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#### Abstract

In this paper，a regularized meshless method（RMM） is developed to solve the two－dimension Laplace problem with multiply－connected domain．The solution is represented by using the double layer potential．The source points can be located on the real boundary by using the proposed regularized technique to regularize the singularity and hypersingularity of the kernel functions．The difficulty of the coincidence of the source and collocation points is avoided and thereby the diagonal terms of influence matrices are easily determined．The numerical results demonstrate the accuracy of the solutions after comparing with those of exact solution and BEM for the Dirichlet， Neumann and mixed－type problems with multiple holes．Good agreements are observed．
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## 1．INTRODUCTION

In recent years，science and engineering communities have paid much attention to the meshless method in which the element is free． Because of neither domain nor boundary meshing required for the meshless method，it is very attractive for engineers in model creation．Therefore，the meshless method becomes promising in solving engineering problems．

The method of fundamental solutions（MFS）is one of the meshless methods and belongs to a boundary method of boundary value problems，which can be viewed as a discrete type of indirect boundary element method．The MFS was attributed to Kupradze in 1964 ［10］，and had been applied to potential［9］，Helmholtz ［5］，diffusion［4］，biharmonic［11］and elasticity problems［3］．In the MFS，the solution is approximated by a set of fundamental solutions of the governing equations which are expressed in terms of sources located outside the physical domain．The unknown coefficients in the linear combination of the
fundamental solutions are determined by matching the boundary condition．The method is relatively easy to implement．It is adaptive in the sense that it can take into account sharp changes in the solution and in the geometry of the domain and can easily incorporate complex boundary conditions［11］．A survey of the MFS and related method over the last thirty years can be found in Ref．［9］．However，the MFS is still not a popular method because of the debatable artificial boundary（off－set boundary）distance for source location in numerical implementation especially for a complicated geometry．The diagonal coefficients of influence matrices are divergent in conventional case when the off－set boundary approaches the real boundary．In spite of its gain of singularity free，the influence matrices become ill－posed when the off－set boundary is far away from the real boundary．It results in an ill－posed problem since the condition number for the influence matrix becomes very large．

Recently，Young et al．［13］developed a modified MFS，namely regularized meshless method（RMM）， to overcome the drawback of MFS for solving the Laplace equation．The method eliminates the well－known drawback of equivocal artificial boundary． The subtracting and adding－back technique［13］can regularize the singularity and hypersingularity of the kernel functions．This method can simultaneously distribute the observation and source points on the real boundary even using the singular kernels instead of non－singular kernels［8］．The diagonal terms of the influence matrices can be extracted out by using the proposed technique．However，the problem solved in ［13］is limited for simply－connected problems．For the Laplace problem with multiply－connected domain，the solutions can be obtained by using the finite difference method（FDM）［12］and the boundary element method（BEM）［1，6］．The conventional MFS has also been employed to solve the Laplace problem with multiple circular holes［7］．

Following the sources of［13］for simply－connected problems，we extend to the multiply－connected problems by using the RMM in this paper．A general－purpose program is developed to solve the multiply－connected Laplace problems．The results will be compared with those of the BEM and analytical solutions．Furthermore，the sensitivity and
convergent test will be studied through several examples to show the validity of our method．

## 2．FORMULATION

## 2．1 Governing equation and boundary conditions

Consider a boundary value problem with a potential $u(x)$ ，which satisfies the Laplace equation as follows：
$\nabla^{2} u(x)=0, \quad x \in D$,
subject to boundary conditions，
$u(x)=\bar{u}, \quad x \in B_{p}^{\bar{u}}, \quad p=1,2,3, \cdots, m$
$t(x)=\bar{t}, \quad x \in B_{q}^{\bar{t}}, \quad p=1,2,3, \cdots, m$
where $\nabla^{2}$ is Laplacian operator，$D$ is the domain of the problem， $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\partial \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x})}{\partial \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}}, m$ is the total number of boundaries including $m-1$ numbers of inner boundaries and one outer boundary（the $m$ th boundary），$B_{p}^{\bar{u}}$ is the essential boundary（Dirichlet boundary）of the $p$ th boundary in which the potential is prescribed by $\bar{u}$ and $B_{q}^{\bar{t}}$ is the natural boundary （Neumann boundary）of the $q$ th boundary in which the flux is prescribed by $\bar{t}$ ．Both $B_{p}^{\bar{u}}$ and $B_{q}^{\bar{t}}$ construct the whole boundary of the domain $D$ as shown in Figure 1.

