In this paper, the eigenanalysis for the multiply-connected domain problem is studied by using the dual boundary element method. The occurrence and treatment of the spurious eigenvalues for multiplyconnected domain problem are reviewed when the complex-valued BEM in used. Three approaches, occurrence of spurious eigensolutions. Instead of using the singular and hypersingular formulations, the singularity-free methods, the null-field equation approach and the fictitious BEM, are also utilized to deal with the eigenproblem. Both the eigenvalues and eigenmodes are compared with the analytical solutions and those of FEM for the illustrative examples. Good agreement is made. Copyright © 2004 John Wiley \& Sons, Ltd.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

21 Boundary element method (BEM) has been accepted as an alternative for solving the acoustic eigenproblem. For simply-connected domain problems, the dual reciprocity method (DRM) [1] and the multiple reciprocity method (MRM) [2] have been widely used. Both the aforementioned methods belong to real-valued formulations. One advantage of the MRM, which uses the Laplace-type fundamental solution, is that only real-valued computation is needed [3]. Therefore, the MRM is indeed no more than the real part of the complex-valued formulation [4]. Tai and Shaw [5] and De Mey [6,7] employed a simplified method of using either the real- or the imaginary-part kernels. Hutchinson [8] also employed the real-part
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## 2. BOUNDARY INTEGRAL EQUATIONS FOR MULTIPLY-CONNECTED PROBLEM

The governing equation of the acoustic problem is the Helmholtz equation
kernels to solve membrane vibration problems. However, both real-part singular and hypersingular equations, yield spurious eigenvalues. The occurrence of spurious eigenvalue is the major drawback of the real-part BEM for solving the acoustic eigenproblem. To deal with the problem of spurious eigenvalue, the dual MRM [9], the real-part dual BEM [10], the singular value decomposition (SVD) updating terms and updating documents $[11,12]$ and the generalized singular value decomposition (GSVD) [13] have been constructed. In addition, Chen et al. [14] extended the CHIEF concept [15,16] to the combined Helmholtz exterior integral equation formulation (CHEEF) method for filtering out the spurious eigenvalues. In fact, there are no spurious eigenvalues if the complex-valued BEM is employed for a simply-connected problem as Tai and Shaw [5] pointed out. However, spurious eigensolutions also appear for multiply-connected problems even when the complex-valued BEM is employed [17, 18]. In Chen et al. [17], the problem of spurious eigensolutions encountered in the singular and hypersingular BEMs was studied by using circulants for an annular case and was treated by using the Burton and Miller approach [19]. The continuous formulation was also studied by Chen et al. [20].

Rigorously speaking, the aforementioned domain of interest [17,20] is doubly connected instead of multiply connected. However, the research conducted prior to this investigation did not address both the occurring mechanism of spurious eigenvalues and the detection of the spurious eigenvalues for the truly multiply-connected problem. To solve the multiplyconnected eigenproblem, Lin [21] employed the transformation technique of cylindrical wave functions to satisfy the boundary condition for finding the eigenvalues of an eccentric annular domain and a circular domain with seven equal holes. Nagaya and Poltorak [22] used the point-matching approach to find the eigenvalues of a circular domain with eccentric circular inner boundaries. Nagaya and Yamaguchi [23] used both the Fourier expansion collocation method and point-matching approach to find the eigenvalues of the elliptical or polygonal outer boundary with eccentric inner boundaries. However, all those approaches were not compared with other numerical approaches, e.g. FEM or BEM, even though the exact solutions were not available.

In this paper, we will employ the boundary element method to determine the eigenvalue and eigenmode for the multiply-connected eigenproblem. The methods of filtering out the spurious eigenvalue by using either the CHIEF method or the SVD updating techniques will be discussed for the direct and indirect BEMs. Also, the Burton and Miller approach is considered for comparison. In addition, the techniques of detecting the true eigenvalue will be addressed. Numerical experiments will be performed to verify the present formulation. The relations between the spurious eigenvalue and the associated formulation will be examined. For the multiply-connected problem, the mode shapes will be plotted by using the BEM and will be compared with the other available results, e.g. exact solution and FEM data.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla^{2}+k^{2}\right) u(\mathbf{x})=0, \quad \mathbf{x} \in D \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Copyright © 2004 John Wiley \& Sons, Ltd.

