$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 國立臺灣海洋大學 } \\
\text { 河海工程學系 } \\
\text { 碩士學位論文 }
\end{gathered}
$$

指尊教授：陳正宗博士

## 零場積分方程求解含圓形夾雜

之反平面壓電問題
Null－field approach for multiple circular inclusion problems in anti－plane piezoelectricity

研究生：吴安傑 撰

中華民國95年6月

## 零場積分方程求解含圓形夾雜之反平面壓電問題

# Null－field approach for multiple circular inclusion problems in anti－plane piezoelectricity 

研 究 生：吴安傑<br>指導教授：陳正宗<br>國立臺灣海洋大學<br>河海工程學系<br>碩 士 論 文

Student ：An－Chien Wu
Advisor ：Jeng－Tzong Chen

A Thesis<br>Submitted to Department of Harbor and River Engineering College of Engineering<br>National Taiwan Ocean University In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science<br>in<br>Harbor and River Engineering June 2006<br>Keelung，Taiwan，Republic of China

中華民國 95 年 6 月

## 國立臺灣海洋大學博碩士論文全文上網授權書

本授權書所授權之論文為授權人在 國立臺灣海洋大學河海工程學系 94 學年度取得 碩士 學位之論文。

記錄編號：G0M93520008

論文題目：零場積分方程求解含圓形夾雜之反平面壓電問題指導教授：陳正宗
－同意
茲同意將授權人擁有著作權之上列論文全文電子檔（含摘要），依下述授權範圍，以非專屬，無償授權國立台灣海洋大學圖書館，不限地域，時間與次數，以微縮，光碟或其他各種數位化方式將上列論文重製，並得將數位化之上列論文及論文電子檔以上載網路方式，提供讀者基於個人非營利性質之線上檢索，閱覽，下載或列印。

■ 讀者基於非營利性質之線上檢索，閱覽，下載或列印上列論文，應依著作權法相關規定辦理。

論文全文上載網路公開之範圍及時間：

| 校内區域網路 | 立即公開 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 校外網際網路 | $\square$ 立即公開 |

授 權 人：吳安傑
學 號：M93520008
E－mail：chien71＠msn．com
親筆簽名或蓋章：

## 國家圖書館

## 博碩士論文電子檔案上網授權書

本授權書所授權之論文為授權人在 國立臺灣海洋大學河海工程學系 94 學年度第 二 學期取得碩士學位之論文。

論文題目：零場積分方程求解含圆形夾雜之反平面壓電問題指導教授：陳正宗

茲同意將授權人擁有著作權之上列論文全文（含摘要），非專屬，無償授權國家圖書館，不限地域，時間與次數，以微縮，光碟或其他各種數位化方式將上列論文重製，並得將數位化之上列論文及論文電子檔以上載網路方式，提供讀者基於個人非營利性質之線上檢索，閲覽，下載或列印。
$\square$ 上列論文為授權人向經濟部智慧財產局申請專利之附件或相關文件之一（專利申請案號：），請於 年 月 日後再將上列論文公開或上載網路。

因上列論文尚未正式對外發表，請於 年 月 日後再將上列論文公開或上載網路。

授權人：吴安傑
親筆簽名及蓋章： $\qquad$民國95年7月25日身分證字號：F125789517
電話：（02）2247－2903
聯絡地址：台北縣中和市復興路328號4樓
E－Mail：chein71＠msn．com

## 誌 謝

隨著論文的定稿，學生生涯也將暫告一個段落，雖然曾有繼續攻讀博士的念頭，但更有著工作回瞶社會的衝動。這兩年的學習與研究或許沒有非常了不起的成就，但在這些過程中，讓我深刻的體會做研究應有的態度，以及了解如何解決所遭遇的困難，甚至是學習對於資料的管理，相信這些訓練能讓我面對往後的各種挑戰。研究所兩年的求學心境就像「如人敛水，冷暖自知」，陳正宗教授口中的「一切點滴在心頭」，如今我已能夠感同身受，外界再多的不解只是堅定自己更要有所表現的意念。撰寫論文期間，教授不厭其煩地審閱修正，始終堅持著做研究應有的嚴謹態度，由衷的感謝教授在研究期間所投入的時間與心力。再者，感謝 呂學育 博士提供有限元素分析軟體 ABAQUS 的分析比較，讓我在研究過程更有信心。

論文口試期間，承蒙台灣大學土木工程系 楊德良 教授，台灣科技大學機械工程系 趙振網 教授，成功大學土木工程系 陳東陽 教授與中興工程顧問公司 呂學育博士，不吝指教並提供學生寶貴意見，使得文章内容更瑧完善，深表謝意。

求學期間，感謝諸位研究室學長：義麟，桂鴻，應德，清森，文成，嘉俊，佳聰等學長的傾囊相受，無論是在課業上或是程式撰寫上的建議與修正。以及研究室學弟：奐禎，佳男，感謝他們分擔研究室雜務。也感謝相處多年的好友：耀德，承瀚，議德，柏源，文廣，秀堅，宗慶，靖笉，篠靖於求學路程上的陪伴與鼓勵。還有系上各組的研究室成員，謝謝他們的相互勉勵成長。更要感謝國科會計畫 NSC 94－2211－E－019－009 提供之碩士生研究助學金，以及中華顧問工程司所頒發之工程科技獎學金，讓我於做研究時無經濟之壓力，更肯定研究室的表現。

如今獲取碩士學位，特別感謝長期以來栽培我的父母以及所有關心我的家人，對他們的感激難以言表。最後，感謝所有曾經勉勵與關心過我的人，願與大家分享這份榮耀。

吴安傑 An－Chien Wu

# Null－field approach for multiple circular inclusion problems in anti－plane piezoelectricity 

## Contents

Contents ..... I
Table captions ..... III
Figure captions ..... IV
Notations ..... IX
Abstract ..... XIII
中文摘要 ..... XV
Chapter 1 Introduction
1．1 Motivation of the research and literature review ..... 1
1．2 Organization of the thesis ..... 6
Chapter 2 Basic formulation of null－field approach for boundary value problems with circular inclusions
Summary ..... 11
2．1 Introduction ..... 11
2．2 Dual boundary integral equations and dual null－field integral equations ..... 14
2．3 Expansions of fundamental solution and boundary density ..... 16
2．4 Mathematical formulation and solution procedure ..... 18
2．4．1 Adaptive observer system ..... 18
2．4．2 Linear algebraic equation ..... 19
2．4．3 Vector decomposition technique for the potential gradient in the ..... 23 hypersingular equation
2．5 Concluding remarks ..... 24
Chapter 3 Applications to anti－plane piezoelectricity and in－plane electrostatic problems with circular inclusions
Summary ..... 37
3.1 Introduction ..... 37
3.2 Problem statements and mathematical formulation ..... 38
3.3 Matching of interface conditions and solution procedures ..... 40
3.4 Illustrative examples and discussions ..... 43
3.5 Concluding remarks ..... 49
Chapter 4 Applications to anti-plane elasticity problems with circular inclusions
Summary ..... 80
4.1 Introduction ..... 80
4.2 Problem statements and mathematical formulation ..... 82
4.3 Matching of interface conditions and solution procedures ..... 84
4.4 Illustrative example and discussions ..... 85
4.5 Concluding remarks ..... 90
Chapter 5 Conclusions and further research
5.1 Conclusions ..... 108
5.2 Further studies ..... 110
References ..... 113
Appendix 1 Calculation for the forcing term in the anti-plane piezoelectricity ..... 122 formulation
Appendix 2 Calculation for the forcing term in the anti-plane elasticity ..... 124 formulation
Appendix 3 Derivation of the exact solution for a single elastic inclusion ..... 125
Appendix 4 Available results obtained by previous researchers ..... 128

## Table captions

Table 2-1 Comparison of formulation between the present approach and ..... 25 conventional BEM for simply-connected domain problems
Table 2-2 Influence coefficients for the singularity distribution on the circular ..... 26 boundary
Table 2-3 Comparisons of the present method and the conventional BEM ..... 30
Table 3-1 Analogy between the anti-plane shear deformation and in-plane ..... 50 electrostatics for anti-plane piezoelectric problems
Table 3-2 Flowchart of the present method for anti-plane piezoelectricity ..... 51 problems
Table 3-3 Electro-elastic material properties ..... 52
Table 4-1 Flowchart of the present method for anti-plane elasticity problems ..... 91
Table 4-2 Stress concentration factors and errors for various distances between ..... 92two holes using the present approach and BEM

## Figure captions

Figure 1-1 (a) Bump contour ..... 8
Figure 1-1 (b) Limiting process ..... 8
Figure 1-1 (c) Present method ..... 8
Figure 1-2 A two-dimensional problem with holes, inclusions and cracks ..... 9
Figure 1-3 The frame of the thesis ..... 10
Figure 2-1 Randomly distributed circular inclusions bounded to the contour ..... 31$B_{k}$
Figure 2-2 Degenerate kernels for one, two and three dimensional problems ..... 32
Figure 2-3 Graph of the degenerate kernel for the fundamental solution ..... 33$\mathrm{s}=(10, \pi / 3)$
Figure 2-4 (a) Sketch of the null-field integral equation for a null-field point in 34 conjunction with the adaptive observer system ( $\mathrm{x} \notin D, \mathrm{x} \rightarrow B_{k}$ )
Figure 2-4 (b) Sketch of the boundary integral equation for a domain point in 34 conjunction with the adaptive observer system ( $\mathrm{x} \in D, \mathrm{x} \rightarrow B_{k}$ )
Figure 2-5 Physical meaning of influence coefficients $U_{j k}^{n c}\left(\phi_{m}\right), U_{j k}^{n s}\left(\phi_{m}\right): 35$ the responses for the $\mathrm{x}_{m}$ point of the $j$ th boundary due to the $\cos n \theta, \sin n \theta$ boundary distributions of the $k t h$ circular boundary
Figure 2-6 Vector decomposition for the potential gradient in the 36 hypersingular equation
Figure 3-1 Infinite anti-plane piezoelectricity problem with arbitrary 53 number of circular inclusions under remote shear and electric loadings
Figure 3-2 (a) Infinite piezoelectric medium with circular holes under remote shear and electric loadings
Figure 3-2 (b) Interior Laplace problems for each piezoelectric inclusion 54
Figure 3-2 (c) Infinite piezoelectric medium under remote shear and electric 54 loadings
Figure 3-2 (d) Exterior Laplace problems for the piezoelectric medium 54
Figure 3-3 Two piezoelectric circular inclusions embedded in a 55
piezoelectric matrix under remote shear and electric loadings
Figure 3-4 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=90^{\circ}$

Figure 3-4 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of 56 piezoelectric constants with $\beta=90^{\circ}$

Figure 3-5 Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of 57 dielectric constants with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=1.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$
Figure 3-6 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with 58 $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$
Figure 3-6 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1} 58$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$
Figure 3-7 (a) Parseval's sum for $w_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 59$
Figure 3-7 (b) Parseval's sum for $w_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 59$
Figure 3-7 (c) Parseval's sum for $t_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 59$
Figure 3-7 (d) Parseval's sum for $t_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 59$
Figure 3-7 (e) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 60$
Figure 3-7 (f) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 60$
Figure 3-7 (g) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 60$
Figure 3-7 (h) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 60$
Figure 3-8 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with 61 $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$
Figure 3-8 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1} \quad 61$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$
Figure 3-9 (a) Parseval's sum for $w_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$
Figure 3-9 (b) Parseval's sum for $w_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$
Figure 3-9 (c) Parseval's sum for $t_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 62$
Figure 3-9 (d) Parseval's sum for $t_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 62$
Figure 3-9 (e) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 63$
Figure 3-9 (f) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 63$
Figure 3-9 (g) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 63$
Figure 3-9 (h) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 63$
Figure 3-10 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with 64 $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=0^{\circ}$

Figure 3-10 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=0^{\circ}$
Figure 3-11 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$

Figure 3-11 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of 65 piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$
Figure 3-12 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric 66 constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$

Figure 3-12 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of 66 piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$
Figure 3-13 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 67
Figure 3-14 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the interface 68 between the matrix and the smaller inclusion
Figure 3-15 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the interface 69 between the matrix and the larger inclusion
Figure 3-16 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 70 for the case when the larger inclusion and the matrix have the same material property
Figure 3-17 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 71 for the case when the two inclusions are separated far away ( $\left.d / r_{1}=10\right)$
Figure 3-18 (a) Contour of shear stress $\sigma_{z x} / \tau_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 72$
Figure 3-18 (b) Contour of shear stress $\sigma_{z y} / \tau_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 72$
Figure 3-18 (c) Contour of electric potential $\Phi / E_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01 \quad 73$
Figure 3-19 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 74 when $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.05 r_{1}$
Figure 3-19 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 74 when $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-19 (c) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 74 when $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=1.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-20 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 75 when $r_{2}=2 r_{1}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-20 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the contour 75
$(1.01, \theta)$ when $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=1.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-21 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 76 when $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-21 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 76 when $E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-21 (c) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 76 when $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$
Figure 3-22 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 77 when two inclusions touch each other and $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$
Figure 3-22 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis 77 when two inclusions touch each other and $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$
Figure 3-23 The dielectric system of two inclusions in the applied electric 78 field

Figure 3-24 (a) Patterns of the electric field for $\varepsilon_{0}=2, \varepsilon_{1}=9$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=5$
Figure 3-24 (b) Patterns of the electric field for $\varepsilon_{0}=3, \varepsilon_{1}=9$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=1 \quad 79$
Figure 4-1 (a) Infinite anti-plane problem with arbitrary number of circular 93 inclusions under the remote shear
Figure 4-1 (b) Infinite medium with circular holes under the remote shear 93
Figure 4-1 (c) Interior Laplace problems for each inclusion 93
Figure 4-1 (d) Infinite medium under the remote shear 93
Figure 4-1 (e) Exterior Laplace problems for the matrix 93
Figure 4-2 (a) Two equal-sized holes ( $r_{1}=r_{2}$ ) with centers on the $x$ axis 94
Figure 4-2 (b) Stress concentration of the problem containing two equal-sized 94
Figure 4-2 (c) Convergence test of the two equal-sized holes problem 95 ( $d=1.0$ )
Figure 4-2 (d) Tangential stress in the matrix near the boundary ( $d=1.0$ ) 95
Figure 4-3 (a) Two identical inclusions with centers on the $x$ axis 96
Figure 4-3 (b) Stress concentration factor of inclusion versus inclusion spacing 96
Figure 4-4 (a) Two circular inclusions with centers on the $x$ axis 97
Figure 4-4 (b) Effects of spacing on the stresses around the boundary of radius 98 $r_{1}$ for two equal-sized inclusions ( $L=20$ )
Figure 4-4 (c) Effects of spacing on the stresses around the boundary of radius 98

|  | $r_{1}$ for two equal-sized inclusions ( $L=40$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Figure 4-4(d) | Effects of the size of neighboring inclusion on the stresses around the boundary of radius $r_{1}$ with $d=0.1 r_{1}(L=80)$ | 99 |
| Figure 4-4 (e) | Effects of the size of neighboring inclusion on the stresses around the boundary of radius $r_{1}$ with $d=0.1 r_{1} \quad(L=100)$ | 99 |
| Figure 4-5 (a) | Two circular inclusions with centers on the $y$ axis | 100 |
| Figure 4-5 (b) | Stresses around the circular boundary of radius $r_{1}$ | 00 |
| Figure 4-5 (c) | Convergence test of the two-inclusions problem | 00 |
| Figure 4-5 (d) | Radial stress in the matrix near the boundary | 01 |
| Figure 4-5 (e) | Tangential stress in the matrix near the boundary | 01 |
| Figure 4-6 (a) | Two circular inclusions embedded in a matrix under the remote anti-plane shear in two directions | 102 |
| Figure 4-6 (b) | Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=0.1$ | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (c) | Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=0.4$ | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (d) | Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=1.0$ | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (e) | Normal stress distributions along the contour (1.001, $\theta$ ) | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (f) | Tangential stress distributions along the contour (1.001, $\theta$ ) | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (g) | Variations of stresses at the point (1.001, $0^{\circ}$ ) | 103 |
| Figure 4-6 (h) | Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when the two inclusions touch each other | 104 |
| Figure 4-7 (a) | One hole surrounded by two circular inclusions | 105 |
| Figure 4-7 (b) | Tangential stress distribution along the hole boundary with $\beta=0^{\circ}$ | 106 |
| Figure 4-7 (c) | Tangential stress distribution along the hole boundary with $\beta=90^{\circ}$ | 106 |
| Figure 4-7 (d) | Stress concentration as a function of the spacing $d / r_{1}$ with $\beta=0^{\circ}$ | 106 |
| Figure 4-7 (e) | Stress concentration as a function of the spacing $d / r_{1}$ with $\beta=90^{\circ}$ | 106 |
| Figure 4-8 (a) | Three identical inclusions forming an equilateral triangle | 107 |
| Figure 4-8 (b) | Tangential stress distribution around the inclusion located at the origin | 107 |

## Notations

a
$A(\cdot), \quad B(\cdot)$
$a_{n}, b_{n}$
b

B
$B_{k}$
c
$C_{k}$
$C_{44}$
$C_{44}^{M}, C_{44}^{I}$
$\mathbf{c}_{44}^{M}, \mathbf{c}_{44}^{I}$
C.P.V.
$d$

D
$D^{c}$
$D_{x}, D_{y}$
$D_{r}, D_{\theta}$
$D_{r}^{M}, ~ D_{\theta}^{M}$,
$D_{r}^{I}, D_{\theta}^{I}$
$e_{x}, e_{y}$
$e_{15}$
$e_{15}^{M}, e_{15}^{I}$
$\mathbf{e}_{15}^{M}, \mathbf{e}_{15}^{I}$
$E_{x}^{\infty}, E_{y}^{\infty}$
$E_{z}$
$E_{r}, E_{\theta}$
$E_{r}^{M}, E_{\theta}^{M}$,
vector of forcing terms due to the coupling behavior of remote anti-plane shears and in-plane electric fields
bases of the degenerate kernel
Fourier coefficients of the boundary density $\varphi(\mathrm{s})(n=0,1,2, \cdots, L)$ vector of forcing terms due to remote electric fields without the coupling behavior
boundary
circular boundary ( $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$ )
vector of forcing terms due to remote shears
center of the circular boundary ( $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$ )
shear modulus for piezoelectric materials
shear moduli for the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions
diagonal matrices due to $c_{44}$ of the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions

Cauchy principal value
distance between two circular boundaries at the closest points
domain of interest
complementary domain
electric displacement components in the $x$ and $y$ directions radial and tangential components of electric displacements radial and tangential components of electric displacements in the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions eccentric distances in the $x$ and $y$ directions piezoelectric constant for piezoelectric materials piezoelectric constants for the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions diagonal matrices due to $e_{15}$ of the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions electric fields in the $x$ and $y$ directions applied at infinity electric field component in the $z$ direction radial and tangential components of electric fields radial and tangential components of electric fields in the piezoelectric

| $E_{r}^{I}, E_{\theta}^{I}$ | matrix and inclusions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $E_{\infty}$ | magnitude of applied electric field at infinity |
| $f(\theta)$ | function of boundary densities with respect to the angle $\theta$ |
| H.P.V. | Hadamard principal value |
| I | identity matrix |
| $L$ | truncated terms of Fourier series |
| $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | kernel function in the hypersingular formulation |
| $L^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $R>\rho$ |
| $L^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $\rho>R$ |
| $M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | kernel function in the hypersingular formulation |
| $M^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $R \geq \rho$ |
| $M^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $\rho>R$ |
| n | normal vector ( $n_{x}, n_{y}$ ) |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\text {s }}$ | normal vector at the source point s |
| $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | normal vector at the field point x |
| $N$ | number of the circular inclusions |
| $o$ | origin of the observer system |
| $p_{n}, q_{n}$ | Fourier coefficients of the boundary density $\psi(\mathbf{s})(n=0,1,2, \cdots, L)$ |
| $r$ | distance between the source point s and the field point $\mathrm{x}, r \equiv\|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s}\|$ |
| $r_{k}$ | radius of the circular inclusion ( $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$ ) |
| R.P.V. | Riemann principal value |
| s | source point |
| $t(\mathrm{~s})$ | normal derivative of $w(\mathrm{~s})$ at the source point s |
| $t^{\infty}$ | traction at infinity |
| $\mathbf{t}^{\infty}$ | vector of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities at infinity |
| $\mathbf{t}^{M}, \mathbf{t}^{I}$ | vectors of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities in the matrix and inclusions |
| $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | kernel function in the singular formulation |
| $T^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $R>\rho$ |
| $T^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $\rho>R$ |
| T | influence matrix of the kernel function $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ |
| $\mathbf{T}^{M}, \mathbf{T}^{I}$ | influence matrices of the kernel function $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for the matrix and inclusions |


| $u, v, w$ | displacements in the $x, y$ and $z$ directions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | kernel function in the singular formulation |
| $U^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $R \geq \rho$ |
| $U^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ | degenerate kernel function of $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $\rho>R$ |
| U | influence matrix of the kernel function $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ |
| $\mathbf{U}^{M}, \mathbf{U}^{I}$ | influence matrices of the kernel function $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for the matrix and inclusions |
| $V_{\infty}$ | velocity at infinity |
| $w(\mathrm{~s})$ | potential function of the displacement field at the source point s |
| $w^{\infty}$ | displacement at infinity |
| $w^{M}, w^{I}$ | displacements in the matrix and inclusions |
| $\mathbf{w}^{\infty}$ | vector of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities at infinity |
| $\mathbf{w}^{M}, \mathbf{w}^{I}$ | vectors of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities in the matrix and inclusions |
| x | field point |
| $x, y, z$ | Cartesian coordinates |
| $\beta$ | orientation between two piezoelectric inclusions |
| $\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s})$ | Dirac-delta function |
| $\varepsilon_{0}$ | permittivity of free space |
| $\varepsilon$ | observed distance for boundary-layer effect |
| $\varepsilon_{k}$ | dielectric constants for the dielectric matrix and inclusions ( $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$ ) |
| $\varepsilon_{11}$ | dielectric constant for piezoelectric materials |
| $\varepsilon_{11}^{M}, \varepsilon_{11}^{I}$ | dielectric constants for the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions |
| $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{11}^{M}, \varepsilon_{11}^{I}$ | diagonal matrices due to $\varepsilon_{11}$ of the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions |
| $\gamma_{z r}, \gamma_{z \theta}$ | radial and tangential components of shear strains |
| $\mathcal{L}$ | operator |
| $\mu$ | shear modulus for elastic materials |
| $\mu_{\text {k }}$ | shear modulus for the elastic matrix and inclusions ( $k=0,1,2, \cdots, N$ ) |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}$ | diagonal matrix for shear moduli of the matrix and inclusions $(k=0,1,2, \cdots, N)$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \varphi \\ & \varphi(\mathrm{s}) \end{aligned}$ | vector of Fourier coefficients $\left\{\begin{array}{llllll}a_{0} & a_{1} & b_{1} & \cdots & a_{M} & b_{M}\end{array}\right\}^{T}$ potential function at the source point s |


| $\varphi(\mathrm{x})$ | potential function at the field point x |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\Phi$ | electric potential |
| $\Phi(\mathrm{s})$ | potential function of the electric potential at the source point $s$ |
| $\Phi^{M}, \Phi^{I}$ | electric potentials in the piezoelectric matrix and inclusions |
| $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\infty}$ | vector of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities at infinity |
| $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{M}, \boldsymbol{\Phi}^{I}$ | vectors of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities in the matrix and inclusions |
| $\psi$ | vector of Fourier coefficients $\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}p_{0} & p_{1} & q_{1} & \cdots & p_{M} & q_{M}\end{array}\right\}^{T}$ |
| $\psi(\mathrm{s})$ | normal derivative of $\varphi(\mathrm{s})$ at the source point s |
| $\Psi(\mathrm{s})$ | normal derivative of $\Phi(\mathrm{s})$ at the source point s |
| $\Psi^{\infty}$ | vector of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities at infinity |
| $\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{M}, \boldsymbol{\Psi}^{I}$ | vectors of Fourier coefficients for boundary densities in the matrix and inclusions |
| ( $R, \theta, \vartheta$ ) | spherical coordinates of the source point s |
| $(R, \theta)$ | polar coordinates of the source point $s$ |
| $(\rho, \phi)$ | polar coordinates of the field point x |
| $\sigma_{z x}, \sigma_{z y}$ | shear stress components in the $x$ and $y$ directions |
| $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}$ | shear stresses in the $x$ and $y$ directions applied at infinity |
| $\sigma_{z r}, \sigma_{z \theta}$ | normal and tangential components of shear stresses |
| $\sigma_{z r}^{M}, \sigma_{z \theta}^{M}$, | normal and tangential components of shear stresses in the matrix and |
| $\sigma_{z r}^{I}, \sigma_{z \theta}^{I}$ | inclusions |
| $\tau_{\infty}$ | magnitude of applied shear stress at infinity |
| $\xi, \zeta$ | angles of radial and tangential gradient for the vector decomposition |
| $\nabla^{2}$ | Laplacian operator |


#### Abstract

In contrast to other numerical methods, the boundary integral equation method and boundary element method involve singular integrals. Although fictitious boundary element method and null-field integral formulation can avoid the singularity, they both result in an ill-posed model for direct problems. To avoid the singularity and to obtain a well-posed model at the same time are our goals. In this thesis, a unified formulation for solving boundary value problems with multiple circular inclusions using the null-field approach is proposed although our focus is on the Laplace problem only. The subdomain approach, namely, the concept of taking free body, is adopted for inclusion problems. For each subdomain, the null-field integral equation as well as boundary integral equation is derived. To fully capture the circular geometries, separable expressions of fundamental solutions in the adaptive observer system for field and source points and Fourier series for boundary densities are adopted to analytically calculate the boundary integral and to ensure the exponential convergence. By collocating the null-field point on the real boundary, singular and hypersingular integrals are transformed to series sums after introducing the concept of degenerate kernels. Not only the singularity but also senses of principle values are novelly avoided. Then the linear algebraic system is obtained after matching the boundary condition and the unknown coefficients can be easily determined. For the calculation of boundary stress, the Hadamard principal value for hypersingularity is not required and can be easily calculated by using series sums. For the eccentric case, the vector decomposition technique for the radial and tangential derivatives is carefully considered in implementing the hypersingular equation. At the same time, the boundary-layer effect is eliminated owing to the introduction of degenerate kernels. Convergence rate using various terms of Fourier series is also examined. Because the solution is formulated in a manner of semi-analytical form, it possesses certain advantages over the conventional boundary element method. Finally, three topics of engineering applications, anti-plane piezoelectricity, in-plane electrostatic and anti-plane elasticity problems, were given to demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of present method after comparing with other


available methods. It is found that the stress and electric field concentrations are dependent on the distance between the two inclusions, the mismatch in the material constants and the magnitude of mechanical and electromechanical loadings. A general-purpose program for multiple circular inclusions of various radii, arbitrary positions and different materials constants was developed.

Keywords: degenerate kernel, Fourier series, null-field approach, inclusion, anti-plane deformation, piezoelectricity, boundary-layer effect

## 中文摘要

相較於其它數值方法，邊界積分方程法與邊界元素法皆會引入奇異積分。雖然虚擬邊界元素法與零場積分方程法可以避免奇異積分，卻會造成矩陣病態問題。本文目標則是提出一套可同時避免奇異積分並獲得良態模式的系統性解法，求解含多圓形夾雜之邊界值問題。利用多領域法，即切取自由體圖的觀念，對於每個次領域而言都可使用零場積分方程與邊界積分方程求解。其中，將基本解以場，源點分離的概念展開為分離（退化）的型式，而邊界物理量則以傅立葉級數展開，搭配自適性觀察座標系統可解析求出邊界積分及確保級數指數收歛。經由均勺佈點於真實邊界上，奇異與超奇異積分都可被轉換成級數和的形式，且無須面對主值計算問題，在滿足邊界條件後可由所得的線性代數系統輕易地解出未知係數。針對非同心圓的例子，使用超奇異積分式計算勢能導微時，需採用向量分解的技巧來加以處理；而在計算邊界應力時所產生的主值問題也可被轉換成級數和的形式求得。同時，由於分離核（退化核）的引入，邊界層效應可被消除。另外，選取不同項數的傅立葉級數進行收敛分析來測試本方法的收敛速率。相對於傳統邊界元素法，此半解析法擁有某種程度的優越性。最後，為了驗證此方法的準確性與效率性，對反平面壓電問題，平面静電場問題與反平面彈力問題均予以測試。所得的數值結果也與由其它方法所得之結果加以比較，均能得到不錯的結果。由數值結果可以得知，應力與電場集中的大小取決於兩夾雜間的距離，材料常數與外力的作用大小。經由一系列的研究工作後，我們成功開發出一套系統性求解含任意數目，位置，不同大小與材料常數的圓形夾雜分析程式。

關鍵字：分離核函數，傅立葉級數，零場積分方程，夾雜，反平面位移，壓電力學，邊界層效應

## Chapter 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation of the research and literature review

Engineering analysis can be formulated as mathematical models of the boundary value problems. In order to solve the boundary value problems, researchers and engineers have paid more attention on the development of boundary integral equation method (BIEM), boundary element method (BEM) and meshless method than domain type methods, finite element method (FEM) and finite difference method (FDM). Among various numerical methods, BEM is one of the most popular numerical approaches for solving boundary value problems. Although BEM has been involved as an alternative numerical method for solving engineering problems, four critical issues are of concern.
(1) Treatment of singularity and hypersingularity

It is well known that BEM are based on the use of fundamental solutions to solve partial differential equations. These solutions are two-point functions which are singular as the source and field points coincide. Most of the efforts have been focused on the singular boundary integral equation for problems with ordinary boundaries. In some situations, the singular boundary integral equation is not sufficient, e.g. degenerate boundary, fictitious frequency and spurious eigenvalue. Therefore, the hypersingular equation is required. The role of hypersingularity in computational mechanics has been examined in the review article of Chen and Hong [27]. In the past, several regularizations for hypersingularity were offered to handle it in direct and indirect ways. Hong and Chen [99] have developed the theory of dual BIEM and dual BEM with hypersingular kernels. The analytical formula reveals the jump behavior of double-layer potentials. How to determine accurately the free terms has received more attentions in the past decade. To directly face the singular and hypersingular integrals, a large amount of papers on the Cauchy, Riemann and Hadamard principal values have been published through the bump contour approach. Two conventional approaches were employed to regularize the singular and hypersingular integrals. First, Guiggiani [55] has derived the free terms for Laplace and Navier equations using the differential geometry and bump
approach in Fig. 1-1 (a). Second, Gray and Manne [51] have employed a limiting process to ensure a unique solution by pushing an interior point to boundary in Fig. 1-1 (b) using the symbolic software. In the present approach, we employed the degenerate kernel to represent the two-point fundamental solution for problems with circular boundaries as shown in Fig. 1-1 (c). Recently, Wu [93] has developed a new boundary integral equation for the analysis of linear elastic bodies with cracks. In his formulation, displacement gradients and tractions on the non-crack boundary and dislocation on the crack line are required instead of displacement and traction in the dual BEM. Numerical quadratures for weak, strong singularities and hypersingularities have been proposed [65]. Chen and Chiu [25] has derived the separable expression of fundamental solution and can avoid calculating the improper integrals along the boundary. The singularity and hypersingularity disappeared in boundary integral equation after describing the potential into two parts. Unlike the conventional BEM and BIEM, Waterman [90] introduced first the so-called T-matrix method for electromagnetic scattering problems. Various names, null-field approach or extended boundary condition method (EBCM), have been coined. The null-field approach or T-matrix method is used widely for obtaining numerical solutions of acoustics [8, 9, 68, 91], elastodynamics [85, 92] and hydrodynamics [69]. Boström [14] introduced a new method of treating the scattering of transient fields by a bounded obstacle in three-dimensional space. He defined new sets of time-dependent basis functions, and use of these to expand the free space Green's function and the incoming and scattered fields. The method is a generalization to the time domain of the null-field approach first given by Waterman [90]. A crucial advantage of the null-field approach or T-matrix method consists in the fact that the influence matrix can be computed easily. Although many works for acoustic, elastodynamic and hydrodynamic problems have been done, only a few articles on elastostatics can be found [73]. The idea of changing real boundary to fictitious boundary (fictitious BEM) or putting the observation point outside the domain (null-field approach) can remove the singular and hypersingular integrals. However, they result in an ill-posed matrix which will be elaborated on later. A
method of well-posed mathematical model and free of directly determining singular and hypersingular integrals is one of our objects in this thesis.
(2) Boundary-layer effect

Boundary-layer effect in BEM has received attention in the recent years. In real applications, data near boundary can be smoothened since maximum principle always exists for potential problems. Nevertheless, it also deserves study to know how to manipulate the nearly singular integrals in applied mathematics. Kisu and Kawahara [63] proposed a concept of relative quantity to eliminate the boundary-layer effect. Chen and Hong in Taiwan [26] as well as Chen et al. in China [24] independently extended the idea of relative quantity to two regularization techniques which the boundary densities are subtracted by constant and linear terms. Sladek et al. [78, 79] used a regularized version of the stress boundary integral equation ( $\sigma$ BEM) to compute the correct values of stresses close to the boundary better than the non-regularized $\sigma \mathrm{BEM}$. An interpolation scheme to compute stresses at points very close to the boundary was proposed. Others proposed a regularization of the integrand by variable transformations. For example, Telles et al. [84] used a cubic transformation such that its Jacobian is minimum at the point on the boundary close to the collocation point and can smooth the integrand. Similarly, Huang and Cruse [60] proposed rational transformations which regularize the nearly singular integrals. How to eliminate the boundary-layer effect in BEM is vital for researchers. The thesis will identify that the present approach is inherently free of boundary-layer effect.
(3) Convergence rate

Undoubtedly, BEM is very popular for boundary value problems with general geometries since it requires discretization on the boundary only. Regarding to constant, linear and quadratic elements, the discretization scheme does not take the special geometry into consideration. It leads to the slow convergence rate. For problems with special geometries, one can propose the special function to approximate the boundary density on the specific geometry. For example, Fourier series is suitable for boundary densities on circular boundaries while the spherical
harmonic function is always employed to approximate the boundary density on surface of sphere. Legendre and Chebyshev polynomials are suited to approximate the boundary densities on the regular and degenerate straight boundaries, respectively. Methieu function is taken to describe the boundary densities of elliptic boundaries. Figure 1-2 shows randomly distribution holes and/or inclusions with square, elliptic and circular shapes, etc. in the two-dimensional region. Bird and Steele [11, 12] have proposed a solution procedure for Laplace and biharmonic problems with circular holes of arbitrary size, position and number. Their method can be seen as one kind of the Trefftz method of interior and exterior problems. The T-complete function can be found in the degenerate kernel of fundamental solution. The equivalence between the method of fundamental solution (MFS) and Trefftz method was recently constructed although both methods have histories of more than sixty years [40]. Boundary densities can be expanded in terms of truncated Fourier series. Caulk and Barone [4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 17, 18, 19] have solved the Laplace problem in two-dimensional region with circular holes by using the special boundary integral equations. In their approach, the boundary potential and its normal derivative are approximated by using Fourier series on each hole. Mogilevskaya and Crouch [44, 71, 87] presented a method for solving problems with randomly distributed circular elastic inclusions with arbitrary properties. They combined the series expansion technique with a complex boundary integral equation method. Although previous researchers have employed the Fourier series expansion, no one has ever introduced the degenerate kernel in boundary integral equations to tackle their problems. Kress has proved that the exponential convergence instead of the algebraic convergence in the BEM can be achieved by using the degenerate kernel and Fourier expansion [64]. This thesis will take advantage of this higher convergence rate to deal with problems with circular boundaries using Fourier series in conjunction with degenerate kernels. Moreover, the present method can be directly applied to problems with general boundaries without any difficulty once the fundamental solution can be separated in the other coordinate, e.g. Cartesian coordinate or elliptic coordinate.

## (4) Ill-posed model

As mentioned previously in the first issue, to avoid directly calculating the singular and hypersingular integrals by using null-field approach or fictitious BEM yields an ill-condition system. The influence matrix is not diagonally dominated and needs preconditioning. To approach the fictitious boundary to the real boundary or to move the null-field point to the real boundary can make the system well-posed. However, singularity appears in the meantime. We may wonder is it possible to push the null-field point on the real boundary but free of facing the singular or hypersingular integrals. The answer is yes and the key idea is to describe the jump behavior of potential distribution in the separate region using degenerate (separate) kernels for fundamental solutions. The details will be addressed in this study.

In this thesis, we develop a semi-analytical approach for boundary value problems with circular boundaries by using the null-field integral equation in conjunction with the degenerate kernel and Fourier series. To fully capture the geometry of circular boundary, the fundamental solution and boundary densities are expanded into the degenerate form and Fourier series in the polar coordinate, respectively. Four intermediate advantages are obtained, (1) singularity free, (2) boundary-layer effect free, (3) exponential convergence, (4) well-posed model. The adaptive observer system is proposed to fully employ the property of degenerate kernel. All the boundary integrals are analytically determined through the orthogonal property between the degenerate kernel and Fourier series. Therefore, improper integrals are transformed to series sums instead of the sense of principal values. A linear algebraic equation is formulated to determine the unknown Fourier coefficients after collocating the null-field point on the boundary and matching the boundary condition. For the calculation of potential gradient, the Hadamard principal value for hypersingularity is not required and can be easily calculated by using series sums and by adapting the vector decomposition technique for eccentric cases. In addition, the boundary-layer effect for stress calculations near the boundary and the convergence test with various terms of Fourier series are studied. Engineering applications containing multiple circular inclusions are demonstrated to see the validity of present method. The limiting case of cavities by setting zero modulus is tested to
compare previous results by our NTOU/MSV group. The extension to study on coupling effect of electrical and mechanical loadings for piezoelectricity problems is also done in this thesis.

### 1.2 Organization of the thesis

The frame of the thesis is shown in Fig. 1-3. In this thesis, the boundary value problems with circular boundaries are studied by using the null-field approach conjunction with degenerate kernels and Fourier series. Applications to anti-plane piezoelectricity problems with circular piezoelectric inclusions subjected to the mechanical and electrical loadings are investigated. Anti-plane elastic and in-plane electrostatic problems can be treated as limiting cases of the piezoelectricity problems. Besides, the boundary-layer effect for stress calculations and the convergence test are addressed. The content of each chapter is summarized below.

