation with overspecified boundary conditions. Appl Math Model 22:703–725

 Chen KH, Kao JH, Chen JT, Young DL, Lu MC (2006) Regularized meshless method for multiply-connected-domain Laplace problems. Eng Anal Bound Elem 30(10):882–896

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

- 6. Chen W, Tanaka M (2002) A meshfree integration-free and boundary-only RBF technique. Comput Math Appl 43:379–391
- Cheng AHD, Cabral JJSP (2005) Direct solution of ill-posed boundary value problems by radial basis function collocation method. Int J Numer Methods Eng 64:45–64
- 8. Fairweather G, Karageorghis A (1998) The method of fundamental solutions for elliptic boundary value problems. Adv Comput Math 9:69–95
- Franck D, Alain C (2007) A first order method for the Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation using BEM. Comput Mech. doi:10.1007/s00466-007-0157-y
- 10. Hansen PC (1986) The truncated SVD as a method for regularization. Stanford University, Stanford
- Hansen PC (1992) Analysis of discrete ill-posed problems by means of the L-curve, SIAM Rev 34(4):561–580
- 12. Hon YC, Wei T (2004) A fundamental solution method for inverse heat conduction problem. Eng Anal Bound Elem 28:489–495
- Johnston PR, Gulrajani RM (2000) Selecting the Corner in the Curve Approach to Tikhonov regularization. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 47(9):1293–1296
- Jin B (2004) A meshless method for the Laplace and Biharmonic equations subjected to noisy boundary data. Comput Model Eng Sci 6(3):253–261
- 15. Kubo S (1998) Inverse problems related to the mechanics and fracture of solids structure. JSME Int J Ser I 31(2):157–166
- Kupradze VD, Aleksidze MA (1964) The method of functional equations for the approximate solution of certain boundary value problems. USSR Comput Math Math Phys 4:199–205
- Lagier GL, Lemonnier H, Coutris N (2004) A numerical solution of the linear multidimensional unsteady inverse heat conduction problem with the boundary element method and the singular value decomposition. Int J Therm Sci 43:145–155
- Lesnic D, Elliott L, Ingham DB (1997) An iterative boundary element method for solving numerically the Cauchy problem for the Laplace equation. Eng Anal Bound Elem 20:123–133
- Lian J, He B (2001) A minimal product method and its application to cortical imaging. Brain Topogr 13:209–217
- 20. Marin L (2005) Numerical solution of the Cauchy problem for steady-state heat transfer in two-dimensional functionally graded materials. Int J Solids Struct 42:4338–4351
- 21. Marin L (2006) Numerical boundary identification for Helmholtztype equations. Comput Mech 39:25–40
- 22. Poluektov AR (1992) Short communications: a method of choosing the regularization parameter for the numerical solution of illposed problems. Comput Math Math Phys 32(3):397–401
- 23. Tanaka M, Bui HD (eds) (1992) Inverse problems in engineering mechanics. IUTAM Symposium. Springer, Berlin
- Tikhonov AN, Arsenin VY (2003) Solutions of ill-posed problems.
 V.H. Winston and Sons, Washington, DC
- Wei T, Hon YC, Ling L (2007) Method of fundamental solutions with regularization techniques for Cauchy problems of elliptic operators. Eng Anal Bound Elem 31:373–385
- Young DL, Chen KH, Lee CW (2005) Novel meshless method for solving the potential problems with arbitrary domain. J Comput Phys 209:290–321

Deringer

💢 Journal: 466 MS: 348 CMS: GIVE CMS 🗌 TYPESET 🗌 DISK 🗌 LE 🗌 CP Disp.:2008/11/11 Pages: 11 Layout: Large

Author Proof