1 where $\nabla^{2}, k$ and $D$ are the Laplacian operator, the wave number, and the domain of interest, respectively. On the basis of the dual boundary integral formulation [24,25], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha u(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{CPV} \int_{B} T(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})-\operatorname{RPV} \int_{B} U(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) t(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{x} \in B  \tag{2}\\
& \alpha t(\mathbf{x})=\operatorname{HPV} \int_{B} M(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})-\operatorname{CPV} \int_{B} L(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) t(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{x} \in B \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

3 where $\mathbf{x}$ is the boundary point, $\mathbf{s}$ is the source point, $B$ is the boundary, RPV denotes the Reimann principal value, CPV denotes the Cauchy principal value, HPV denotes the Hadamard principal value, $t(\mathbf{s})$ is the directional derivative of $u(\mathbf{s})$ along the outer normal direction at $\mathbf{s}$, and $\alpha$ is the interior angle of the boundary at $\mathbf{x}$. The $U(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}), T(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}), L(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ and $M(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$
7 represent the four kernel functions [23]. Equation (2) is referred to as the singular BIE and Equation (3) the hypersingular BIE. The combined use of both is termed the dual BIEs.
9 Corresponding to Equations (2) and (3), the null-field BIEs [26] based on the direct method are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0=\int_{B} T(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})-\int_{B} U(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) t(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}), & \mathbf{x} \in D^{e} \\
0=\int_{B} M(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) u(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})-\int_{B} L(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) t(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}), & \mathbf{x} \in D^{e} \tag{5}
\end{array}
$$

where $D^{e}$ is the complementary domain and the kernels are the same as listed in Reference [24]. Note that the null-field BIEs are not singular. The kernel functions in the null-field fictitious boundary formulation is another choice as well as the null-field formulations. Here, we present the fictitious BIEs adopting the single- and double-layer potential approaches of indirect method. For the single-layer potential approach, the single-layer density $\phi$ is dis-

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(\mathbf{x})=\int_{B^{\prime}} U(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})  \tag{6}\\
& t(\mathbf{x})=\int_{B^{\prime}} L(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

For the double-layer potential approach of the indirect method,

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(\mathbf{x})=\int_{B^{\prime}} T(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s})  \tag{8}\\
& t(\mathbf{x})=\int_{B^{\prime}} M(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \psi(\mathbf{s}) \mathrm{d} B(\mathbf{s}) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where the double-layer density $\psi$ is distributed on the fictitious boundary $B^{\prime}$.

## 3. TREATMENTS OF SPURIOUS EIGENVALUES

Following the developed techniques $[17,20]$, the true and spurious eigensolutions will be de-

3

5 on the formulation instea of the types of boundary conditions for real problems. On the other on the formulation instead of the types of boundary conditions for real problems. On the other hand, the true eigensolutions (eigenvalue and eigenmode) are embedded in the formulation and are different for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems.

7 3.1. Detection of spurious eigenvalues in the direct BEMs by using SVD updating documents

9 According to the Fredholm alternative theorem and the concept of spurious resonance [20] in the non-homogeneous boundary condition, we find that the spurious modes $\left(\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems can be identical and that

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{\mathrm{H}}\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)  \tag{10}\\
T^{\mathrm{H}}\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}=\{0\}
$$

13 where $k_{\mathrm{s}}$ is the spurious wavenumber, and the superscript H denotes the Hermitian conjugate. Taking the Hermitian conjugate with respect to Equation (10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}^{\mathrm{H}}\left[U\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right) T\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]=\{0\}^{\mathrm{H}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the preceding argument, the two matrices $[U]$ and $[T]$ have the same spurious mode
Similarly, the $L M$ method has the same spurious mode $\left(\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ corresponding to each spurious eigenvalue $\bar{k}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for the Dirichlet and Neumann problems as shown below:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
L^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\bar{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) \\
M^{\mathrm{H}}\left(\bar{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)
\end{array}\right]\left\{\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}=\{0\}}  \tag{12}\\
\left\{\bar{\phi}_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}^{\mathrm{H}}\left[L\left(\bar{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right) M\left(\bar{k}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]=\{0\}^{\mathrm{H}}
\end{array}
$$