In the chapter 2, we present a semi-analytical formulation using the null-field approach in conjunction with degenerate kernel and Fourier series for solving boundary value problems. The kernel function is separated into degenerate (separate) forms and the boundary density is expanded into Fourier series to fully utilize the property of circular geometry. Although the present formulation is suitable for the Laplacian, Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz operators in one, two and three dimensional problems, only two-dimensional Laplace problems is adopted here.

In the chapter 3, the application to anti-plane piezoelectricity problems with arbitrary piezoelectric circular inclusions under remote anti-plane shears and in-plane electric fields is considered. Contour plots for the stresses and electric potential are illustrated to see the interaction of piezoelectric inclusions. The distributions of stresses, electric displacements and electric fields along various paths, e.g. the interface between the matrix and inclusion, the contour near the circular boundary and the $x$ axis, are calculated. The two piezoelectric circular inclusions problems with different geometries, material constants and magnitudes of mechanical and electromechanical loadings are considered to test our program. Besides, the decoupling electrostatic problems are solved as an uncoupling case. Numerical results are compared with the exact solution
and those of previous researchers by using various methods.
In the chapter 4, we derive the null-field approach for an elastic infinite medium containing elastic circular inclusions with arbitrary radii, positions and material constants under the remote anti-plane shears. It can be seen as a limiting case of anti-plane piezoelectricity problems. The boundary-layer effect for the stress calculation is examined. The exact solution for a single elastic inclusion is also re-derived by using the present formulation. Several problems of two holes, two inclusions, one cavity surrounded by two inclusions and three inclusions are revisited to demonstrate the generality and validity of our method. Regardless of the number, size and the position of circular inclusions and cavities, the proposed method is tested for its generality and validity. Finally, we draw out some concluding remarks item by item and exhibit some further issues in the chapter 5.

## Domain point



Null-field point


Figure 1-1 (a) Bump contour


Figure 1-1 (b) Limiting process


Figure 1-1 (c) Present method


Figure 1-2 A two-dimensional problem with holes, inclusions and cracks


Figure 1-3 The frame of the thesis

# Chapter 2 Basic formulation of null-field approach for boundary value problems with circular inclusions 

## Summary

In this chapter, the boundary value problem with circular boundaries is formulated by using the null-field integral equation. Degenerate kernels for fundamental solutions and Fourier series for unknown boundary densities are introduced to derive the formulation analytically. Intermediate advantages are obtained: (1) well-posed model, (2) singularity free, (3) boundary-layer effect free and (4) exponential convergence. The method is basically a numerical approach, and because of its semi-analytical nature, it possesses certain advantages over the conventional boundary element method. The null-field approach employing degenerate kernels can be applied to solve boundary value problems which are governed by the Laplace, Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz equations. Inclusion problems for anti-plane elasticity as well as the piezoelectricity study are our main concern.

### 2.1 Introduction

Many engineering problems can be described by using mathematical models of the Laplace, Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz equations. For example, anti-plane piezoelectricity, in-plane electrostatic, anti-plane elasticity, steady state heat conduction, ideal steady flow problems are the classical problems which are always simulated by the Laplace equation. Membrane vibration, water wave and acoustic problems are often modeled by the Helmholtz problems. Plate vibration problems are governed by the biHelmholtz equation. Plate problems in solid mechanics and Stokes' flow in fluid mechanics can be formulated by the biharmonic equation through the Airy stress function and streamfunction, respectively.

Engineers often have the opportunity to apply circular components to engineering structures. Although these structures are rather simple, the analytical solutions for their
engineering system are difficult to obtain. To the author's best knowledge, special mapping technique or bipolar coordinate were always used to derive the analytical solution for two circular holes and/or inclusions, respectively. However, conformal mapping or bipolar coordinate is limited to doubly connected regions even to conforcal connected regions; most efforts have concentrated on special solution representations. Alternative methods have been adopted to solve problems with multiple circular holes [48, 49]. Numerical approaches, e.g. finite difference method (FDM), finite element method (FEM), boundary element method (BEM), boundary integral equation method (BIEM) and meshless method, etc., are always resorted to handle the problems. Among diverse numerical approaches, FEM and BEM have become the popular research tools for engineers. In the past decade, FEM has been widely applied to carry out many engineering problems, but one deficiency is that discretizations are time-consuming to generate the mesh. Unlike FEM, the discretizations are restricted only to the boundary when using BEM. The main advantage is one-dimension reduction in mesh generation and particularly convenient for unbounded domain and stress concentration problems. BEM is also ideally suited to the analysis of external problems where domains extend to infinity, since discretizations are confined to the internal boundaries with no need to truncate the domain at a finite distance. There is no doubt that BEM has been appreciated as an alternative numerical method which has been extensively used. Practical engineers and academic researchers paid attention to theoretical study and applications of BEM in the recent decades. Although BEM is recognized as an acceptable tool, some pitfalls still exist, e.g. degenerate scale for potential problems, fictitious frequency for exterior acoustics and boundary-layer effect for stress calculations near the boundary. Detailed discussions for the pitfalls of BEM can consult with the three lectures by Chen et al. [28, 33, 34].

For problems with circular boundaries, the BIEM can be utilized instead of BEM to improve the convergence rate by introducing Fourier series. The Fourier series expansion is specially tailored to problems with circular geometry. Early attempts to solve problems involving circular boundaries using series expansions were reported by Mogilevskaya and Crouch [44, 71], Barone and Caulk [4-7] and Bird and Steele [11, 12].

Barone and Caulk explored the use of special BIEM for solving Laplace's equation in two-dimensional regions with circular holes. Based on his idea, the boundary potential and its normal derivative were expressed in a finite series of circular harmonics on each hole. Unlike other approaches, the unknown coefficients in each hole are determined by a new set of integral equations with special kernel functions. However, the explicit equations in [16] were limited to the case when a constant potential is specified on the boundary of each hole. Steele and his coworkers [11, 12] have adopted the Fourier series for harmonic and biharmonic problems with circular holes. In their numerical results, only six terms of Fourier series on each hole were sufficient to yield error of less than 0.05 percent. Recently, Mogilevskaya and Crouch [71] also presented a method by employing the Fourier series expansion technique and used the Galerkin method for solving problems with randomly distributed circular elastic inclusions with arbitrary properties. They combined the series expansion technique with a direct BIEM. However, all of previous studies didn't employ the null-field integral equation and degenerate kernels in polar coordinate to fully capture the circular boundary to the author's best knowledge although they have employed the Fourier series. Degenerate kernels play an important role not only for mathematical analysis [39] but also for numerical implementation [64]. For example, the spurious eigenvalue [31], fictitious frequency [30] and degenerate scale [32] have been mathematically and numerically studied by using degenerate kernels for problems with circular boundaries. One gain is that the exponential convergence instead of the algebraic convergence in BEM can be achieved by using the degenerate kernel and Fourier expansion [64].

In the chapter, we focus on the boundary value problems with circular inclusions and propose a semi-analytical approach. A major benefit of using degenerate kernels for the fundamental solution and the Fourier expansion for circular boundaries is that all integrations can be performed analytically instead of calculations using senses of principal values. Besides, the degenerate kernels for fundamental solutions and Fourier series for boundary densities lead to the exponential convergence [64]. After expanding the boundary density along each circular boundary by using the Fourier series expansion, the null-field integral equation yields a system of linear algebraic equations
for unknown coefficients of Fourier series. To match the interface condition between the matrix and each inclusion, additional constraints are provided to determine the unknown Fourier coefficients by the resulted linear algebraic system. A general-purpose program for solving boundary value problems containing circular inclusions with different radii, various center positions and arbitrary material properties is developed.

### 2.2 Dual boundary integral equations and dual null-field integral equations

Suppose there are $N$ randomly distributed circular inclusions bounded to the contours $B_{k} \quad(k=0,1,2, \cdots, N)$ as shown in Fig. 2-1. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\bigcup_{k=0}^{N} B_{k} . \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In mathematical physics, many engineering problems can be modeled by the governing equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \varphi(\mathrm{x})=0, \mathrm{x} \in D \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ may be the Laplacian, Helmholtz, biharmonic or biHelmholtz operators, $\varphi(\mathrm{x})$ is the potential function and $D$ is the domain of interest. For the two-dimensional second-order operators of Laplacian and Helmholtz, the boundary integral equation for the domain point can be derived from the third Green's identity [27], we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \pi \varphi(\mathrm{x})=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D  \tag{2-3}\\
2 \pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D \tag{2-4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where s and x are the source and field points, respectively, $\psi(\mathrm{s})=\partial \varphi(\mathrm{s}) / \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}, B$ is the boundary, $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}$ denotes the outward normal vector at the field point x and the kernel function $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ is the fundamental solution which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=2 \pi \delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s}) \tag{2-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\delta(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s})$ denotes the Dirac-delta function. The other kernel functions, $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$, $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$, are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}}, L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}, \quad M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \equiv \frac{\partial^{2} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}} \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}, \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{s}$ is the outward normal vector at the source point $s$. By moving the field point to the boundary, Eqs. (2-3) and (2-4) reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi \varphi(\mathrm{x}) & =C . P \cdot V \cdot \int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-R . P . V \cdot \int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in B,  \tag{2-7}\\
\pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}} & =H . P . V \cdot \int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-C . P . V \cdot \int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in B, \tag{2-8}
\end{align*}
$$

where C.P.V., R.P.V. and H.P.V. denote the Cauchy principal value, Riemann principal value and Hadamard principal value, respectively. Once the field point x locates outside the domain, the null-field integral equation of the direct method in Eqs. (2-7) and (2-8) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D^{c},  \tag{2-9}\\
& 0=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D^{c}, \tag{2-10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $D^{c}$ is the complementary domain. Note that the conventional null-field integral equations are not singular since $s$ and $x$ never coincide. If the kernel function in Eqs. (2-3), (2-4), (2-9) and (2-10) can be described as degenerate (separate) forms for the inside $D$ or outside $D^{c}$ domain, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \pi \varphi(\mathrm{x})=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D \cup B,  \tag{2-11}\\
2 \pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D \cup B,  \tag{2-12}\\
0=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D^{\mathrm{c}} \cup B,  \tag{2-13}\\
0=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \quad \mathrm{x} \in D^{c} \cup B . \tag{2-14}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is noted that the boundary integral equation for the domain point and the null-field integral equation for the null-field point can include the collocation point on the real boundary since the appropriate kernel can be used as elaborated on later in the following section.

### 2.3 Expansions of fundamental solution and boundary density

Now, we adopt the mathematical tools, degenerate kernels and Fourier series, for the purpose of analytical study. The combination of degenerate kernels and Fourier series plays the major role in handling problems with circular boundaries. Instead of directly calculating the C.P.V., R.P.V. and H.P.V. in Eqs. (2-7) and (2-8), we obtain the linear algebraic system from the null-field integral equation of Eqs. (2-13) and (2-14) through the kernel expansion by "exactly" collocating the point on the real boundary. Based on the separable property, the kernel function $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ can be expanded into the separable form by dividing the source and field points:

$$
U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\sum_{j} A_{j}(\mathrm{~s}) B_{j}(\mathrm{x}),|\mathrm{s}| \geq|\mathrm{x}|  \tag{2-15}\\
U^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\sum_{j} A_{j}(\mathrm{x}) B_{j}(\mathrm{~s}),|\mathrm{x}|>|\mathrm{s}|
\end{array},\right.
$$

where the bases of $A(\cdot)$ and $B(\cdot)$ can be found for the Laplacian, Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz operators and the superscripts " $i$ " and " $e$ " denote the interior $(|s| \geq|x|)$ and exterior $(|x|>|s|)$ cases, respectively. To classify the interior (left, 1-D) and exterior (right, 1-D) regions, Figure 2-2 shows for one, two and three dimensional cases. For the degenerate form of $T, L$ and $M$ kernels, they can be derived according to their definitions in Eq. (2-6). For simplicity, Table 2-1 summarizes the main difference between the present formulation and conventional BEM for simply-connected domain problems. Regarding the multiply-connected domain problems, the interior " $i$ " and exterior " $e$ " expansions for the kernel should be taken with care. Although the mathematical tools of degenerate kernels, are suitable for the Laplacian, Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz operators in one, two and three dimensional problems, we focus on the two-dimensional Laplace problems in this thesis as explained below.

## Degenerate kernels for fundamental solutions:

Based on the separable property, the kernel function $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\ln r,(r \equiv|\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{s}|)$, is expanded into the degenerate form by separating the source point and field point in the
polar coordinate [25]:

$$
U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\ln R-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{m} \cos m(\theta-\phi), R \geq \rho  \tag{2-16}\\
U^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\ln \rho-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{m} \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
\end{array},\right.
$$

where the superscripts " $i$ " and " $e$ " denote the interior ( $R>\rho$ ) and exterior ( $\rho>R$ ) cases, respectively. The origin of the observer system for the degenerate kernel is $(0,0)$. Figure 2-3 shows the graph of separate expressions of fundamental solutions where source point s located at $R=10.0$ and $\theta=\pi / 3$. By setting the origin at $o$ for the observer system, a circle with radius $R$ from the origin $o$ to the source point s is plotted. If the field point $x$ is situated inside the circular region, the degenerate kernel belongs to the interior expression of $U^{i}$; otherwise, it is the exterior case. It is noted that the leading term and numerator term in Eq. (2-16) involve the larger argument to ensure the log singularity and series convergence, respectively. After taking the normal derivative $\partial / \partial R$ with respect to Eq. (2-16), the $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ kernel yields

$$
T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\frac{1}{R}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), R>\rho  \tag{2-17}\\
T^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
\end{array},\right.
$$

and the higher-order kernel functions, $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$, are shown below

$$
\begin{align*}
& L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
L^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m-1}}{R^{m}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), R>\rho \\
L^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\frac{1}{\rho}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
\end{array},\right.  \tag{2-18}\\
& M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
M^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m \rho^{m-1}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), R \geq \rho \\
M^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
\end{array} .\right. \tag{2-19}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the potentials resulted from $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ kernels are discontinuous across the boundary, the potentials of $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ for $R \rightarrow \rho^{+}$and $R \rightarrow \rho^{-}$
are different. This is the reason why $R=\rho$ is not included for degenerate kernels of $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ in Eqs. (2-17) and (2-18).

## Fourier series expansions for unknown boundary densities

For problems with circular boundaries, we apply the Fourier series expansions to approximate the potential $\varphi$ and its normal derivative $\psi$ on the boundary $B_{k}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi\left(\mathrm{s}_{k}\right)=a_{0}^{k}+\sum_{n=1}^{L}\left(a_{n}^{k} \cos n \theta_{k}+b_{n}^{k} \sin n \theta_{k}\right), \mathrm{s}_{k} \in B_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \cdots, N,  \tag{2-20}\\
& \psi\left(\mathrm{~s}_{k}\right)=p_{0}^{k}+\sum_{n=1}^{L}\left(p_{n}^{k} \cos n \theta_{k}+q_{n}^{k} \sin n \theta_{k}\right), \mathrm{s}_{k} \in B_{k}, \quad k=0,1,2, \cdots, N, \tag{2-21}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi\left(\mathrm{s}_{k}\right)=\partial \varphi\left(\mathrm{s}_{k}\right) / \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}, a_{n}^{k}, b_{n}^{k}, \quad p_{n}^{k}$ and $q_{n}^{k}(n=0,1,2, \cdots, L)$ are the Fourier coefficients and $\theta_{k}$ is the polar angle. In the real computation, only $2 L+1$ finite terms are considered where $L$ indicates the truncated terms of Fourier series.

### 2.4 Mathematical formulation and solution procedure

### 2.4.1 Adaptive observer system

By using the collocation method, the null-field integral equation becomes a set of algebraic equations for the Fourier coefficients. To ensure the stability of algebraic equations, one has to choose collocation points throughout all the circular boundaries of inclusions. Since the boundary integral equation is derived from the reciprocal theorem of energy concept, the boundary integral equation is frame indifferent due to the objectivity rule. This is the reason why the observer system is adaptively to locate the origin at the center of circle in the boundary integration. The adaptive observer system is chosen to fully employ the property of degenerate kernels. Figures 2-4 (a) and 2-4 (b) show the boundary integration for the circular boundary in the adaptive observer system. It is worth noting that the origin of the observer system is located on the center of the corresponding circle under integration to entirely utilize the geometry of circular boundary for the expansion of degenerate kernels and boundary densities. The dummy variable in the circular integration is the angle $(\theta)$ instead of the radial coordinate $(R)$.