## 2．2 Conventional method of fundamental solutions

By employing the RBF technique［2］，the representation of the solution for multiply－connected problem as shown in Figure 1 can be approximated in terms of the $\alpha_{j}$ strengths of the singularities at $s_{j}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(x_{i}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}, x_{i}\right) \alpha_{j} \\
= & \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}, x_{i}\right) \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j=N_{1}+1}^{N_{1}+N_{2}} T\left(s_{j}, x_{i}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots  \tag{4}\\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{m-1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}, x_{i}\right) \alpha_{j}
\end{align*}
$$ section 2．3．The rationale for choosing double layer potential instead of the single layer potential as used in the RMM for the form of RBFs is to take the advantage of the regularization of the subtracting and adding－back technique，so that no off－set distance is needed when evaluating the diagonal coefficients of influence matrices which will be explained in Section 2．4．The single layer potential can not be chosen because the following Eqs．（9），（12），（15）and（18）in Section 2.3 are not satisfied．If the single layer

potential is used，the regularization of subtracting and adding－back technique fails．

## 2．3 Regularized meshless method

When the collocation point $X_{i}$ approaches the source point $S_{j}$ ，the potentials in Eqs．（4）and（5） become singular．Eqs．（4）and（5）for the multiply－connected problems need to be regularized by using the regularization of subtracting and adding－back technique［13］as follows：

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(x_{i}^{I}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots+\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots \\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-2}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j} \\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{o}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}  \tag{8}\\
& -\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}++N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{i}, \\
& x_{i}^{I} \in B_{p}, p=1, \quad 2,3, \cdots, m-1
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{i}^{I}$ is located on the inner boundary （ $p=1,2,3, \cdots, m-1$ ）and the superscript $I$ and $O$ denote the inward and outward normal vectors，respectively，and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=0,  \tag{9}\\
& x_{i}^{I} \in B_{p}, \quad p=1, \quad 2, \quad 3, \cdots, \quad m-1
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore，we can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(x_{i}^{I}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots+\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{i-1} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j} \\
& +\sum_{j=i+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots \\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-2}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j}  \tag{10}\\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{j} \\
& -\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)-T\left(s_{i}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)\right] \alpha_{i}, \\
& x_{i}^{I} \in B_{p}, \quad p=1, \quad 2,3, \cdots, \quad m-1
\end{align*}
$$

When the observation point $x_{i}^{O}$ locates on the outer boundary（ $p=m$ ），Eq．（8）becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
u\left(x_{i}^{O}\right)= & \sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j=N_{i}+1}^{N_{i}+N_{2}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots \\
& +\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-2}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m+1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}  \tag{11}\\
& -\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{N_{2-1}+1}^{N}}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right) \alpha_{i}, x_{i}^{O} \text { and } I \in B_{p}, p=m
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}^{N} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=0, \quad x_{i}^{I} \in B_{p}, \quad p=m \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence，we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& t\left(x_{i}^{O}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j=N_{i}+1}^{N_{N}+N_{2}} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{O}\right) \alpha_{j}+\cdots \\
& +{ }_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{N_{2}+1}}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}} M\left(s_{j}^{T}, x_{i}^{o}\right) \alpha_{j}+\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{N_{1}+1}}^{i-1} M\left(s_{j}^{o}, x_{i}^{o}\right) \alpha_{j} \\
& +\sum_{j=i+1}^{N} M\left(s_{j}^{o}, x_{i}^{o}\right) \alpha_{j}  \tag{19}\\
& -\left[\sum_{j=N_{i}+\cdots+N_{m_{1+1}+1}}^{N} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)-M\left(s_{i}^{o}, x_{i}^{o}\right)\right] \alpha_{i}, \\
& x_{i}^{O}{ }^{0 \text { and } I} \in B_{p}, p=m
\end{align*}
$$

The detailed derivations of Eqs．（9），（12），（15）and（18） are given in the reference［13］．According to the dependence of the normal vectors for inner and outer boundaries［13］，their relationships are
$\left\{\begin{array}{cl}T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=-T\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{O}\right), & i \neq j \\ T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=T\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{O}\right), & i=j\end{array}\right.$
$\begin{cases}M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=M\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{O}\right), & i \neq j \\ M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)=M\left(s_{j}^{O}, x_{i}^{O}\right), & i=j\end{cases}$
where the left hand side and right hand side of the equal sign in Eqs．（20）and（21）denote the kernels for observation and source point with the inward and outward normal vectors，respectively．