By the same token as in the $U T$ method, the $[L]$ and $[M]$ matrices have the same spurious updating document

$$
\begin{equation*}
[B(k)]=[U(k) T(k)] \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$ eigenvalues. In other words, the spurious eigenvalues are related to whether formulated by the $U T$ method or by the $L M$ method rather than related to whether the boundary condition is of the Dirichlet type or the Neumann type.

To detect the spurious eigenvalues, we merge the $[U]$ and $[T]$ matrices to form the so-called

By applying SVD technique for $[B(k)]$, the minimum singular value of $[B(k)]$ as a (numerical) function of $k$ can be utilized to find the spurious eigenvalues $k_{\mathrm{s}}$ and the spurious modes $\left\{\phi_{\mathrm{s}}\right\}$ at the same time.

1 3.2. Detection of true eigenvalues in the direct BEMs by using SVD updating terms Consider that the true eigensolution must be embedded in

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]\{t\} } & =\{0\}  \tag{14}\\
{\left[L\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]\{t\} } & =\{0\}
\end{align*}
$$

3 for the homogeneous Dirichlet problem, where $k_{\mathrm{t}}$ denotes the true wavenumber. Equation (14) indicates that both the $[U]$ and $[T]$ matrices have the same zero singular value corresponding to the right unitary vector $\{t\}$. This finding guides us to merge the two equations together,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[D\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]\{t\}=\{0\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

7 where

$$
\left[D\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
U\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)  \tag{16}\\
L\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

9 By plotting the minimum singular value of $[D(k)]$ versus $k$, one has a curve which drops at the positions of true eigenvalues.
11 The technique of SVD updating term can also be applied to the Neumann problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[N(k)]\{u\}=\{0\} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
[N(k)]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
T(k)  \tag{18}\\
M(k)
\end{array}\right]
$$

15 To detect true eigenvalues, a similar procedure for the minimum singular value of matrix [ $N(k)$ ] versus $k$ can be developed.

17 3.3. Detection of true eigenvalues in the indirect BEMs by using SVD updating documents According to the Fredholm alternative theorem for the non-homogeneous Dirichlet problem ( $u=\bar{u}$ on $B$ ) in indirect BEMs [20], we obtain

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
U^{\mathrm{H}}\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)  \tag{19}\\
T^{\mathrm{H}}\left(k_{\mathrm{t}}\right)
\end{array}\right]\{\eta\}=\{0\}
$$ where $\eta$ is the true eigenmode. To detect the true eigenvalues, we plot the minimum singular value of the assembled matrix $\left[\begin{array}{c}U^{\mathrm{H}}(k) \\ T^{\mathrm{H}}(k)\end{array}\right]$ versus $k$, and the curve drops indicate the positions of the true eigenvalues.

The technique of SVD updating document can be extended to the Neumann problem. The minimum singular value for the assembled matrix $\left[\begin{array}{l}L^{\mathrm{H}}(k) \\ M^{\mathrm{H}}(k)\end{array}\right]$ versus $k$ is plotted, and the drops in the curve are also found at the positions of true eigenvalues.

For the homogeneous Dirichlet and Neumann eigenproblem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[U\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]\{\varphi\} } & =\{0\}  \tag{20}\\
{\left[L\left(k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)\right]\{\varphi\} } & =\{0\} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to verify not only the occurring mechanism of spurious eigensolution but also

### 4.1. A circular domain with two unequal holes

25 The circular domain with a radius $R=1 \mathrm{~m}$ and two circular inner boundaries where the eccentricity $e$ is 0.5 m with radii of $c_{1}=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$ and $c_{2}=0.4 \mathrm{~m}$, respectively, are considered in

Table I. The former five eigenvalues for a multiply-connected problem with two unequal holes using different approaches.
(
Method