### 2.4.2 Linear algebraic equation

By moving the null-field point $\mathrm{x}_{m}$ to the $k$ th circular boundary in the limit sense for Eq. (2-13) in Fig. 2-4 (a), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
0=\sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{B_{k}} T\left(R_{k}, \theta_{k} ; \rho_{m}, \phi_{m}\right) \varphi\left(R_{k}, \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k}-\sum_{k=0}^{N} \int_{B_{k}} U\left(R_{k}, \theta_{k} ; \rho_{m}, \phi_{m}\right) \psi\left(R_{k}, \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k}  \tag{2-22}\\
, \mathrm{x}\left(\rho_{m}, \phi_{m}\right) \in D^{c} \cup B,
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N$ is the number of circular inclusions and $B_{0}$ denotes the outer boundary for the bounded domain. In case of the infinite problem, $B_{0}$ becomes $B_{\infty}$. Note that the kernels $U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ and $T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$ are shown in the degenerate form given by Eqs. (2-16) and (2-17), respectively, while the boundary densities $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are expressed in terms of the Fourier series expansion forms given by Eqs. (2-20) and (2-21), respectively. Then, the integrals multiplied by separate expansion coefficients in Eq. (2-22) are non-singular and the limit of the null-field point to the boundary is easily implemented by using appropriate forms of degenerate kernels. Through such an idea, all the singular and hypersingular integrals are well captured. Thus, the collocation point $\mathrm{x}\left(\rho_{m}, \phi_{m}\right)$ in the discretized Eq. (2-22) can be considered on the boundary $B_{k}$, as well as the null-field point. Along each circular boundary, $2 L+1$ collocation points are required to match $2 L+1$ terms of Fourier series for constructing a square influence matrix with the dimension of $2 L+1$ by $2 L+1$. In contrast to the standard discretized boundary integral equation formulation with nodal unknowns of the physical boundary densities $\varphi$ and $\psi$. Now the degrees of freedom are transformed to Fourier coefficients employed in expansion of boundary densities. It is found that the compatible relationship of the boundary unknowns is equivalent by moving either the null-field point or the domain point to the boundary in different directions using various degenerate kernels as shown in Fig. 2-4 (a) and 2-4 (b). Both approaches yield the same linear algebraic equation due to the Wronskian property (see the Appendix in [36]). In the $B_{k}$ integration, we set the origin of the observer system to collocate at the center $c_{k}$ to fully utilize the degenerate kernels and Fourier series. By collocating the null-field point on the boundary, the linear algebraic system is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\mathbf{U}]\{\boldsymbol{\psi}\}=[\mathbf{T}]\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}\}, \tag{2-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[\mathbf{U}]$ and $[\mathbf{T}]$ are the influence matrices with a dimension of $(N+1)(2 L+1)$ by $(N+1)(2 L+1),\{\varphi\}$ and $\{\boldsymbol{\psi}\}$ denote the column vectors of Fourier coefficients with a dimension of $(N+1)(2 L+1)$ by 1 in which those are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[\mathbf{U}]=} {\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{U}_{00} & \mathbf{U}_{01} & \cdots & \mathbf{U}_{0 N} \\
\mathbf{U}_{10} & \mathbf{U}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{U}_{1 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{U}_{N 0} & \mathbf{U}_{N 1} & \cdots & \mathbf{U}_{N N}
\end{array}\right], \quad[\mathbf{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{T}_{00} & \mathbf{T}_{01} & \cdots & \mathbf{T}_{0 N} \\
\mathbf{T}_{10} & \mathbf{T}_{11} & \cdots & \mathbf{T}_{1 N} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\mathbf{T}_{N 0} & \mathbf{T}_{N 1} & \cdots & \mathbf{T}_{N N}
\end{array}\right], }  \tag{2-24}\\
&\{\varphi\}=  \tag{2-25}\\
&\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{0} \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{N}
\end{array}\right\},\{\boldsymbol{\psi}\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{0} \\
\psi_{1} \\
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{N}
\end{array}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}\right\}$ are in the form of $\left\{\begin{array}{llllll}a_{0}^{k} & a_{1}^{k} & b_{1}^{k} & \cdots & a_{L}^{k} & b_{L}^{k}\end{array}\right\}^{T}$ and $\left\{\begin{array}{llllll}p_{0}^{k} & p_{1}^{k} & q_{1}^{k} & \cdots & p_{L}^{k} & q_{L}^{k}\end{array}\right\}^{T}$, respectively; the first subscript " $j$ " $(j=0,1,2, \cdots, N)$ in $\left[\mathbf{U}_{j k}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{T}_{j k}\right]$ denotes the index of the $j$ th circle where the collocation point is located and the second subscript " $k$ " $(k=0,1,2, \cdots, N)$ denotes the index of the $k t h$ circle when integrating on each boundary data $\left\{\boldsymbol{\varphi}_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{k}\right\}, N$ is the number of circular inclusions in the domain and the number $L$ indicates the truncated terms of Fourier series. The coefficient matrix of the linear algebraic system is partitioned into blocks, and each off-diagonal block corresponds to the influence matrices between two different circular boundaries. The diagonal blocks are the influence matrices due to themselves in each individual circle. After uniformly collocating the points along the $k t h$ circular boundary, the submatrix can be written as

$$
\left[\mathbf{U}_{j k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
U_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & \cdots & U_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & U_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{1}\right)  \tag{2-26}\\
U_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & \cdots & U_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & U_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \\
U_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & \cdots & U_{j k}^{M c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & U_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{3}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
U_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & \cdots & U_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & U_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) \\
U_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & U_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & \cdots & U_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & U_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\left[\mathbf{T}_{j k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
T_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & \cdots & T_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{1}\right) & T_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{1}\right)  \tag{2-27}\\
T_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & \cdots & T_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2}\right) & T_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2}\right) \\
T_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & \cdots & T_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{3}\right) & T_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{3}\right) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
T_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & \cdots & T_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) & T_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2 L}\right) \\
T_{j k}^{0 c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & T_{j k}^{1 s}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & \cdots & T_{j k}^{L c}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right) & T_{j k}^{L s}\left(\phi_{2 L+1}\right)
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\phi_{m}, m=1,2, \cdots, 2 L+1$, is the angle of collocation point along the circular boundary. Although both the matrices in Eqs. (2-26) and (2-27) are not sparse, it is found that the higher order harmonics are considered, the lower influence coefficients in numerical experiments are obtained. It is noted that the superscript " $0 s$ " in Eqs. (2-26) and (2-27) disappears since $\sin n \theta=0 \quad(n=0)$. The element of $\left[\mathbf{U}_{j k}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{T}_{j k}\right]$ are defined respectively as

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{j k}^{n c}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=\int_{B_{k}} U\left(\mathrm{~s}_{k}, \mathrm{x}_{m}\right) \cos \left(n \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k},  \tag{2-28}\\
& \quad n=0,1,2, \cdots, L, \quad m=1,2, \cdots, 2 L+1, \\
& U_{j k}^{n s}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=\int_{B_{k}} U\left(\mathrm{~s}_{k}, \mathrm{x}_{m}\right) \sin \left(n \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k},  \tag{2-29}\\
& n=1,2, \cdots, L, \quad m=1,2, \cdots, 2 L+1, \\
& T_{j k}^{n s}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=\int_{B_{k}} T\left(\mathrm{~s}_{k}, \mathrm{x}_{m}\right) \cos \left(n \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k},  \tag{2-30}\\
& n=0,1,2, \cdots, L, \quad m=1,2, \cdots, 2 L+1, \\
& T_{j k}^{n s}\left(\phi_{m}\right)=\int_{B_{k}} T\left(\mathrm{~s}_{k}, \mathrm{x}_{m}\right) \sin \left(n \theta_{k}\right) R_{k} d \theta_{k},  \tag{2-31}\\
& n=1,2, \cdots, L, m=1,2, \cdots, 2 L+1,
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is no sum, $\mathrm{s}_{k}=\left(R_{k}, \theta_{k}\right)$, and $\phi_{m}$ is the angle of collocation point $\mathrm{X}_{m}$ along the boundary. The influence coefficient of $U_{j k}^{n c}\left(\phi_{m}\right)$ in Eq. (2-28) denotes the response at $\mathrm{x}_{m}$ due to the $\cos n \theta$ distribution as shown in Fig. 2-5. Equation (2-22) can be calculated by employing the orthogonal property of trigonometric function in the real computation. Only the finite $L$ terms are used in the summation of Eqs. (2-20) and (2-21). The explicit forms of all the boundary integrals for $U, T, L$ and $M$ kernels are listed in the Table 2-2. Finite values of singular and hypersingular integrals are well captured after introducing the degenerate kernel. Besides, the limiting case across the boundary ( $R^{-} \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow R^{+}$) is also addressed. The continuous and jump
behavior across the boundary is well described. After introducing the Wronskian property of two bases $\rho^{m}, \rho^{-m}$ in Eq. (2-16), the jump behavior across the boundary for the double layer potential $T$ and the normal derivative of singular layer potential $L$ is well captured by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{i}-T^{e}\right] \cos (n \theta) R d \theta=2 \pi \cos (n \phi),  \tag{2-32}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{i}-T^{e}\right] \sin (n \theta) R d \theta=2 \pi \sin (n \phi),  \tag{2-33}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{i}-L^{e}\right] \cos (n \theta) R d \theta=-2 \pi \cos (n \phi),  \tag{2-34}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{i}-L^{e}\right] \sin (n \theta) R d \theta=-2 \pi \sin (n \phi), \tag{2-35}
\end{align*}
$$

The term of $2 \pi$ depends on the Wronskian of two bases. Reader can consult the 1-D case. Besides, the continuous and pseudo-continuous behavior across the boundary for the singular layer potential $U$ and the normal derivative of double layer potential $M$ is well described as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{i}-U^{e}\right] \cos (n \theta) R d \theta=0  \tag{2-36}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{i}-U^{e}\right] \sin (n \theta) R d \theta=0  \tag{2-37}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{i}-M^{e}\right] \cos (n \theta) R d \theta=0  \tag{2-38}\\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{i}-M^{e}\right] \sin (n \theta) R d \theta=0 \tag{2-39}
\end{align*}
$$

Instead of using nodal values for boundary densities in the BEM, the Fourier coefficients become the new unknown degrees of freedom in the formulation.

Regarding the circular inclusion problems in the infinite domain, it can be decomposed to one exterior and many interior Laplace problems with circular boundaries after taking free body along the interface of matrix and each inclusion. Two kinds of problems can be formulated in a unified manner as shown in Eq. (2-23) after superimposing remote loadings:
(1) One bounded problem of the circular domain becomes the interior problem for each inclusion as the only boundary $B_{0}$ in Fig. 2-4 (a) exists.
(2) The other is unbounded, i.e. the outer boundary $B_{0}$ in Fig. 2-4 (a) is $B_{\infty}$. It is the exterior problem for the matrix.

The direction of contour integration should be taken care, i.e. counterclockwise and clockwise directions are for the interior and exterior problems, respectively. To match the interface condition between the matrix and each inclusion, additional constraints are provided. Matrix form for the constraints will be elaborated on later in Chapters 3 and Chapter 4. The unknown Fourier coefficients can be determined by the resulted linear algebraic system. Then the potential field is obtained after employing Eq. (2-11). The differences between the present formulation and the conventional BEM are listed in Table 2-3.

### 2.4.3 Vector decomposition technique for the potential gradient in the hypersingular equation

In order to determine the field of potential gradient, the normal and tangential derivatives should be calculated with care. Also Eq. (2-12) shows the normal derivative of potential for domain points. For the nonconcentric cases, special treatment for the potential gradient should be considered as the source point and field point locate on different circular boundaries. As shown in Fig. 2-6, the normal direction on the boundary ( $1,1^{\prime}$ ) should be superimposed by those of the radial derivative ( 3,3 ') and angular derivative ( 4,4 ') through the vector decomposition technique. According to the concept of vector decomposition technique, the kernel functions of Eqs. (2-18) and (2-19) can be modified to

$$
L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{array}{rl}
L^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi) & =-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m-1}}{R^{m}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi) \cos (\zeta-\xi)  \tag{2-40}\\
& -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m-1}}{R^{m}}\right) \sin m(\theta-\phi) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\zeta+\xi\right), R>\rho \\
L^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi) & =\frac{1}{\rho}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi) \cos (\zeta-\xi) \\
& -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \sin m(\theta-\phi) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\zeta+\xi\right), \rho>R
\end{array},\right.
$$

$$
M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})=\left\{\begin{align*}
M^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)= & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m \rho^{m-1}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi) \cos (\zeta-\xi)  \tag{2-41}\\
& -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m \rho^{m-1}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \sin m(\theta-\phi) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\zeta+\xi\right), \quad R \geq \rho \\
M^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)= & \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi) \cos (\zeta-\xi) \\
& -\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \sin m(\theta-\phi) \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\zeta+\xi\right), \rho>R
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\zeta$ and $\xi$ are shown in Fig. 2-6. For the special case of confocal, the potential gradient is derived free of special treatment since $\zeta=\xi$.

### 2.5 Concluding remarks

For boundary value problems with circular boundaries, the null-field approach by using the null-field integral equation, degenerate kernels and Fourier series in the adaptive observer system was proposed. The singularity and hypersingularity were avoided after introducing the concept of degenerate kernels for interior and exterior regions. Besides, the boundary-layer effect for the potential gradient calculation is expected to be eliminated since the degenerate kernel can describe the jump behavior for interior and exterior domains, respectively. The generality and versatility for the problems with multiple circular inclusions of arbitrary radii, positions and properties will be examined in the following chapters. Both the efficiency and accuracy will be investigated. Moreover, the presented method here can be applied to Laplace problems with circular boundaries, e.g. piezoelectricity, electrostatic, magnetic, torsion, elasticity, heat conduction and hydrodynamic problems. Besides, extensions to the Helmholtz, biharmonic and biHelmholtz operators as well as 3-D problems are straightforward once the corresponding degenerate kernels and bases for boundary densities can be found. In this thesis, our main concern is only the Laplace problem.

Table 2-1 Comparison of formulation between the present approach and conventional BEM for simply-connected domain problems

|  | Conventional BEM | Present formulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pi \varphi(\mathrm{x})=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D \\ \pi \varphi(\mathrm{x})=C . P . V \cdot \int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-R . P . V \cdot \int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in B \\ 0=\int_{B} T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D^{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pi \varphi(\mathrm{x})=\int_{B} T^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D \cup B \\ 0=\int_{B} T^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} U^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D^{c} \cup B \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D \\ \pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}=H . P . V \cdot \int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-C . P . V \cdot \int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in B \\ 0=\int_{B} M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D^{c} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \pi \frac{\partial \varphi(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}=\int_{B} M^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L^{i}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D \cup B \\ 0=\int_{B} M^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \varphi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s})-\int_{B} L^{e}(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x}) \psi(\mathrm{s}) d B(\mathrm{~s}), \mathrm{x} \in D^{c} \cup B \end{gathered}$ |

Table 2-2 Influence coefficients for the singularity distribution on the circular boundary


$$
U^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\ln R-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{m} \cos m(\theta-\phi), R \geq \rho
$$

$U^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\ln \rho-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m}\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{m} \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{e}\right][1] R d \theta=2 \pi \ln \rho, \rho>R
$$

$U(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{i}\right][1] R d \theta=2 \pi \ln R, R \geq \rho \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{i}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=\pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{\rho^{n}}{R^{n-1}} \cos n \phi, R \geq \rho \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{i}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=\pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{\rho^{n}}{R^{n-1}} \sin n \phi, R \geq \rho
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{e}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=\pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^{n+1}}{\rho^{n}} \cos n \phi, \rho>R
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[U^{e}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=\pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^{n+1}}{\rho^{n}} \sin n \phi, \rho>R
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 2 \pi \ln R=2 \pi \ln R \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{\rho^{n}}{R^{n-1}} \cos n \phi=\pi \frac{1}{n} R \cos n \phi \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{\rho^{n}}{R^{n-1}} \sin n \phi=\pi \frac{1}{n} R \sin n \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuous

$$
\left(R^{-} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^{+}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 2 \pi \ln \rho=2 \pi \ln R \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^{n+1}}{\rho^{n}} \cos n \phi=\pi \frac{1}{n} R \cos n \phi \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi \frac{1}{n} \frac{R^{n+1}}{\rho^{n}} \sin n \phi=\pi \frac{1}{n} R \sin n \phi
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
T^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\frac{1}{R}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), R>\rho \quad T^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
$$

$T(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{i}\right][1] R d \theta=\frac{2 \pi}{R}, R>\rho \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{i}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n} \cos n \phi, R>\rho \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{i}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n} \sin n \phi, R>\rho
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{e}\right][1] R d \theta=0, \rho>R
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{e}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=-\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n} \cos n \phi, \rho>R
$$

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[T^{e}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=-\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n} \sin n \phi, \rho>R
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{2 \pi}{R}=\frac{2 \pi}{R} \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n} \cos n \phi=\pi \cos n \phi \\
& \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n} \sin n \phi=\pi \sin n \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 0=0
$$

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow-}-\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n} \cos n \phi=-\pi \cos n \phi
$$

$$
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow R}-\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n} \sin n \phi=-\pi \sin n \phi
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{\rho^{m-1}}{R^{m}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), R>\rho \\
& L^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\frac{1}{\rho}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{m}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{i}\right][1] R d \theta=0, R>\rho \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{i}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=-\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-1} \cos n \phi, R>\rho \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{i}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=-\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-1} \sin n \phi, R>\rho \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{e}\right][1] R d \theta=\frac{2 \pi}{\rho}, \rho>R \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{e}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+1} \cos n \phi, \rho>R \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[L^{e}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=\pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+1} \sin n \phi, \rho>R \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lc} \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 0=0 & \\ \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R}-\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-1} \cos n \phi=-\pi \cos n \phi & \text { Jump } \\ \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R}-\pi\left(\frac{\rho}{R}\right)^{n-1} \sin n \phi=-\pi \sin n \phi & \left(R^{-} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^{+}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \frac{2 \pi}{\rho}=\frac{2 \pi}{R} \\ & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+1} \cos n \phi=\pi \cos n \phi \\ & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} \pi\left(\frac{R}{\rho}\right)^{n+1} \sin n \phi=\pi \sin n \phi \end{aligned}$ |



$M^{i}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m \rho^{m-1}}{R^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \quad R \geq \rho$
$M^{e}(R, \theta ; \rho, \phi)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{m R^{m-1}}{\rho^{m+1}}\right) \cos m(\theta-\phi), \rho>R$
$M(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{x})$

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{i}\right][1] R d \theta=0, R \geq \rho \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{i}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=n \pi \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{R^{n}} \cos n \phi, R \geq \rho \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{i}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=n \pi \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{R^{n}} \sin n \phi, R \geq \rho \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{e}\right][1] R d \theta=0, \rho>R \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{e}\right][\cos n \theta] R d \theta=n \pi \frac{R^{n}}{\rho^{n+1}} \cos n \phi, \rho>R \\ & \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[M^{e}\right][\sin n \theta] R d \theta=n \pi \frac{R^{n}}{\rho^{n+1}} \sin n \phi, \rho>R \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{lc} \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 0=0 & \text { Pseudo- } \\ \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} n \pi \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{R^{n}} \cos n \phi=n \pi \frac{1}{R} \cos n \phi & \text { continuous } \\ \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} n \pi \frac{\rho^{n-1}}{R^{n}} \sin n \phi=n \pi \frac{1}{R} \sin n \phi & \left(R^{-} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^{+}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} 0=0 \\ & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} n \pi \frac{R^{n}}{\rho^{n+1}} \cos n \phi=n \pi \frac{1}{R} \cos n \phi \\ & \lim _{\rho \rightarrow R} n \pi \frac{R^{n}}{\rho^{n+1}} \sin n \phi=n \pi \frac{1}{R} \sin n \phi \end{aligned}$ |

Table 2-3 Comparisons of the present method and the conventional BEM

|  | Boundary density discretization | Auxiliary system | Formulation | Observer system | Singularity | Convergence | Boundary-layer effect |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fourier series | Degenerate kernel | Null-field integral equation | Adaptive observer system | Disappear after introducing the degenerate kernel | Exponential convergence | Eliminate |
|  | Constant element | Fundamental solution | Boundary integral equation | Fixed observer system | Principal values (C.P.V., R.P.V. and H.P.V.) | Linear algebraic convergence | Appear |



Figure 2-1 Randomly distributed circular inclusions bounded to the contour $B_{k}$


Figure 2-2 Degenerate kernels for one, two and three dimensional problems


Figure 2-3 Graph of the degenerate kernel for the fundamental solution $\mathrm{s}=(10, \pi / 3)$


Figure 2-4 (a) Sketch of the null-field integral equation for a null-field point in conjunction with the adaptive observer system $\left(\mathrm{x} \notin D, \mathrm{x} \rightarrow B_{k}\right)$


Figure 2-4 (b) Sketch of the boundary integral equation for a domain point in conjunction with the adaptive observer system $\left(\mathrm{x} \in D, \mathrm{x} \rightarrow B_{k}\right)$


Figure 2-5 Physical meaning of influence coefficients $U_{j k}^{n c}\left(\phi_{m}\right), U_{j k}^{n s}\left(\phi_{m}\right)$ : the responses for the $\mathrm{x}_{m}$ point of the $j$ th boundary due to the $\cos n \theta, \sin n \theta$ boundary distributions of the $k t h$ circular boundary


Figure 2-6 Vector decomposition for the potential gradient in the hypersingular equation

# Chapter 3 Applications to anti-plane piezoelectricity and in-plane electrostatic problems with circular inclusions 


#### Abstract

Summary In this chapter, piezoelectricity problems with arbitrary number of circular inclusions under remote anti-plane shears and in-plane electric fields are studied. Piezoelectric problems with two piezoelectric circular inclusions are revisited and compared with the solutions by previous researchers to demonstrate the validity of our method. The limiting case shows that the two inclusions separating far away leads to the Pak's exact solution of a single inclusion. Stress and electric field concentrations are calculated and are dependent on the distance between the two inclusions, the mismatch in the material constants and the magnitude of mechanical and electromechanical loadings. Besides, the uncoupling case for in-plane electrostatic problems in electric fields is also addressed.


### 3.1 Introduction

The recent technological developments and the increasing market demand have opened promising research opportunities and engineering priorities in the field of micromechanics. Coupled electro-elastic analysis in smart composites and micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) receives much attention. Due to the intrinsic coupling effect of electrical and mechanical fields, the piezoelectric material is widely applied to intelligent structures. Regarding the piezoelectric circular inclusions, an exact solution of a single piezoelectric inclusion was derived by Pak [74] under remote anti-plane shear and in-plane electric loadings. For the two piezoelectric inclusions, Honein et al. [58] employed the Möbius transformation to derive the electromechanical field. Based upon the complex variable theory and the method of successive approximations, Chao and Chang [20] revisited the problem of two piezoelectric inclusions in terms of explicit series form. Wu and Funami [95] also solved this problem by using the conformal mapping and the theorem of analytical
continuation. Wang and Shen [88] considered the shear and electric loadings in two directions. In addition, Chen and Chiang [41] employed conformal mapping techniques to analyze this kind of boundary value problems and specific results were given for elliptical, polygonal and star-shape inclusions. For the decoupling problems, Emets and Onofrichuk [46] presented an analytic solution for two dielectric cylinders in electric fields.

This chapter aims the first attempt to employ the null-field formulation to solve piezoelectricity problems with multiple circular inclusions. By introducing a multi-domain approach, an inclusion problem can be decomposed into two parts. One is the piezoelectric infinite medium with circular holes and the other is the problem with each piezoelectric circular inclusion. After considering the continuity and equilibrium conditions on the interface for electrical and mechanical fields, a linear algebraic system can be obtained and the unknown Fourier coefficients in the algebraic system can be determined. Then the displacement field and electric potential are obtained. Furthermore, an arbitrary number of piezoelectric circular inclusions are treated by using the present method without any difficulty. The calculation of potential gradient must be determined with care by using the vector decomposition and the adaptive observer system for the nonconfocal case. Also the boundary stress and electric fields can be easily determined by using series sums instead of employing the sense of Hadamard principal value. A general-purpose program for arbitrary number of piezoelectric circular inclusions with various radii, arbitrary positions and different material constants was developed. Several examples solved previously by other researchers [20, 46, 74, 88, 95] were revisited to see the accuracy and efficiency of the present formulation. The Pak's solution of a single inclusion is designed as a limiting case when two inclusions dispart far away.

### 3.2 Problem statements and mathematical formulation

The physical problem to be considered is shown in Fig. 3-1, where multiple piezoelectric circular inclusions are imbedded in an infinite piezoelectric medium under the far-field antiplane shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}$ and the far-field inplane electric field $E_{x}^{\infty}, E_{y}^{\infty}$. Bleustein [13] has found that if one takes the plane normal to the poling direction as the
plane of interest, only the anti-plane displacement $w$ couples with the in-plane electric field $E_{r}$ and $E_{\theta}$. Therefore, we only consider the anti-plane displacement and the in-plane electric field such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=v=0, \quad w=w(r, \theta) ; \quad E_{r}=E_{r}(r, \theta), \quad E_{\theta}=E_{\theta}(r, \theta), \quad E_{z}=0, \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, v$ and $E_{z}$ are the vanishing components of displacements and electric field, respectively. The governing equation for anti-plane elasticity $w$ and in-plane electrostatics $\Phi$, in the absence of body forces and body charges, can be decoupled and simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} w=0, \quad \nabla^{2} \Phi=0, \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla^{2}$ is the two-dimensional Laplacian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{2} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{2}}, \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Phi$ is the in-plane electric potential. The coupling between the elastic field and the electrical field occurs only through the constitutive equations

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{z r} & =c_{44} \gamma_{z r}-e_{15} E_{r}, \quad \sigma_{z \theta}=c_{44} \gamma_{z \theta}-e_{15} E_{\theta},  \tag{3-4}\\
D_{r} & =e_{15} \gamma_{z r}+\varepsilon_{11} E_{r}, \quad D_{\theta}=e_{15} \gamma_{z \theta}+\varepsilon_{11} E_{\theta}, \tag{3-5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{44}$ is the elastic modulus, $e_{15}$ is the piezoelectric constant, $\varepsilon_{11}$ is the dielectric constant, $\sigma_{i j}$ and $D_{i}$ are respectively the anti-plane shear stress and in-plane electric displacement, $\gamma_{i j}$ and $E_{i}$ are respectively the anti-plane shear strain and in-plane electric field, which are defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{z r}=\frac{\partial w}{\partial r}, \gamma_{z \theta}=\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta}, E_{r}=-\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial r}, E_{\theta}=-\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \theta} . \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The analogy between the anti-plane shear deformation and in-plane electrostatics for anti-plane piezoelectric problems is listed in Table 3-1. For the stress fields $\sigma_{z x}, \sigma_{z y}$ and electric displacement fields $D_{x}, D_{y}$, they are found in Table 3-1 or can be superimposed by $\sigma_{z r}, \sigma_{z \theta}$ in Eq. (3-4) and $D_{r}, D_{\theta}$ in Eq. (3-5) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{z x} & =\sigma_{z r} \cos \phi-\sigma_{z \theta} \sin \phi,  \tag{3-7}\\
\sigma_{z y} & =\sigma_{z r} \sin \phi+\sigma_{z \theta} \cos \phi,  \tag{3-8}\\
D_{x} & =D_{r} \cos \phi-D_{\theta} \sin \phi,  \tag{3-9}\\
D_{y} & =D_{r} \sin \phi+D_{\theta} \cos \phi, \tag{3-10}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking free body along the interface between the matrix and inclusions, the problem can be decomposed into two systems. One is an infinite medium with $N$ circular holes under remote anti-plane shear and in-plane electric loadings as shown in Fig. 3-2 (a). The other is $N$ circular inclusions bounded by the $B_{k}$ contour which satisfies the Laplace equation as shown in Fig. 3-2 (b). From the numerical point of view, this is the so-called multi-domain approach. For the problem in Fig. 3-2 (a), it can be superimposed by two parts. One is an infinite medium under remote shear and electric loadings and the other is an infinite medium with $N$ circular holes which satisfies the Laplace equation as shown in Figs. 3-2 (c) and 3-2 (d), respectively. Therefore, one exterior problem for the matrix is shown in Fig. 3-2 (d) and several interior problems for nonoverlapping inclusions are shown in Fig. 3-2 (b). The two problems in Figs. 3-2 (d) and 3-2 (b) can be solved in a unified manner by the null-field integral formulation since they both satisfy the Laplace equation.

When the coupled effect between the mechanical and electrical fields is absent or the piezoelectric constant are equal to zero, the expressions of the electro-elastic field in the present formulation reduces to the results given by Emets and Onofrichuk [46] and Honein et al. [56], respectively.

### 3.3 Matching of interface conditions and solution procedures

In the present application, both anti-plane mechanical and in-plane electrical fields are modeled by using the null-field formulation. Since both the displacement field $w$ and the electric potential $\Phi$ satisfy the Laplace equation, the variables $\varphi$ and $\psi(\mathrm{s})=\partial \varphi(\mathrm{s}) / \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ formulated in Chapter 2, can be replaced by $w, t(\mathrm{~s})=\partial w(\mathrm{~s}) / \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for anti-plane elasticity and $\Phi, \Psi(\mathrm{s})=\partial \Phi(\mathrm{s}) / \partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}$ for in-plane electrostatics, respectively. By collocating the null-field point on the boundary, the linear algebraic system is obtained from Eq. (2-22):

For the exterior problem of matrix in Fig. 3-2 (d), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}-\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}-\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\}, \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right]\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{M}-\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\infty}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right]\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{M}-\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\infty}\right\} . \tag{3-12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the interior problem of each inclusion in Fig. 3-2 (b), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathbf{U}^{I}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{I}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\},}  \tag{3-13}\\
& {\left[\mathbf{U}^{I}\right]\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{I}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{I}\right]\left\{\mathbf{\Phi}^{I}\right\},} \tag{3-14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the superscripts " $M$ " and " $I$ " denote the matrix and inclusion, respectively. The matrix due to degenerate kernels $\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right],\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right],\left[\mathbf{U}^{I}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{T}^{I}\right]$ and vectors for Fourier series $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{M}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{M}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\}$, $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{I}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{I}\right\}$ employed in the null-field equation can be found in Chapter 2. It is noted that $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\infty}\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{\infty}\right\}$ in Fig. 3-2 (c) are the displacement and traction fields due to the remote shear and electric loadings, respectively.

According to the continuity of displacement and equilibrium of traction along the kth interface, we have the four compatible relationships for boundary data on the interface. For the stress field, the interface condition yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{M}=w^{I} \text { on } B_{k},  \tag{3-15}\\
\sigma_{z r}^{M}=\sigma_{z r}^{I} \text { on } B_{k} . \tag{3-16}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the electric field, the interface condition gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi^{M}=\Phi^{I} \text { on } B_{k},  \tag{3-17}\\
& D_{r}^{M}=D_{r}^{I} \text { on } B_{k} . \tag{3-18}
\end{align*}
$$

Invoking the governing equation of piezoelectricity with proper continuity conditions, fully coupled equations are obtained. By assembling the matrices in Eqs. (3-11) ~(3-14) and (3-15) ~(3-18), we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\mathbf{T}^{M} & -\mathbf{U}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{3-19}\\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}^{I} & -\mathbf{U}^{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}^{M} & -\mathbf{U}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}^{I} & -\mathbf{U}^{I} \\
\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{- I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_{44}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{c}_{44}^{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_{15}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_{15}^{I} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{- I} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_{15}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{e}_{15}^{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{- \mathbf { \varepsilon } _ { 1 1 } ^ { M }} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{- \boldsymbol { \varepsilon } _ { 1 1 } ^ { I }} I
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}^{M} \\
\mathbf{t}^{M} \\
\mathbf{w}^{I} \\
\mathbf{t}^{I} \\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{M} \\
\mathbf{\Psi}^{M} \\
\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{I} \\
\boldsymbol{\Psi}^{I}
\end{array}\right]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{a} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{b} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right],
$$

where $\{\mathbf{a}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{b}\}$ are the forcing terms due to the far-field antiplane shears and the far-field inplane electric fields as shown in Appendix 3-1, $\left[\mathbf{c}_{44}^{M}\right]$, $\left[\mathbf{c}_{44}^{I}\right]$, $\left[\mathbf{e}_{15}^{M}\right],\left[\mathbf{e}_{15}^{I}\right]$, $\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{11}^{M}\right]$ and $\left[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{11}^{I}\right]$ are defined as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathbf{c}_{44}^{M}\right]}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{44}^{M} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & c_{44}^{M} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots  \tag{3-22}\\
0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{44}^{M}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\mathbf{c}_{44}^{I}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
c_{44}^{I} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & c_{44}^{I} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & c_{44}^{I}
\end{array}\right], ~\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{15}^{M} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & e_{15}^{M} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & e_{15}^{M}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\mathbf{e}_{15}^{I}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
e_{15}^{I} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & e_{15}^{I} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & e_{15}^{I}
\end{array}\right],
$$

The matrix $[\mathbf{I}]$ is an identity matrix. After obtaining the unknown Fourier coefficients in Eq. (3-19), the origin of observer system is set to $c_{k}$ in the $B_{k}$ integration as shown in Fig. 2-4 (b) to obtain the field potential by employing Eq. (2-11). In determining the stress and electric fields, the gradient of potential should be determined with care as shown in Section 2.4.3. The flowchart of the present method for anti-plane piezoelectricity problems is shown in Table 3-2.

### 3.4 Illustrative examples and discussions

The exact solution for a single piezoelectric inclusion, which was obtained by Pak [74], can be derived by using the present formulation. Although our formulation is general for multiple inclusions, we consider two piezoelectric circular inclusions perfectly bonded to a matrix which is subjected to the remote shear and electric field as shown in Fig. 3-3. For the purpose of comparison, the applied loadings and material properties of the matrix and inclusions are assumed as the same of Pak [74], Chao and Chang [20], Wang and Shen [88], Wu and Funami [95] and Emets and Onofrichuk [46]. All the numerical results are given below by using only twenty terms of Fourier series ( $L=20$ ) since those are checked to achieve good accuracy under acceptable error tolerance as compared to those by using the thirty terms.

Case 1: Two piezoelectric circular inclusions parallel to the applied loadings solved by Chao and Chang

We consider two piezoelectric circular inclusions of radii $r_{2}=2 r_{1}$ perfectly bonded to a piezoelectric matrix which is subjected to the remote shear $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ and electric field $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}$ as shown in Fig. 3-3. In the following discussion, the material constants of the matrix and two inclusions are assumed as the same of Chao and Chang [20] by using $c_{44}^{M}=c_{44}^{I}=3.53 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2} \quad, \quad \varepsilon_{11}^{M}=\varepsilon_{11}^{I}=1.51 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{CV}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$, $e_{15}^{I}=10 \mathrm{Cm}^{-2}$ and other values are stated specifically.

In order to examine the accuracy of the present formulation, the stress concentration factor $\sigma_{z \theta} / \tau_{\infty}$ in the matrix at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ under remote loadings of $\tau_{\infty}=5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$ and $E_{\infty}=10^{6}, 0,10^{-6} \mathrm{Vm}^{-1}$ is plotted in Fig. 3-4 (a) as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}$, where the two circular inclusions are arrayed parallel to the applied loadings ( $\beta=90^{\circ}$ ) and the distance between two circular inclusions $d / r_{1}=10$. It is found that the results displayed in Fig. 3-4 (a) agree very well with Chao and Chang's results [20] and approach the Pak's solution of a single inclusion [74]. The electric field concentration $E_{\theta} / E_{\infty}$ in the matrix at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ with $E_{\infty}=10^{6} \mathrm{Vm}^{-1}$ and $\tau_{\infty}=5 \times 10^{7}, 0,-5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$ is plotted in Fig. 3-4 (b) as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants. It is also found that the results in Fig. 3-4 (b) leads to the

Pak's solution of a single inclusion [74] since the two inclusions displace far away ( $d / r_{1}=10$ ). The electric field concentration $E_{\theta} / E_{\infty}$ occurring at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ is plotted in Fig. 3-5 as a function of the ratio of dielectric constants $\varepsilon_{11}^{M} / \varepsilon_{11}^{I}$ while letting $c_{44}^{M}=c_{44}^{I}=3.53 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}, e_{15}^{M}=e_{15}^{I}=17 \mathrm{Cm}^{-2}$ and $\varepsilon_{11}^{I}=1.51 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{CV}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$. It is shown that the electric field concentration approaches two for a large value of $\varepsilon_{11}^{M} / \varepsilon_{11}^{I}$ as $d / r_{1}=10$ which is consistent with Chao and Chang's results [20] and reduces to the Pak's solution of a single inclusion [74]. When the two inclusions approach each other, both the tangential stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ and tangential electric field $E_{\theta}$ in the matrix along the boundary of the smaller inclusion are plotted in Figs. 3-6 (a) and 3-6 (b), respectively, as the piezoelectric constants are fixed at $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3$. Furthermore, we adopted the Parseval's theorem to study the convergence rate with different terms of Fourier series since the boundary densities are continuous on $[0,2 \pi$ ]. The Parseval's theorem are defined as below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}[f(\theta)]^{2} d \theta \doteqdot 2 \pi a_{0}^{2}+\pi \sum_{n=1}^{L}\left(a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}\right), \tag{3-23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta)=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{L}\left(a_{n} \cos n \theta+b_{n} \sin n \theta\right) \tag{3-24}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to Eq. (3-23), we have the Parseval's sum versus various terms of Fourier series for boundary densities of each circular boundary in anti-plane elastic and in-plane electric fields as plotted in Figs. 3-7 (a) ~3-7 (h). It is found that no more than 20 terms can yield convergence. Figures 3-8 (a) and 3-8 (b) respectively show the tangential stress and tangential electric field distribution that the matrix is subjected to the reversal of the poling direction as compared to the inclusion due to the negative ratio of piezoelectric constants $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5$. The two figures show the consistency between the present data and those of Chao and Chang in ranges of $\theta=0^{\circ} \sim 180^{\circ}$ except near $\theta=90^{\circ}$. It is open for discussions why our results are different from those of Chao and Chang near $\theta=90^{\circ}$. The tangential stress and tangential electric field are continuous across $\theta=90^{\circ}$ using our formulation while the results of Chao and Chang seems to have a jump at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ for the case of $d / r_{1}=0.01,0.02$. For the negative ratio of $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5$, the Parseval's sum versus various terms of Fourier series for boundary
densities of each circular boundary in anti-plane elastic and in-plane electric fields is also done in Figs. 3-9 (a) ~3-9 (h). From the convergence test using the Parseval's theorem, it is also observed that only few terms of Fourier series can yield convergence for boundary densities.

Case 2: Two piezoelectric circular inclusions perpendicular to the applied loadings solved by Chao and Chang

As the two circular inclusions are arrayed perpendicular to the applied loadings ( $\beta=0^{\circ}$ ), both the stress and electric field concentrations and distributions are also experienced. When the two inclusions approach each other, both the tangential stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ and tangential electric field $E_{\theta}$ in the matrix along the boundary of the smaller inclusion are plotted in Figs. 3-10 (a) and 3-10 (b), respectively, as the piezoelectric constants are fixed at $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5$. After comparing with the results of Chao and Chang [20], agreement is made except near $\theta=0^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. Variations of stress and electric field concentrations appear at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ with the ratio of piezoelectric constants as shown in Figs. 3-11 (a) and 3-11 (b). It is seen that, from Figs. 3-11 (a) ~3-12 (b), both the stress and electric field concentrations are equal to one as $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=1$ which are reasonable results due to homogeneity. The stress and electric field concentrations are plotted in Figs. 3-12 (a) and 3-12 (b) under loadings of various magnitude for a far-field inplane electric load $E_{\infty}$ and a far-field antiplane shear $\tau_{\infty}$, respectively. After comparing with the results of Chao and Chang [20], agreement is made except for the negative value of $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}$. However, our results are smoother as shown in Figs. 3-11 (a) ~3-12 (b) which are different from the oscillation behavior in the Chao and Chang's paper [20]. To reconfirm our validity of formulation, we choose other cases by others for comparison.

Case 3: Two piezoelectric circular inclusions under two-direction loadings solved by Wang and Shen

In this case, the radii of two piezoelectric circular inclusions are $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ with $r_{2}=1.5 r_{1}$ and the magnitude of remote loadings are $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} / 2=2.5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Nm}^{2}$,
$\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}=5 \times 10^{7} \mathrm{Nm}^{2}, E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty} / 2=0.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{Vm}$ and $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}=10^{6} \mathrm{Vm}$. The distance between the two inclusions is $d=0.01 r_{1}$ and the orientation is $\beta=0^{\circ}$. The material constants of the matrix and two inclusions are assumed as the same of Wang and Shen [88] by using $c_{44}^{M}=3.53 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}, c_{44}^{I}=1.00 \times 10^{9} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}, e_{15}^{M}=10 \mathrm{Cm}^{-2}$, $e_{15}^{I}=20 \mathrm{Cm}^{-2}, \varepsilon_{11}^{M}=\varepsilon_{11}^{I}=1.51 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{CV}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-1}$.