1 Table I. All the boundary conditions are the Dirichlet types $(u=0)$. By using the eight approaches $[17,20]$ in Table I , the former five eigenvalues $\left(k_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 5\right)$ are obtained as shown in Table I. The former two eigenvalues ( $k_{1}, k_{2}$ ) and the subsequent two ( $k_{3}, k_{4}$ ) are roots of multiplicity two which can be distinguished by using the BEMs + SVD updating techniques. However, FEM calculations cannot distinguish the multiplicity as shown in Table I since $k_{1} \neq k_{2}$ and $k_{3} \neq k_{4}$. Good agreement for the five eigenmodes corresponding to the
7 former five eigenvalues by using the BEM and FEM is obtained in Figure 1 where a plus sign and a minus sign denote the convex and concave sides, respectively. Although the mode
9 shapes corresponding to the eigenvalues $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ seem different between the results of BEM and FEM, each mode shape of BEM for $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ can be obtained by linearly superimposing the two independent mode shapes of FEM, and vice versa. Similarly, the mode shape


Figure 1. The former five modes for a multiply-connected problem with two unequal holes.


Figure 1. (continued)
corresponding to the eigenvalue $k_{5}$ is different by a factor -1 between the results of BEM and FEM. Comparing the data of BEM with that of FEM, the root of multiplicity two can be detected by using BEM instead of FEM. To sort out spurious eigenvalues, the direct BEM and the SVD updating document are employed. Figure 2 shows that the spurious eigenvalues appear in the location of the $k_{\mathrm{s}}=6.16\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{2}=6.012\right)$ or $8.20\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{1}=8.016\right)$ in the range of $0<k \leqslant 9$, where the exact values are shown in the parentheses. It is a clear evidence to demonstrate that the spurious eigensolution depends on the radii of inner circles ( $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ ) as predicted by Chen et al. [17,20] for the annular case.

By using 15 elements for each inner boundary and 60 elements for the outer boundary, the spurious boundary mode $\Phi_{1}$ from the left unitary matrix of SVD corresponding to the zero
11 singular value for the spurious eigenvalue is shown in Figure 3(a)-3(d) for the four cases. To demonstrate how spurious mode relates to the spurious eigenvalue, the former four spurious eigenvalues of the $k_{\mathrm{s}}=6.16\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{2}=6.012\right), 8.20\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{1}=8.016\right), 9.65\left(J_{1}^{1} / c_{2}=9.579\right)$ and $13.00\left(J_{1}^{1} / c_{1}=12.772\right)$ are considered where the theoretical predictions are shown in the parentheses. It is found that the spurious boundary mode $\Phi_{1}$ is zero except on the inner boundary $c_{i}$ (where $i=1,2$ ) for the corresponding spurious eigenvalue $k_{\mathrm{s}}=J_{n}^{1} / c_{i}$ ( where $i=1,2, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ),


Figure 2. Detection of spurious eigenvalues using the SVD updating document.

1 respectively. In addition, the former two spurious eigenvalues satisfying $J_{0}\left(k_{\mathrm{s}} c_{i}\right)=0$ (where $i=1,2$ ) have the same spurious mode of constants ( $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta \theta}$ ) as shown in Figure 3(a) and 3(b)
3 while the subsequent two spurious eigenvalues satisfying $J_{1}\left(k_{\mathrm{s}} c_{i}\right)=0$ (where $i=1,2$ ) have the same spurious mode of $\sin \theta$ or $\cos \theta\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 1 \theta}\right)$ as shown in Figure 3(c) and 3(d). It is theoret-
5 ically predicted that the spurious eigenvalues $k_{\mathrm{s}}$ satisfying $J_{n}\left(k_{\mathrm{s}} c_{i}\right)=0$ (where $i=1,2$ ) have the same spurious mode of $\sin (n \theta)$ or $\cos (n \theta)\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}\right)$. Hence, the singularity pattern resulting
7 in a null-field solution is found in the numerical demonstration [17, 20, 27]. In addition, only two CHIEF points $(0.5,0)$, and $(-0.5,0)$ inside each circle are required to sort out the spu-
9 rious eigenvalues $k_{\mathrm{s}}=6.16\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{2}=6.012\right)$ and $k_{\mathrm{s}}=8.20\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{1}=8.016\right)$ of multiplicity one, respectively.