Figure 3-13 shows that the stress and electric displacement distribution along the $x$ axis. It is observed that $\sigma_{z x}$ and $D_{x}$ are continuous while $\sigma_{z y}$ and $D_{y}$ are discontinuous across the interface between the matrix and inclusions. We also note that the stress and electric displacement are not uniform within the two inclusions. Figure 3-14 shows the distribution of stress and electric displacement along the interface between the matrix and the smaller inclusion. Figure 3-15 shows the distribution of stress and electric displacement along the interface between the matrix and the larger inclusion. According to these curves, it is found that the normal stress $\sigma_{z r}$ and electric displacement $D_{r}$ in the matrix and inclusion are continuous through the interface due to the equilibrium and continuity requirement, respectively. Figure 3-16 illustrates the case when the larger inclusion and the matrix have the same material property. It indicates that the stress and electric displacement are uniform within the only inclusion. Figure 3-17 shows the case when the two inclusions are separated far away ( $d / r_{1}=10$ ). In this case, the stress and electric displacement are uniform in both of the two inclusions. Figures 3-18 (a) ~3-18 (c) respectively show the contours of shear stresses $\sigma_{z x} / \tau_{\infty}, \sigma_{z y} / \tau_{\infty}$ and electric potential $\Phi / E_{\infty}$ subjected to the loadings $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0$, $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, E_{x}^{\infty}=0, E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}$. It reveals that $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\Phi$ are anti-symmetric with respect to the $x$ axis and $\sigma_{z y}$ is symmetric with respect to the $x$ axis. There exists serious amplification at the point where the two inclusions are nearly in contact with each other. The electric potential is continuous across the interface between the matrix and each inclusion. The present results agree very well with Wang and Shen's results [88].

Case 4: Two piezoelectric circular inclusions with different geometries and material constants subjected to various loadings solved by Wu and Funami

In order to analyze the interaction between two piezoelectric circular inclusions centered on the $x$ axis ( $\beta=0^{\circ}$ ), we consider the variations of components of stresses and electric displacements along two different paths, the $x$ axis and the contour (1.01, $\theta$ ). In Figs. 3-19 (a) ~3-20 (b), the inhomogeneous medium is comprised of the polymer matrix and two PZT-7A circular inclusions. In Figs. 3-21 (a) ~3-22 (b), PZT-7A is still chosen as two inclusions and PZT-5 is taken as the matrix to show the interaction of different piezoelectric media. The material constants used in the numerical calculation are shown in Table 3-3. Figures 3-19 (a) ~3-19 (c) illustrate the stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when the distance $d$ between two inclusions is equal to $0.05,0.5$ and 1.5 , respectively and only the remote shear stress $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ is applied. From these three figures, it is observed that the varying gradients of stress and electric displacement components inside two piezoelectric circular inclusions along the $x$ axis become larger when the distance $d$ decreases. It means that the interaction of two piezoelectric circular inclusions becomes more prominent when the distance $d$ tends towards zero. Since the polymer is taken as the matrix that has no piezoelectric properties, the stress $\sigma_{z y}$ and electric displacement $D_{y}$ tend to $\tau_{\infty}$ and zero, respectively, when the variable $x$ tends to infinity.

To show the effect of the geometric size of the piezoelectric circular inclusion on the distribution of stress and electric displacement, the radii of two inclusions are taken as $r_{2}=2 r_{1}$ in Fig. 3-20 (a). After comparing with Fig. 3-19 (b), the symmetry of the stress and electric displacement distributions on the point $x=1.25$ is broken. The stress field in the left inclusion is slightly lower while it is relatively higher in the right inclusion. It indicates a smooth variation when the right inclusion becomes larger. This implies that the uniform shear stress $\sigma_{x y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ at infinity is borne more by the larger inclusion than the smaller one. For the electric displacement component, a similar variation to the stress field is found in Fig. 3-20 (a). Figure 3-20 (b) shows the stress and electric displacement distributions along the contour $(1.01, \theta)$ when only the remote shear stress $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ is applied. It is seen that the stress $\sigma_{z r}$ and electric displacement $D_{r}$ have an asymmetric distribution and the electro-elastic field $\sigma_{z \theta}$ and $D_{\theta}$ have a symmetric distribution on $\theta=\pi$. The value of stress component $\sigma_{z \theta}$ is relatively low in
comparison with $\sigma_{u r}$.
To show the interaction of different piezoelectric media and different loadings applied at infinity, we choose PZT-5 as the matrix and different loadings are applied at infinity in Figs. 3-21 (a) ~3-22 (b). Figures 3-21 (a) ~3-21 (c) illustrate the stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis subjected to the applied loading $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ at infinity, respectively. From Fig. 3-21 (a), it indicates that the stress field $\sigma_{z y}$ in two piezoelectric circular inclusions has a different sign from one in the matrix. Particularly, the stress $\sigma_{x y}$ between two inclusions has a larger varying gradient. In comparison with the stress field, the electric displacement field has a smooth tendency. From Figs. 3-21 (b) and 3-21 (c), it is seen that the distributions of stress $\sigma_{z x}$ and electric displacement $D_{x}$ are continuous across the interface between the matrix and inclusions. In comparison with Fig. 3-21 (c), the stress component between the matrix and right inclusion in Fig. 3-21 (b) has a larger varying gradient. This means that the electric field intensity $E_{\infty}$ has a more important impact on the distribution of the stress field than the shear stress $\tau_{\infty}$.

Figures 3-22 (a) and 3-22 (b) show the stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when two piezoelectric circular inclusions are tangent to each other. Since two piezoelectric circular inclusions have the same material properties, the stress component $\sigma_{z y}$ and electric displacement component $D_{y}$ at the point $x=1$ are continuous. From Fig. 3-22 (a), it is found that the stress field near the tangent point of two piezoelectric circular inclusions has a larger varying gradient when the electric field $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}$ at infinity is applied. In comparison with the stress field of Fig. 3-22 (a), the stress field of Fig. 3-22 (b) has a relatively smooth variation. The present results in Figs. 3-19 (a) ~3-22 (b) agree very well with those solved by Wu and Funami [95].

## Case 5: Uncoupling case of in-plane electrostatic problems

As mentioned previously, the present solution on the mechanical and electrical fields can reduce to the uncoupling case of Emets and Onofrichuk [46] when the coupling effect between the mechanical and electrical fields of the piezoelectric medium is absent. Figure 3-23 shows that two dielectric circular inclusions with radii of $r_{2}=0.8 r_{1}$
imbedded in an infinite dielectric medium are in various uniform electric fields $E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty},\left(E_{x}^{\infty}, E_{y}^{\infty}\right)=\left(E_{\infty} \cos 45^{\circ}, E_{\infty} \sin 45^{\circ}\right)$ and $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}$ applied at infinity, respectively. The distance between two inclusions is $d=0.1 r_{1}$ and dielectric constants for matrix and each inclusion are $\varepsilon_{0}, \varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$. Illustrations of the patterns of the electric field are shown in Figures 3-24 (a) and 3-24 (b) for different compositions of the dielectric constant, e.g. $\varepsilon_{0}=3, \varepsilon_{1}=9, \varepsilon_{2}=5$ and $\varepsilon_{0}=2, \varepsilon_{1}=9, \varepsilon_{2}=1$. From these patterns of the electric field, it is observed that the electric field is continuous across the interface between the matrix and inclusions and agrees well with those of Emets and Onofrichuk [46].

### 3.5 Concluding remarks

The present work not only demonstrated an elegant method for solving boundary value problems but also understood the interesting coupling behaviors between mechanical and electrical fields that have not been studied previously by using BIE. It was shown that the concentration behavior of stress and electric fields depends on the distance between two piezoelectric inclusions, the mismatch in the material constants and the magnitude of mechanical and electromechanical loadings. In addition, the interaction between two piezoelectric circular inclusions has a more important effect on the distributions of stress and electric displacement when the distance between two inclusions approaches zero or even touches each other. The uncoupling case reduces to either anti-plane elastic or in-plane electrostatic problems. Singularity free and boundary-layer effect free are the main gains using the present formulation as well as the exponential convergence. The present study is useful in designing piezoelectric composites and in understanding the coupling effects of two inclusions.

Table 3-1 Analogy between the anti-plane shear deformation and in-plane electrostatics for anti-plane piezoelectric problems

| Anti-plane shear deformation | Constitutive equations for anti-plane piezoelectricity | In-plane electrostatics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $z$-displacement $w$ |  | Electric potential $\Phi$ |
| Strain $\gamma_{z i}$ |  | Electric field $E_{i}$ |
| Stress $\sigma_{z i}$ | Shear modulus $c_{44}$ <br> Dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{11}$ <br> Piezoelectric constant $e_{15}$ | Electric displacement $D_{i}$ |
| Shear modulus $\mu$ |  | Dielectric constant $\varepsilon$ |
| Body force $f$ |  | Charge density $\rho^{*}$ |
| Strain-disp. relationship $\gamma_{z i}=w_{, i}$ | Coupling effect$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{z i} & =c_{44} \gamma_{z i}-e_{15} E_{i} \\ D_{i} & =e_{15} \gamma_{z i}+\varepsilon_{11} E_{i} \end{aligned}$ | Electricity $E_{i}=-\Phi_{, i}$ |
| Constitutive law $\sigma_{z i}=\mu \gamma_{z i}$ |  | Constitutive law $D_{i}=\varepsilon E_{i}$ |
| Governing equation of equilibrium $\sigma_{z i, i}=-f_{z}$ |  | Governing equation of Maxwell $D_{i, i}=\rho$ |
| Poisson equation $\nabla^{2} w=-f / \mu$ |  | Poisson equation $\nabla^{2} \Phi=-\rho / \varepsilon$ |

Table 3-2 Flowchart of the present method for anti-plane piezoelectricity problems


Table 3-3 Electro-elastic material properties

|  | $C_{44}(\mathrm{GPa})$ | $e_{15}\left(\mathrm{Cm}^{-2}\right)$ | $\varepsilon_{11} / \varepsilon_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PZT-7A | 25.4 | 9.2 | 460 |
| PZT-5 | 21.1 | 12.3 | 916 |
| Polymer | 0.64 | 0 | 9 |

Note: permittivity of free space $\varepsilon_{0}=8.85 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{C}^{2} \mathrm{~N}^{-1} \mathrm{~m}^{-2}$


Figure 3-1 Infinite anti-plane piezoelectricity problem with arbitrary number of circular inclusions under remote shear and electric loadings


Figure 3-2 (a) Infinite piezoelectric medium with circular holes under remote shear and electric loadings


Figure 3-2 (c) Infinite piezoelectric medium under remote shear and electric loadings


Figure 3-2 (b) Interior Laplace problems for each piezoelectric inclusion


Figure 3-2 (d) Exterior Laplace problems for the piezoelectric medium


Figure 3-3 Two piezoelectric circular inclusions embedded in a piezoelectric matrix under remote shear and electric loadings


Figure 3-4 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-4 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-5 Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of dielectric constants with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=1.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-6 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-6 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-7 (a) Parseval's sum for $w_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-7 (c) Parseval's sum for $t_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-7 (b) Parseval's sum for $w_{2}^{M}$ with

$$
e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0 \text { and } d / r_{1}=0.01
$$



Figure 3-7 (d) Parseval's sum for $t_{2}^{M}$ with

$$
e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0 \text { and } d / r_{1}=0.01
$$



Figure 3-7 (e) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-7 (g) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-7 (f) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{2}^{M}$ with

$$
e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0 \text { and } d / r_{1}=0.01
$$



Figure 3-7 (h) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{2}^{M}$ with

$$
e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=3.0 \text { and } d / r_{1}=0.01
$$



Figure 3-8 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-8 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 3-9 (a) Parseval's sum for $w_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (c) Parseval's sum for $t_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (b) Parseval's sum for $w_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (d) Parseval's sum for $t_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (e) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{1}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (g) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{1}^{M}$ with

$$
e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0 \text { and } d / r_{1}=0.01
$$



Figure 3-9 (f) Parseval's sum for $\Phi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-9 (h) Parseval's sum for $\Psi_{2}^{M}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-10 (a) Tangential stress distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-10 (b) Tangential electric field distributions for different ratios $d / r_{1}$ with $e_{15}^{M} / e_{15}^{I}=-5.0$ and $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-11 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-11 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-12 (a) Stress concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-12 (b) Electric field concentrations as a function of the ratio of piezoelectric constants with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 3-13 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis


Figure 3-14 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the interface between the matrix and the smaller inclusion


Figure 3-15 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the interface between the matrix and the larger inclusion


Figure 3-16 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis for the case when the larger inclusion and the matrix have the same material property


Figure 3-17 Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis for the case when the two inclusions are separated far away $\left(d / r_{1}=10\right)$


Figure 3-18 (a) Contour of shear stress $\sigma_{z x} / \tau_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-18 (b) Contour of shear stress $\sigma_{z y} / \tau_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-18 (c) Contour of electric potential $\Phi / E_{\infty}$ when $d / r_{1}=0.01$


Figure 3-19 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
r_{1}=r_{2} \text { and } d=0.05 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-19 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
r_{1}=r_{2} \text { and } d=0.5 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-19 (c) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
r_{1}=r_{2} \text { and } d=1.5 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-20 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
r_{2}=2 r_{1} \text { and } d=0.5 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-20 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the contour $(1.01, \theta)$ when $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=1.5 r_{1}$


Figure 3-21 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, \quad r_{1}=r_{2} \text { and } d=0.5 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-21 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when $E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$ and $d=0.5 r_{1}$


Figure 3-21 (c) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when

$$
\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2} \text { and } d=0.5 r_{1}
$$



Figure 3-22 (a) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when two inclusions touch each other and $E_{y}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$


Figure 3-22 (b) Stress and electric displacement distributions along the $x$ axis when two inclusions touch each other and $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, r_{1}=r_{2}$


Figure 3-23 The dielectric system of two inclusions in the applied electric field




Figure 3-24 (a) Patterns of the electric field for $\varepsilon_{0}=2, \varepsilon_{1}=9$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=5$

Figure 3-24 (b) Patterns of the electric field for $\varepsilon_{0}=3, \varepsilon_{1}=9$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=1$

# Chapter 4 Applications to anti-plane elasticity problems with circular inclusions 

## Summary

In this chapter, we apply the null-field integral equation to solve an infinite medium containing circular holes and/or inclusions with arbitrary radii and positions under the remote anti-plane shear. The method is basically a numerical method, and because of its semi-analytical nature, it possesses certain advantages over the conventional boundary element method. The exact solution for a single inclusion is revisited using the present formulation and matches well with the Honein et al.'s solution by using the complex-variable formulation. Several problems of two holes, two inclusions, one cavity surrounded by two inclusions and three inclusions are examined to demonstrate the validity of our method. Besides, the convergence test and boundary-layer effect for the present method and conventional boundary element method are also addressed to show the validity of the present approach. The proposed formulation can be generalized to multiple circular inclusions and cavities in a straightforward way without any difficulty.

### 4.1 Introduction

The distribution of stress in an infinite medium containing circular holes and/or inclusions under the remote anti-plane shear has been studied by many investigators. However, analytical solutions are rather limited except for simple cases. To the author's best knowledge, an exact solution of a single inclusion was derived by Honein et al. [56] using the complex potential formulation. Besides, analytical solutions for two identical holes and inclusions were obtained by Stief [82] and by Budiansky and Carrier [15], respectively. Zimmerman [98] employed the Schwartz alternative method for plane problems with two holes or inclusions to obtain a closed-form solution. In addition, Sendeckyj [76] proposed an iterative scheme for solving problems of multiple inclusions. However, the approach is rather complicated and explicit solutions were not
provided. Numerical solutions for problems with two unequal holes and/or inclusions were provided by Honein et al. [56] using the Möbius transformations involving the complex potential. Not only anti-plane shears but also screw dislocations were considered. Numerical results were presented by Goree and Wilson [50] for an infinite medium containing two inclusions under the remote shear. Bird and Steele [12] used a Fourier series procedure to revisit the anti-plane elasticity problems of Honein et al.'s paper [56]. To approximate the Honein et al.'s problem of infinite domain, an equivalent bounded-domain approach with the stress applied on the outer boundary was utilized. A shear stress $\sigma_{z r}$ on the outer boundary is used in place of a stress $\sigma_{z y}$ at infinity to approach the Honein et al.'s results as the radius becomes large. Wu [94] solved the analytical solution for two inclusions under the remote shear in two directions by using the conformal mapping and the theorem of analytic continuation. Based on the technique of analytical continuity and the method of successive approximation, Chao and Young [22] studied the stress concentration on a hole surrounded by two inclusions. For a triangle pattern of three inclusions, Gong [48] employed the complex potential and Laurent series expansion to calculate the stress concentration. Complex variable boundary element method (CVBEM) was utilized to deal with the problem of two circular holes by Chou [43] and Ang and Kang [1], independently. To provide a general solution to the anti-plane interaction among multiple circular inclusions with arbitrary radii, shear moduli and location is not trivial. Mathematically speaking, only circular boundaries in an infinite domain are concerned here. Mogilevskaya and Crouch [71] have also employed Fourier series expansion technique and used the Galerkin method instead of collocation technique to solve the problem of circular inclusions in 2-D elasticity. The advantage of their method is that one can tackle a lot of inclusions even inclusions touching one another. However, they did not expand a fundamental solution into a degenerate kernel in the polar coordinate. Another disadvantage is that their method can not reduce to cavity problems using the formulation for inclusions, as quoted by Mogilevskaya's Group, "This approach, however, could not simply treat a hole as a limiting case of an inclusion with zero elastic properties. This is because, for the problem of a hole, the tractions are usually
prescribed on the hole boundary and, this problem is therefore governed by a different boundary integral equation - a complex hypersingular equation written in terms of unknown displacement." Our formulation can treat cavity problems as a limiting case of inclusion problems with zero elastic properties. Chen and his coworkers [36, 38] have successfully solved the anti-plane problem with circular holes and/or inclusions using the null-field integral equation in conjunction with the degenerate kernel and Fourier series. The extension to biharmonic problems was also implemented [29].

By introducing a multi-domain approach, an inclusion problem can be decomposed into two parts. One is the infinite medium with circular holes and the other is the problem with each circular inclusion. After considering the continuity and equilibrium conditions on the interface between the matrix and inclusion, a linear algebraic system is obtained and the unknown Fourier coefficients can be determined. Then, the field potential and stress are easily obtained. Furthermore, an arbitrary number of circular inclusions can be treated by using the present method without any difficulty. One must take care the vector decomposition in using the adaptive observer system for the nonconfocal case. Also, the boundary stress is easily determined by using series sums instead of employing the sense of Hadamard principal value. A general-purpose program for arbitrary number of circular inclusions with various radii, arbitrary positions and shear moduli was developed. The infinite medium with multiple circular holes [36] can be solved as a limiting case of zero shear modulus of inclusions by using the developed program. Several examples solved previously by other researchers [15, 22, 48, 50, 56, 82, 94] were revisited to see the accuracy and efficiency of the present formulation. In addition, the test of convergence is done and the boundary-layer effect for the calculations of stresses is also addressed.