### 4.2. A circular domain with two equal holes

For the problem of a circular domain with two equal holes, Nagaya and Poltorak [22] used boundary and the radii $c=0.3 \mathrm{~m}$ of the inner boundaries with the eccentricity $e=0.5 \mathrm{~m}$ are
5 considered in Table II. The boundary conditions along the inner and outer boundaries are the Dirichlet types $(u=0)$. Numerical data of the three approaches, point-matching method [22],
17 BEM and FEM, are listed in the Table II. For the root of multiplicity two, the former two eigenvalues $\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right)$ and the subsequent two $\left(k_{3}, k_{4}\right)$ are obtained in the BEM results while FEM cannot distinguish the multiplicity. Following the data of point-matching approach in the parentheses, the symmetry and antisymmetry of the mode shape are noted by Nagaya

1 and Poltorak where the (S) and (A) symbols denote the symmetric and antisymmetric with respect to the $x$ - and $y$-axis, respectively. The same symbols are used in the BEM results. 3 It is easy to find that the symmetry of mode shape of BEM and point-matching approach 1 matched well. For the plots of the former five modes using the BEM and the FEM as shown


Figure 3. The spurious boundary mode $\Phi_{1}$ along the boundary (1-15, inner left circle; 16-30, inner right circle; 31-90, outer boundary): (a) $k=6.16$ ( $J_{0}^{1} / c_{2}=6.012$ ); (b) $k=8.20\left(J_{0}^{1} / c_{3}=8.016\right)$; (c) $k=9.65\left(J_{1}^{1} / c_{2}=9.579\right)$; and (d) $k=13.00\left(J_{1}^{1} c_{3}=12.772\right)$.


Figure 3. (continued)
in Figure 4, good agreement is obtained. Although the mode shapes corresponding to the eigenvalues $k_{3}$ and $k_{4}$ seem different between the results of BEM and FEM, each mode shape of BEM can be linearly superimposed by using the two independent mode shapes obtained by using the FEM, and vice versa. Similarly, the mode shapes corresponding to the eigenvalue $k_{1}$ are different by a factor -1 between the BEM and the FEM. In this case, the first spurious eigenvalue $k_{\mathrm{s}}=8.196\left(J_{0}^{1} / c=8.016\right)$ is out of the range of $0<k \leqslant 8$; hence, there is no spurious eigenvalue appearing in the range of the former five eigenvalues $\left(k_{1}<k<k_{5}\right)$. For the sake of

Table II. The former five eigenvalues for a multiply-connected problem with two, four and seven equal holes using different approaches.

demonstrating the singularity pattern resulting in a null-field solution, we plotted the boundary vectors $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ from the left unitary matrix of SVD corresponding to the zero singular values for the spurious eigenvalues $8.196\left(J_{0}^{1} / c=8.016\right)$ and $13.06\left(J_{1}^{1} / c=12.772\right)$ as shown
elements for the outer boundary are used. Since the radii of inner boundaries are identical to each other, the spurious eigenvalue $k_{s}=8.196\left(J_{0}^{1} / c=8.016\right)$ has multiplicity of two and the boundary denisties, $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$, are zero except on the inner boundaries. This is the reason why Figure 5(a) and 5(b) have two boundary modes. From the plot of another spurious eigenvalue $k_{\mathrm{s}}=13.06\left(J_{1}^{1} / c=12.772\right)$, it is similar that the non-zero boundary densities $\Phi_{1}$

### 4.3. A circular domain with four equal holes

5 The outer boundary with a radius $R=1 \mathrm{~m}$ and four equal circular inner boundaries with radii $c=0.1 \mathrm{~m}$ are considered and the former five eigenvalues are shown in Table II. The four the results are listed in Table II including the data of the point-matching method [22]. It is found that the method of point-matching missed the eigenvalues of $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ while the 1 BEM and the FEM obtained. For the eigenvalues of $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ or $k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$, the BEM in


Figure 4. The former five modes for a multiply-connected problem with two equal holes.