### 4.2 Problem statements and mathematical formulation

The displacement field of the anti-plane deformation is defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=v=0, \quad w=w(x, y), \tag{4-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is the only nonvanishing component of displacement with respect to the Cartesian coordinate which is a function of $x$ and $y$. For a linear elastic body, the
stress components are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{z x}=\mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}  \tag{4-2}\\
& \sigma_{z y}=\mu \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \tag{4-3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the shear modulus. The equilibrium equation can be simplified to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{z x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \sigma_{z y}}{\partial y}=0 \tag{4-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} w}{\partial y^{2}}=\nabla^{2} w=0 . \tag{4-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4-5) indicates that the governing equation of this problem is the Laplace equation. For the stress field described in the polar coordinate, it also follows the anti-plane shear deformation in Table 3-1 or can be superimposed by $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ in Eqs. (4-2) and (4-3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{z r}=\sigma_{z x} \cos \phi+\sigma_{z y} \sin \phi,  \tag{4-6}\\
& \sigma_{z \theta}=-\sigma_{z x} \sin \phi+\sigma_{z y} \cos \phi, \tag{4-7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\sigma_{z r}$ and $\sigma_{z \theta}$ are the normal and tangential stresses, respectively. Here, we consider an infinite medium subject to $N$ circular inclusions bounded by the $B_{k}$ contour ( $k=1,2, \cdots, N$ ) for either the matrix or inclusions under the anti-plane shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}$ at infinity or equivalently under the displacement $w^{\infty}=\sigma_{z x}^{\infty} x / \mu+\sigma_{z y}^{\infty} y / \mu$ at infinity as shown in Fig. 4-1 (a). By taking the free body along the interface between the matrix and inclusions, the problem can be decomposed into two systems. One is an infinite medium with $N$ circular holes under the remote shear and the other is $N$ circular inclusions bounded by the $B_{k}$ contour which satisfies the Laplace equation as shown in Figs. 4-1 (b) and 4-1 (c), respectively. From the numerical point of view, this is the so-called multi-domain approach. For the problem in Fig. 4-1 (b), it can be superimposed by two parts. One is an infinite medium under the remote shear and the other is an infinite medium with $N$ circular holes which satisfies the Laplace equation as shown in Figs. 4-1 (d) and 4-1 (e), respectively.

This part was solved efficiently by Chen et al. [36] using the null-field equation approach which is adapted here again. Therefore, one exterior problem for the matrix is shown in Fig. 4-1 (e) and several interior problems for nonoverlapping inclusions are shown in Fig. 4-1 (c). According to the null-field integral formulation, the two problems in Figs. 4-1 (e) and 4-1 (c) can be solved in a unified manner since they both satisfy the Laplace equation.

### 4.3 Matching of interface conditions and solution procedures

After decomposing the inclusion problems into two parts, we employ the null-field approach to handle one exterior Laplace problem for the matrix as shown in Fig. 4-1 (e) and several interior Laplace problems for nonoverlapping inclusions as shown in Fig. 4-1 (c). By collocating the null-field point on the boundary, the linear algebraic system is obtained from Eq. (2-22).

For the exterior problem of matrix in Fig. 4-1 (e), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}-\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}-\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\} . \tag{4-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the interior problem of each inclusion in Fig. 4-1 (c), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathbf{U}^{I}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{I}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\}, \tag{4-9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscripts " $M$ " and " $I$ " denote the matrix and inclusion, respectively. The degenerate kernels $\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right],\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right],\left[\mathbf{U}^{I}\right]$ and $\left[\mathbf{T}^{I}\right]$ and Fourier series $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}\right\}$, $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\},\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\}$ employed in the null-field equation can be found in Chapter 2. It is noted that $\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\}$ and $\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\}$ in Fig. 4-1 (d) are the displacement and traction field due to the remote shear, respectively.

According to the continuity of displacement and equilibrium of traction along the kth interface, we have the two constraints

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}\right\} & =\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\} \text { on } B_{k},  \tag{4-10}\\
{\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}\right\} } & =-\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\} \text { on } B_{k}, \tag{4-11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right]$ and $\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}\right]$ are defined as follows:

$$
\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{0}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{4-12}\\
0 & \mu_{0} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{0}
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu_{k} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \mu_{k} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $\mu_{0}$ and $\mu_{k}$ denote the shear modulus of the matrix and the $k$ th inclusion, respectively. By assembling the matrices in Eqs. (4-8) ~(4-11), we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{T}^{M} & -\mathbf{U}^{M} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0}  \tag{4-13}\\
\mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{T}^{I} & -\mathbf{U}^{I} \\
\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{- I} & \mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{0} & \mathbf{0} & \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}
\end{array}\right]\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{w}^{M} \\
\mathbf{t}^{M} \\
\mathbf{w}^{I} \\
\mathbf{t}^{I}
\end{array}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{c} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0} \\
\mathbf{0}
\end{array}\right\},
$$

where $\{\mathbf{c}\}$ is the forcing term due to the remote shear stress and $[\mathbf{I}]$ is the identity matrix. The calculation for the vector $\{\mathbf{c}\}$ is elaborated on later in Appendix 2. After obtaining the unknown Fourier coefficients in Eq. (4-13), the origin of observer system is set to $c_{k}$ in the $B_{k}$ integration as shown in Fig. 2-4 (b) to obtain the field potential by employing Eq. (2-11). In determining the stress and electric fields, the gradient of potential should be determined with care as shown in Section 2.4.3. The flowchart of the present method for anti-plane elasticity problems is shown in Table 4-1.

Except the foregoing formulation, one can also treat the anti-plane shear deformation as a limiting case of the anti-plane piezoelectricity problems when the coupled effect between the mechanical and electrical fields is absent or the piezoelectric constant are equal to zero. It is obvious to observe that the resulted linear algebraic system in Eq. (4-13) can be obtained from Eq. (3-19) after taking off the influence terms of the coupling parts.

### 4.4 Illustrative examples and discussions

First, we derive an exact solution for a single inclusion using the present formulation in Appendix 3. Symbolic software of Mathematica is employed to solve a $2 L+1$ by $2 L+1$ sparse matrix by using the induction concept. Then, seven problems solved by previous scholars are revisited by using the present method to show the generality and
validity of our formulation. Besides, we demonstrate the problem of interaction of two cavities in Case 1 to compare the present method with the conventional BEM.

Case 1: Two equal-sized holes lying on the $x$ axis (a limiting case)
Figure 4-2 (a) shows the geometry of two equal-sized holes in the infinite medium under the remote shear $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$. The stress concentration of the problem is illustrated in Fig. 4-2 (b). It indicates that the present result agrees well with the analytical solution of Steif [82] and those obtained by Chao and Young [22] even though the two holes approach each other. Figure 4-2 (c) shows that only few terms of Fourier series can obtain good results. However, more nodes are required by using the conventional BEM to achieve convergence. Our formulation is free of boundary-layer effect instead of appearance by using the conventional BEM when the stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ near the boundary as shown in Fig. 4-2 (d). Stress concentration factors and errors for various distances between two holes by using the present method and the conventional BEM are listed in Table 4-2. These results show that the present method is more accurate and effective than those of the conventional BEM. Under the same error tolerance, the CPU time of the present method is fewer than that of the conventional BEM. Besides, it is noted that more terms of Fourier series are required to capture the singular behavior when the two holes approach each other.

Case 2: Two identical inclusions locating on the $x$ axis
We consider two identical elastic inclusions of radii $r_{1}=r_{2}$ and shear moduli $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}$ embedded in an infinite medium subjected to the remote shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ at infinity [15] as shown in Fig. 4-3 (a). Figure 4-3 (b) shows that stress concentration factor diminishes when the inclusion spacing increases. We note that the mathematical model of rigid-inclusion problem is equivalent to that of uniform potential flow past two parallel cylinders with no circulation around either cylinder. The remote shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ is similar to the velocity $V_{\infty}$ in the $x$ direction at infinity and the velocity field is similar to the stress field [66].

Case 3: Two circular inclusions locating on the $x$ axis
Two inclusions with radii of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ under the remote shear are considered in Fig. 4-4 (a). The stress distributions in the matrix including the radial component $\sigma_{z r}$ and the tangential component $\sigma_{z \theta}$ around the circular boundary of radius $r_{1}$ are plotted in Figs. 4-4 (b) and 4-4 (c) for various inclusion spacings when the two inclusion radii are equal-sized ( $r_{1}=r_{2}$ ). Two limiting cases are considered for rigid inclusions ( $\mu_{1} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{2} / \mu_{0}=\infty, 10^{6}$ in the real computation) and for cavities ( $\mu_{1} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{2} / \mu_{0}=0.0$ ). It can be found that $\sigma_{z \theta}=0$ or $\sigma_{z r}=0$ for rigid inclusions or cavities as predicted for the single inclusion or cavity, respectively. Moreover, the nonzero stress components for these two cases are identical when the stress components at infinity are interchanged, i.e. the stresses around the circular boundary $\sigma_{z r}$ in one case equals to $\sigma_{z \theta}$ for the other case due to the analogy of mathematical model. It can be seen from Figs. 4-4 (b) and 4-4 (c) that unbounded stress apparently occurs at $\theta=180^{\circ}$ under the condition of $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ for rigid inclusions or $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ for cavities when two inclusions approach closely or even touch each other. In Figs. 4-4 (d) and 4-4 (e), the variation of stresses around the circular boundary of radius $r_{1}$ is shown versus radius $r_{2}$ for a fixed separation of $d=0.1 r_{1}$. More terms of Fourier series are required to capture the singular behavior when the two inclusions approach each other as well as the two radii of inclusions are quite different. The present results match very well with those by Goree and Wilson [50].

## Case 4: Two circular inclusions locating on the $y$ axis

The infinite medium with two elastic inclusions is under the uniform remote shear $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$. The first inclusion centered at the origin of radius $r_{1}$ with the shear modulus $\mu_{1}=2 \mu_{0} / 3$ and the other inclusion of radius $r_{2}=2 r_{1}$ centered on $y$ axis at $r_{1}+r_{2}+d \quad\left(d=0.1 r_{1}\right)$ with the shear modulus $\mu_{2}=13 \mu_{0} / 7$ are shown in Fig. 4-5 (a). In order to be compared with the Honein et al.'s data obtained by using the Möbius transformations [56], the stresses along the boundary of radius $r_{1}$ is shown in Fig. 4-5 (b). It satisfies the equilibrium traction along the interface of circular boundary. The stress concentration factor reaches maximum at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ in the matrix. Figure 4-5 (c)
shows that only few terms of Fourier series can also yield acceptable results. Figures 4-5(d) and 4-5 (e) indicates that our formulation is free of boundary-layer effect since stresses $\sigma_{z r}$ and $\sigma_{z \theta}$ near the boundary can be smoothly predicted, respectively. The key to eliminate the boundary-layer effect is that we introduce the degenerate kernel to describe the jump behavior for potential in interior and exterior regions as shown in Table 2-2.

Case 5: Two inclusions locating on the $x$ axis under the two-direction shears
In Fig. 4-6 (a), the parameters used in the calculation are taken as $r_{1}=r_{2}$, $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, \mu_{0}=0.185$ and $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=4.344$. Figure 4-6 (b) shows stress distributions $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ along the $x$ axis when $d=0.1$. It can be seen that the stress component $\sigma_{z x}$ is continuous across the interface between two different materials and has a peak value between two inclusions. The stress component $\sigma_{z y}$ is discontinuous across the interface of two different materials. Figures 4-6 (c) and 4-6 (d) illustrate stress distributions of $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ along the $x$ axis when $d=0.4$ and $d=1.0$, respectively. Both figures indicate that stress components of $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ have similar changing curves to those of Fig. 4-6 (b). However, it should be noted that the maximum value of stress component $\sigma_{z x}$ drops when the distance $d$ between the two inclusions increases. Figure 4-6 (e) illustrates the normal stress distributions $\sigma_{z r}$ along the contour $(1.001, \theta)$ for various cases of $d=0.1,0.5$ and 1.0. It shows that the shear stress $\sigma_{z r}$ increases as the distance $d$ between the two inclusions decreases at the point where two inclusions approach each other. However, the distance $d$ has a slight effect on $\sigma_{z r}$ when the angle is in the range of $90^{\circ}<\theta<320^{\circ}$. Figure 4-6 (f) illustrates the tangential stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ distributions along the contour $(1.001, \theta)$ for various distances of $d=0.1,0.5$ and 1.0. It should be noted that the absolute value of tangential stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ is very small in comparison with that of $\sigma_{z r}$. Figure 4-6 (g) illustrates the variation of stress components $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ in the matrix at the point $\left(1.001,0^{\circ}\right)$ versus the distance $d$ between the two inclusions. From the figure, it can be seen that stress components $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ become larger when the two inclusions approach each other. However, stress components $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ tend smoothly to the
constant when the two inclusions separate away. Figure 4-6 (h) shows stress distributions $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ along the $x$ axis when the two inclusions touch each other. It can be seen that the shear stress $\sigma_{z x}$ has a peak value at the touched point. For the increasing value of $x, \sigma_{z x}$ tends to match the remote shear $\tau_{\infty}$. Besides, the stress component $\sigma_{z y}$ is continuous at the tangent point ( $x / r_{1}=1.0$ ) and has a discontinuous jump on the interface between the matrix and inclusion ( $x / r_{1}=3.0$ ). The present results in Figs. 4-6 (b) ~4-6 (h) agree very well with the Wu's data [94]. Only the stress component $\sigma_{z x}$ at the touched point is lower than the Wu's data as shown in Fig. 4-6 (h), since separate Fourier expansions on the two boundaries are described for the touched inclusions in our formulation.

## Case 6: One hole surrounded by two circular inclusions

Figure 4-7 (a) shows that a circular hole centered at the origin of radius $r_{1}$ is surrounded by two circular inclusions ( $d / r_{1}=1.0$ ) with the equal radius $r_{2}=r_{3}=2 r_{1}$ and equal shear modulus $\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}$ under the remote shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$. We solved the distribution of the tangential stress along the circular hole influenced by the surrounding inclusions when they are arrayed in parallel ( $\beta=0^{\circ}$ ) or perpendicular ( $\beta=90^{\circ}$ ) to the direction of uniform shear as shown in Figs. 4-7 (b) and 4-7 (c). It is found that, when a hole and two inclusions are arrayed parallel to the applied load ( $\beta=0^{\circ}$ ), the stress concentration factor, reaching maximum at $\theta=90^{\circ}$ along a circular hole, increases (or decreases) as the neighboring hard (or soft) inclusions approach a circular hole as shown in Figs. 4-7 (b) and 4-7 (d). On the contrary, when a hole and two inclusions are perpendicular to the applied load ( $\beta=90^{\circ}$ ), the stress concentration factor, reaching maximum at $\theta=90^{\circ}$, decreases (or increases) as the neighboring hard (or soft) inclusions approach a circular hole as shown in Figs. 4-7 (c) and 4-7 (e). Our numerical results match very well with those of Chao and Young's [22].

## Case 7: Three identical inclusions forming an equilateral triangle

Figure 4-8 (a) shows that three identical inclusions ( $r_{1}=r_{2}=r_{3}$ ) subjected to the uniform shear stress $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ at infinity. The three inclusions form an equilateral
triangle and are placed at a distance $4 r_{1}$ apart. Besides, the distance between each two inclusions is $d=2 r_{1}$. We evaluate the hoop stress $\sigma_{z \theta}$ in the matrix around the boundary of the inclusion located at the origin as shown in Fig. 4-8 (b). Good agreement is obtained between the Gong's results [48] and ours. It is obvious that the limiting case of circular holes ( $\mu_{1} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{2} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{3} / \mu_{0}=0.0$ ) leads to the maximum stress concentration at $\theta=0^{\circ}$, which is larger than 2 of a single hole due to the interaction effect. It is also interesting to note that the stress component $\sigma_{z \theta}$ vanishes in the case of rigid inclusions ( $\mu_{1} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{2} / \mu_{0}=\mu_{3} / \mu_{0}=\infty, 10^{6}$ in the real computation), which can be explained by a general analogy between solutions for traction-free holes and those involving rigid inclusions.

### 4.5 Concluding remarks

A semi-analytical formulation for multiple circular inclusions with arbitrary radii, moduli and locations using degenerate kernels and Fourier series in the adaptive observer system was developed to ensure the exponential convergence. Generally speaking, only ten terms of Fourier series $(L=10)$ can yield the acceptable and accurate results. More terms of Fourier series are required to capture the singular behavior when the two inclusions approach each other as well as the two radii of inclusions are quite different. The singularity and hypersingularity were avoided after introducing the concept of degenerate kernels for interior and exterior regions. Besides, the boundary-layer effect for the stress calculation near the boundary is eliminated since the degenerate kernel can clearly describe the jump behavior from interior to exterior domains. The exact solution for a single inclusion was also re-derived by using the present formulation. Several examples investigated by Steif, Budiansky and Carrier, Goree and Wilson, Honein et al., Wu, Chao and Young, and Gong were revisited, respectively. Good agreements were made after comparing with the previous results. Regardless of the number, size and the position of circular inclusions and cavities, the proposed method can offer good results. This "semi-analytical" result may provide a datum for comparison when other numerical methods are used.

Table 4-1 Flowchart of the present method for anti-plane elasticity problems


Collocating the null-field point to construct the compatible relationship among boundary data in Eqs. (4-8) and (4-9)

Constructing influence coefficients in Table 2-2

Assembling Eqs. (4-10) and (4-11) by using the continuity of


Boundary integral equation for domain point in Eq. (2-11)


Table 4-2 Stress concentration factors and errors for various distances between two holes using the present approach and BEM

| $d / r_{1}$ |  |  | 0.01 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Analytical solution [82] |  | 14.2247 | 3.5349 | 2.7667 | 2.4758 | 2.3274 | 2.2400 |
|  | Present <br> method | $L=10$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5096 \\ (26.12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5306 \\ (0.12 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.7664 \\ (0.01 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.4758 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.3274 \\ (0.00 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.2400 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $L=20$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.3275 \\ & (6.31 \%) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5349 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.7667 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4758 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3274 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2400 \\ (0.00 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { BEM } \\ \text { BEPO2D } \end{gathered}$ | node $=21$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.2500 \\ (49.03 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.4532 \\ (2.31 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.738 \\ (1.04 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.4639 \\ (0.48 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.3168 \\ (0.46 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2366 \\ (0.15 \%) \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | node $=41$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.2008 \\ (28.29 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.5188 \\ (0.46 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.7619 \\ (0.17 \%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.4747 \\ (0.04 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.3312 \\ (0.16 \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.2398 \\ (0.01 \%) \end{gathered}$ |

Data in parentheses denote error.