Figure 4. (continued)
conjunction with SVD indicated that they are both roots of multiplicity two by finding the second successive zero singular value in SVD; however, the FEM obtained the two eigenvalues but they are not the same value. Besides, the symmetry of the mode shape predicted by the point-matching method is quite different from that of BEM in $k_{4}$ while the results of FEM match the BEM's data well where the mode shapes are shown in Figure 6. Although the mode shapes corresponding to the eigenvalues $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ seem different between the results of BEM and FEM, each mode shape of BEM can be linearly superimposed by using the two
9 independent mode shapes of FEM, and vice versa. Similarly, the mode shapes corresponding to the eigenvalues $k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$ are different by a factor -1 between the BEM and the FEM.
1 Since the minimum spurious eigenvalue $k_{\mathrm{s}}=24.048$ satisfying $J_{0}\left(0.1 k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0$ occurs out of the range of $0<k \leqslant 6$, it is consistent that no spurious eigenvalues occurred in the range 1 of $k<k_{5}$.

### 4.4. A circular domain with seven equal holes

3 A circular domain of a radius $R=1 \mathrm{~m}$ with seven equal holes of radii $c=0.156 \mathrm{~m}$ is considered and the former five eigenvalues are shown in Table II. Lin [21] used the technique of transformation of cylindrical wave functions to deal with the eigenproblem. The results of Lin's, the BEM and the FEM are shown in Table II. The difference between the BEM's 1 data and the FEM's data is less than $3 \%$; however, Lin's results for eigenvalues seem to


Figure 5. The spurious boundary modes $\Phi_{1}$ and $\Phi_{2}$ along the boundary (1-15, inner left circle; 16-30, inner right circle; 31-90, outer boundary) $-k=8.196\left(J_{0}^{1} / c=8.016\right)$; and (b) $k=13.06\left(J_{1}^{1} / c=12.772\right)$.


Figure 5. (continued)

3 deviate the results of BEM and FEM. Similarly, FEM cannot identify the root of multiplicity two while the BEM can distinguish by using the SVD technique. Although the mode shapes
5 corresponding to eigenvalues $k_{2}$ and $k_{3}$ or $k_{4}$ and $k_{5}$ seem different between the results of BEM and FEM, each mode shape of BEM can be linearly superimposed by using the two
1 independent mode shapes of FEM as shown in Figure 7, and vice versa. Because the radii of inner boundaries are 0.156 m , the minimum spurious eigenvalue $k_{\mathrm{s}}=15.415$ satisfying


Figure 6. The former five modes of a multiply-connected problem with four equal holes.


Figure 6. (continued)
$3 J_{0}\left(0.156 k_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0$ occurs out of the range of $0<k \leqslant 9$. No spurious eigenvalues occurred in the range of $k<k_{5}$.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the SVD updating techniques and the Fredholm's alternative theorem were employed to deal with the problem of spurious eigenvalue occurring in the truly mutiplyconnected problems. For the direct BEM, the SVD updating documents in conjunction with
9 the Fredholm's alternative theorem were ulitized to detect spurious eigenvalues while the SVD updating terms were employed to filter out the true eigenvalues. For the indirect BEM, the
11 spurious eigenvalues were detected by using the SVD updating terms and the true eigenvalues were sorted out by using the SVD updating documents in conjunction with the Fredholm's alternative theorem. Spurious eigensolutions are found to be dependent on the formulation while true eigensolutions depend on the types of boundary condition. The numerical experi-


Figure 7. The former five modes of a multiply-connected problem with seven equal holes.


Figure 7. (continued)
3 ments of the multiply-connected problems were performed to demonstrate the validity of the arguments which we have proposed above. It was found that the occurring mechanism of spurious eigenvalue depended on inner boundaries. Good agreement between the results of BEM and FEM were made. In addition, the ability of detecting the root of multiplicity two can be achieved in the BEM by using the SVD techniques.
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