Figure 4-1 (a) Infinite anti-plane problem with arbitrary number of circular inclusions under the remote shear


Figure 4-1 (b) Infinite medium with circular holes under the remote shear
$\odot$


Figure 4-1 (d) Infinite medium under the remote shear


Figure 4-1 (c) Interior Laplace problems for each inclusion


Figure 4-1 (e) Exterior Laplace problems for the matrix


Figure 4-2 (a) Two equal-sized holes ( $r_{1}=r_{2}$ ) with centers on the $x$ axis


Figure 4-2 (b) Stress concentration of the problem containing two equal-sized holes


Figure 4-2 (c) Convergence test of the two equal-sized holes problem ( $d=1.0$ )


Figure 4-2 (d) Tangential stress in the matrix near the boundary ( $d=1.0$ )


Figure 4-3 (a) Two identical inclusions with centers on the $x$ axis


Figure 4-3 (b) Stress concentration factor of inclusion versus inclusion spacing


Figure 4-4 (a) Two circular inclusions with centers on the $x$ axis

- $\sigma_{z r}^{M}$ for rigid inclusions with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} \quad\left(\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}=0\right)$
- $-\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}$ for cavities with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=0 \quad\left(\sigma_{z r}^{M}=0\right)$
- $\sigma_{z r}^{M}$ for rigid inclusions with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=0 \quad\left(\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}=0\right)$
- $-\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}$ for cavities with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} \quad\left(\sigma_{z r}^{M}=0\right)$


Figure 4-4 Effects of spacing on the stresses around the boundary of radius $r_{1}$ for two equal-sized inclusions (b) $L=20$, (c) $L=40$

- $\sigma_{z r}^{M}$ for rigid inclusions with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} \quad\left(\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}=0\right)$
- $-\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}$ for cavities with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=0 \quad\left(\sigma_{z r}^{M}=0\right)$
- $\sigma_{z r}^{M}$ for rigid inclusions with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=0 \quad\left(\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}=0\right)$
- $-\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}$ for cavities with $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0, \sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} \quad\left(\sigma_{z r}^{M}=0\right)$


Figure 4-4 Effects of the size of neighboring inclusion on the stresses around the boundary of

$$
\text { radius } r_{1} \text { with } d=0.1 r_{1} \text { (d) } L=80 \text {, (e) } L=100
$$



Figure 4-5 (a) Two circular inclusions with centers on the $y$ axis


Figure 4-5 (b) Stresses around the circular boundary of radius $r_{1}$


Figure 4-5 (c) Convergence test of the two-inclusions problem


Figure 4-5 (d) Radial stress in the matrix near the boundary


Figure 4-5 (e) Tangential stress in the matrix near the boundary


Figure 4-6 (a) Two circular inclusions embedded in a matrix under the remote anti-plane shear in two directions


Figure 4-6 (b) Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=0.1$


Figure 4-6 (c) Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=0.4$


Figure 4-6 (d) Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when $d=1.0$


Figure 4-6 (e) Normal stress distributions along the contour $(1.001, \theta)$


Figure 4-6 (f) Tangential stress distributions along the contour $(1.001, \theta)$


Figure 4-6 (g) Variations of stresses at the point (1.001, $0^{\circ}$ )


Figure 4-6 (h) Stress distributions along the $x$ axis when the two inclusions touch each other


Figure 4-7 (a) One hole surrounded by two circular inclusions


Figure 4-7 (b) Tangential stress distribution along the hole boundary with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 4-7 (d) Stress concentration as a function of the spacing $d / r_{1}$ with $\beta=0^{\circ}$


Figure 4-7 (c) Tangential stress distribution along the hole boundary with $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 4-7 (e) Stress concentration as a function of the spacing $d / r_{1}$ with $\beta=90^{\circ}$


Figure 4-8 (a) Three identical inclusions forming an equilateral triangle


Figure 4-8 (b) Tangential stress distribution around the inclusion located at the origin

## Chapter 5 Conclusions and further research

### 5.1 Conclusions

In this thesis, we proposed a null-field integral equation approach for piezoelectricity problems with circular inclusions under anti-plane condition. Apparently, this is a very useful, semi-analytical and numerical method, and it can provide accurate solutions for multi-inclusion interaction problems. Based on the proposed formulation for solving boundary value problems involving circular holes and/or inclusions, some concluding remarks are itemized as follows:

1. A unified formulation for solving boundary value problems with circular holes and/or inclusions was proposed successfully in this thesis although our main applications are limited to Laplace problems. Regarding the null-field approach, degenerate kernels for fundamental solutions and Fourier expansions for boundary densities were adopted in the adaptive observer system. Piezoelectricity problems with circular inclusions as well as the uncoupling cases, in-plane electrostatic and anti-plane elastic problems, were examined to verify the accuracy of the present formulation for various sizes, locations, material constants and magnitudes of applied loadings. All the numerical results match well with those of other approaches and analytical solutions.
2. The singularity and hypersingularity were avoided due to the introduction of degenerate kernels for interior and exterior regions separated by the circular boundary. Instead of directly calculating principal values, all the boundary integrals can be performed analytically by using the degenerate kernel and Fourier expansion. Therefore, the present approach is seen as a "semi-analytical" approach since error only ascribes to the truncated Fourier series.
3. Boundary-layer effect for the calculation of stress near the boundary was eliminated since degenerate kernels can clearly describe the jump behavior of
potential from interior to exterior domains, respectively. Numerical results indicate that the stress across the near boundary stress can be described smoothly by using the present approach without any treatments of regularization, transformation or other techniques. Boundary-layer effect free is inherent in the present approach.
4. The convergence study shows that only a few terms of Fourier series can yield acceptable results and the convergence rate is fast. It is because that the use of degenerate kernels for fundamental solutions and Fourier expansions for boundary densities leads to the exponential convergence.
5. The influence matrix in the linear algebraic system using the present formulation is well-posed since the jump behavior of potential distribution was separately described in different regions by using the degenerate kernels for the representation of fundamental solutions.
6. Four goals of singularity free, boundary-layer effect free, exponential convergence and well-posed model are achieved.
7. Adaptive observer system and vector decomposition technique were employed to efficiently calculate the invariant of direction derivative of potential gradient using the hypersingular formulation.
8. To the author's best knowledge, the studies of more than "two" circular inclusion problems are very few. We have presented an approach for solving problems with multiple circular inclusions. Since analytical solutions are not available, our semi-analytical results may provide a datum for other researchers' reference.
9. The results of two equal-sized holes, e.g. convergence test, boundary-layer effect detection and error analysis, demonstrate the superiority of present method over the conventional boundary element method on the basis of the same number of
degrees of freedom.
10. A general-purpose program for solving Laplace problems involving an infinite domain with multiple circular inclusions of various radii, arbitrary positions and different material constants was developed. Its possible applications in engineering are very broad, not only limited in this thesis.

### 5.2 Further studies

In this thesis, our formulation has been applied to solve anti-plane piezoelectricity problems with circular inclusions as well as in-plane electrostatic and anti-plane elastic problems by using the degenerate kernels for representing fundamental solutions and Fourier expansions for expanding boundary densities in the null-field integral equation. However, several issues are worth to be further investigated as follows:

1. Although it seems that the applications is limited for circular holes and/or inclusions, the idea and algorithm of the thesis can be extended to problems of general boundaries. Once the degenerate kernel and expansion of boundary densities are available. Therefore, three-dimensional problems with spherical cavities and/or inclusions can be solved in a similar way.
2. For problems with straight (crack and regular) boundaries, our method can also be applied by changing the dummy variable $\theta$ into $R$. How to expand the boundary density along the line is a challenge to ensure the orthogonal property with respect to bases of degenerate kernels.
3. We have demonstrated our formulation through several engineering applications which satisfy the Laplace equation. Engineering problems involving multiple inclusions under various loading types, e.g. concentrated forces, screw dislocations, edge dislocations, torques, in-plane shears and in-plane tensions, and with other inhomogeneous types, e.g. coated fibers, inclusions with imperfect interfaces and
composite bars, may be considered. The main difficulty of screw dislocation is that the Green's third identity can not be directly applied. On the other hand, we may apply the same procedure to other fields, e.g. the heat transfer in finite tissue with blood vessels in biomechanics.
4. The asymptotic behavior of $1 / \sqrt{\varepsilon}$ for stresses near two approaching inclusions can be numerically studied using the present formulation with higher number of terms in Fourier series.
5. It is well known that the Green's function can be derived by various methods. Null-field approach also provides an alternative way to construct the Green's function.
6. Half plane and half space problems with multiple inclusions can be solved by using the present approach in a straightforward way after introducing the image concept to match our model.
7. The fundamental solution was expanded to degenerate kernels with respect to a single center for the eccentric case by the separable technique. Hence, the adaptive observer system was required to fully capture the geometry of each circle. The bi-center expansion technique for the source and field systems may be suitable for the eccentric case in a straightforward way such that adaptive observer system is not required.
8. Mogilevskaya and Crouch have used the Galerkin method instead of collocation technique for multiple circular elastic inclusions. The present approach can be extended to the Galerkin formulation only for circular and annular cases. If bi-center expansion is feasible, the Galerkin formulation can be directly applied.
9. According to the limit of computer hardware, it may be difficult for solving large
scale problems. After employing the fast algorithm technique, large scale problems can be solved easily. This is the hot topic of BEM research in recent years.
10. The phenomenon of degenerate scale using the proposed formulation for solving Laplace problems containing multiple circular holes has been studies by Chen and Shen. Whether the degenerate scale exists for Laplace problems containing multiple circular inclusions needs further study. To deal with the problem of degenerate scale, possible remedies including the method of adding a rigid body term, dual formulation and SVD updating technique may be alternatives to overcome the rank-deficiency problem.
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## Appendix 1 Calculation for the forcing term in the anti-plane piezoelectricity formulation

According to the constitutive equation due to the coupling behavior in Eq. (3-4), the displacement and traction fields in the infinite medium due to the far-field shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}$, $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}$ and electric field $E_{x}^{\infty}, E_{y}^{\infty}$ in Fig. 3-2 (c) yield

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{\infty}=\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} x+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} y,  \tag{A1-1}\\
t^{\infty}=\frac{\partial w^{\infty}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} n_{x}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} n_{y}\right), \tag{A1-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the unit outward normal vector on the boundary is $\mathrm{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}\right)$. By comparing Eq. (3-11) with the first row of Eq. (3-19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathbf{a}\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\}-\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\} . \tag{A1-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the circular boundary where the original system is located, the boundary conditions due to the far-field shear and electric field are

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{1}^{\infty}=\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} r_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} r_{1} \sin \theta_{1},  \tag{A1-4}\\
& t_{1}^{\infty}=-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} \sin \theta_{1}\right) . \tag{A1-5}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the boundary condition, due to the far-field shear and electric field, on the kth circular boundary with respect to the observer system, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{k}^{\infty}=\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}}\left(e_{x}+r_{k} \cos \theta_{k}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}}\left(e_{y}+r_{k} \sin \theta_{k}\right),  \tag{A1-6}\\
t_{k}^{\infty}=-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{x}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} \cos \theta_{k}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}+e_{15}^{M} E_{y}^{\infty}}{c_{44}^{M}} \sin \theta_{k}\right), \tag{A1-7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $e_{x}$ and $e_{y}$ respectively denote the eccentric distance of $k t h$ inclusion in the $x$ and $y$ direction. By comparing Eq. (A1-5) with Eq. (A1-7), we find that $t^{\infty}$ can
be described in any observer system without any change, where $\theta_{k}$ denotes the polar angle in the adaptive observer coordinate system. For the forcing term $\{\mathbf{b}\}$ due to the far-field electric field without the coupling behavior, it can be obtained in a similar way as the forcing term $\{\mathbf{a}\}$.

## Appendix 2 Calculation for the forcing term in the anti-plane elasticity formulation

According to Eqs. (4-2) and (4-3), the displacement and traction fields in the infinite medium due to the remote shear $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}$ and $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}$ in Fig. 4-1 (d) are

$$
\begin{gather*}
w^{\infty}=\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} x+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} y,  \tag{A2-1}\\
t^{\infty}=\frac{\partial w^{\infty}}{\partial \mathrm{n}}=-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} n_{x}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} n_{y}\right), \tag{A2-2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the unit outward normal vector on the boundary is $\mathrm{n}=\left(n_{x}, n_{y}\right)$. By comparing Eq. (4-8) with the first low of Eq. (4-13), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\mathbf{c}\}=\left[\mathbf{T}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\}-\left[\mathbf{U}^{M}\right]\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\} . \tag{A2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the circular boundary where the original system is located, the boundary conditions due to the remote shear are

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{1}^{\infty} & =\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} r_{1} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} r_{1} \sin \theta_{1},  \tag{A2-4}\\
t_{1}^{\infty} & =-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} \cos \theta_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} \sin \theta_{1}\right) . \tag{A2-5}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering the boundary condition, due to the remote shear, on the kth circular boundary with respect to the observer system, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
w_{k}^{\infty}=\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}}\left(e_{x}+r_{k} \cos \theta_{k}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}}\left(e_{y}+r_{k} \sin \theta_{k}\right),  \tag{A2-6}\\
t_{k}^{\infty}=-\left(\frac{\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} \cos \theta_{k}+\frac{\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} \sin \theta_{k}\right), \tag{A2-7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $e_{x}$ and $e_{y}$ respectively denote the eccentric distance of $k t h$ inclusion in the $x$ and $y$ direction, and $\theta_{k}$ is the polar angle in the adaptive observer coordinate system. It is found that $t^{\infty}$ can be described in any observer system without changes.

## Appendix 3 Derivation of the exact solution for a single elastic inclusion

We derive the exact solution for anti-plane problem with a single elastic inclusion under the remote shear using the present formulation. The infinite medium under the shear stress $\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=0$ and $\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty}$ at infinity is considered. The Fourier coefficients in Eq. (4-8) can be written as

$$
\left\{\mathbf{w}^{\infty}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{A3-1}\\
0 \\
\frac{\tau_{\infty} r_{1}}{\mu_{0}} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}_{(2 L+1) \times 1},\left\{\mathbf{t}^{\infty}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
-\frac{\tau_{\infty}}{\mu_{0}} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]_{(2 L+1) \times 1},
$$

where $r_{1}$ is the radius of the single inclusion. By substituting the appropriate degenerate kernels in Eqs. (2-16) and (2-17) into Eqs. (4-8), (4-9) and employing the continuity of displacement and the equilibrium of traction along the interface in Eqs. (4-10) and (4-11), the unknown boundary data in Eq. (4-13) can be obtained using the symbolic software Mathematica as shown below:

$$
\left\{\mathbf{w}^{M}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{A3-2}\\
0 \\
\frac{2 \tau_{\infty} r_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}_{(2 L+1) \times 1} \quad,\left\{\mathbf{t}^{M}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{-2 \tau_{\infty} \mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}\left(\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}\right)} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]_{(2 L+1) \times 1}
$$

$$
\left\{\mathbf{w}^{I}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0  \tag{A3-3}\\
0 \\
\frac{2 \tau_{\infty} r_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}_{(2 L+1) \times 1} \quad,\left\{\mathbf{t}^{I}\right\}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{2 \tau_{\infty}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \\
\vdots \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right\}_{(2 L+1) \times 1}
$$

After substituting Eqs. (A3-1) and (A3-2) into the boundary integral equation for the domain point in Eq. (2-11), we obtain the total stress fields in the matrix

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{z x}^{M}=\mu_{0} \frac{\partial w^{M}}{\partial x}+\sigma_{z x}^{\infty}=-2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \sin \phi \cos \phi,  \tag{A3-4}\\
r_{1} \leq \rho \leq \infty, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi, \\
\sigma_{z y}^{M}=\mu_{0} \frac{\partial w^{M}}{\partial y}+\sigma_{z y}^{\infty}=\tau_{\infty} \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\mu_{0}-\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}\left(\cos ^{2} \phi-\sin ^{2} \phi\right)+\tau_{\infty},  \tag{A3-5}\\
r_{1} \leq \rho \leq \infty, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi .
\end{gather*}
$$

After substituting Eq. (A3-10) into the boundary integral equation for the domain point in Eq. (2-11), we have the total stress fields in the inclusion

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{z x}^{I}=\mu_{1} \frac{\partial w^{I}}{\partial x}=0,0 \leq \rho \leq r_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi,  \tag{A3-6}\\
\sigma_{z y}^{I}=\mu_{1} \frac{\partial w^{I}}{\partial y}=2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}}, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq r_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi . \tag{АЗ-7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, the stress components $\sigma_{z r}$ and $\sigma_{z \theta}$ in Eqs. (4-6) and (4-7) can be superimposed by using $\sigma_{z x}$ and $\sigma_{z y}$ as shown below:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{z r}^{M}=2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \sin \phi, \quad r_{1} \leq \rho \leq \infty, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi  \tag{A3-8}\\
\sigma_{z \theta}^{M}=2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho^{2}} \frac{\mu_{0}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \cos \phi, \quad r_{1} \leq \rho \leq \infty, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi  \tag{АЗ-9}\\
\sigma_{z r}^{I}=2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \sin \phi, 0 \leq \rho \leq r_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi  \tag{A3-10}\\
\sigma_{z \theta}^{I}=2 \tau_{\infty} \frac{\mu_{1}}{\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}} \cos \phi, \quad 0 \leq \rho \leq r_{1}, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi \tag{A3-11}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is obvious to see that the maximum stress concentration occurs at $\rho=r_{1}$ and $\phi=0$. The stress concentration factor is reduced due to the inclusion in comparison with that of cavity ( $\mu_{1}=0$ ) as shown in Eq. (A3-9). Besides, it is noted that $\sigma_{z r}^{M}$ coincides with
$\sigma_{z r}^{I}$ as required by the traction equilibrium on the interface between the matrix and inclusion. The exact solution for a single elastic inclusion using the present formulation matches well with the previous one obtained by employing the complex-variable formulation [56].

## Appendix 4 Available results obtained by previous researchers



Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-4 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-5


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-6 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-6 (b)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-8 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-8 (b)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-10 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-10 (b)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-11 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-11 (b)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-12 (a)


Chao and Chang's data [20] for Figure 3-12 (b)


Wang and Shen’s data [88] for Figure 3-13


Wang and Shen’s data [88] for Figure 3-14


Wang and Shen’s data [88] for Figure 3-15


Wang and Shen's data [88] for Figure 3-16


Wang and Shen's data [88] for Figure 3-17


Wang and Shen's data [88] for Figure 3-18 (a)


Wang and Shen's data [88] for Figure 3-18 (b)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-19 (a)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-19 (b)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-19 (c)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-20 (a)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-20 (b)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-21 (a)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-21 (b)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-21 (c)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-22 (a)


Wu and Funami's data [95] for Figure 3-22 (b)
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E_{x}^{\infty}=E_{\infty}
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Emets and Onofrichuk's data [46] for
Figure 3-24 (a)

Emets and Onofrichuk's data [46] for
Figure 3-24 (b)


Budiansky and Carrier's data [15] for Figure 4-3 (b)


Goree and Wilson's data [50] for Figures 4-4 (b) and 4-4 (c)


Goree and Wilson's data [50] for Figures 4-4 (d) and 4-4 (e)


Honein et al.'s data [56] for Figure 4-5 (b)









Chao and Young's data [22] for Figure 4-7 (b) Chao and Young's data [22] for Figure 4-7 (c)


Chao and Young's data [22] for Figure 4-7 (d)


Chao and Young's data [22] for Figure 4-7 (e)


Gong's data [48] for Figure 4-8 (b)
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