By using the proposed technique，the singular terms in Eqs．（4）and（5）have been transformed into regular terms（ $-\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)-T\left(s_{i}^{I}\right.\right.$ or $o, x_{i}^{I I}$ or or $\left.)\right]$ and $-\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} M\left(s_{i}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)-M\left(s_{i}^{I}\right.\right.$ or o,$x_{i}^{I}$ or $\left.\left.\left.o\right)\right]\right)$ ，in Eqs． （10），（13），（16）and（19），respectively，where $p=1,2,3, \cdots, m$ ．The terms of $\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p-1}+1}^{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{p}} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{i}^{I}\right)$ are the adding－back terms and the terms of $T\left(s_{i}^{I \text { or } O}, x_{i}^{I}\right.$ or $\left.O\right)$ and $M\left(s_{i}^{I \text { or } O}, x_{i}^{I \text { or } O}\right)$ are the subtracting terms in the two brackets for reqularization．After using the abovementional method of regularization of subtracting and adding－back technique［13］，we are able to remove the singularity and hypersingularity of the kernel functions．

## 2．4 Derivation of influence matrices for arbitrary

## domain problems

By collocating $N$ observation points to match with the BCs from Eqs．（10）and（13）for the Dirichlet problem，and the linear algebraic equation is obtained

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\overline{u_{1}}  \tag{22}\\
\vdots \\
\overline{u_{N}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[T_{11}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{1}}} & \cdots & {\left[T_{1 m}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{m}}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
{\left[T_{m 1}\right]_{N_{m} \times N_{1}}} & \cdots & {\left[T_{m m}\right]_{N_{m} \times N_{m}}}
\end{array}\right]_{N \times N} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{N}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[T_{11}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{11}^{11} & \cdots & T\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{1}^{I}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right) & \cdots & T_{11}^{N_{1} N_{1}}
\end{array}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{1}}} \\
& T_{11}^{11}=-\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, X_{1}^{I}\right)-T\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{1}^{I}\right)\right]  \tag{23}\\
& T_{11}^{N_{1} N_{1}}=-\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right)-T\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[T_{1 m}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T\left(s_{N_{1}+\ldots+N_{m+1}+1}^{o}, x_{1}^{I}\right) & \cdots & T\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{1}^{I}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T\left(s_{N_{1}+\ldots+N_{N_{1}+1},}^{o}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right) & \cdots & T\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right.
\end{array}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{m}}}  \tag{24}\\
& {\left[T_{m 1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{m 1}^{11} & \cdots & T_{m 1}^{1 N_{1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N}^{o}\right) & \cdots & T\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{N}^{o}\right)
\end{array}\right]_{N_{N^{\prime}} \times N_{1}}}  \tag{25}\\
& T_{m 1}^{11}=T\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n-1}+1}^{O}\right) \\
& T_{m 1}^{1 N_{1}}=T\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{N_{1}+1}}^{o}\right) \\
& {\left[T_{m m}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
T_{m m}^{11} & \cdots & T\left(s_{N}^{o}, X_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m+1}}^{o}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
T\left(s_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m+1}}^{o}, x_{N}^{o}\right) & \cdots & T_{m m}^{N_{m} N_{m}}
\end{array}\right]_{N_{m \times N} \times N_{m}}} \\
& T_{n m}^{11}=-\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n+1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m+1}+1}^{I}\right)\right.  \tag{26}\\
& \left.-T\left(s_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{N_{1}+1}}^{o}, x_{N_{1}+++N_{n+1}+1}^{o}\right)\right] \\
& T_{m m}^{N_{m} N_{m}}=-\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+\cdots+N_{n+1}+1}^{N} T\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{N}^{I}\right)-T\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{N}^{o}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For the Neumann problem，Eqs．（16）and（19）yield

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\overline{t_{1}}  \tag{27}\\
\vdots \\
\overline{t_{N}}
\end{array}\right\}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
{\left[M_{11}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{1}}} & \cdots & {\left[M_{1 m}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{m}}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
{\left[M_{m 1}\right]_{N_{m} \times N_{1}}} & \cdots & {\left[M_{m m}\right]_{N_{m} \times N_{m}}}
\end{array}\right] \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\alpha_{1} \\
\vdots \\
\alpha_{N}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

in which

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M_{11}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{11}^{11} & \cdots & M\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{1}^{I}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right) & \cdots & M_{11}^{N_{1} N_{1}}
\end{array}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{1}}} \\
& M_{11}^{11}=-\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{1}^{I}\right)-M\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{1}^{I}\right)\right]  \tag{28}\\
& M_{11}^{N_{11} N_{1}}=-\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N_{1}} M\left(s_{j}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right)-M\left(s_{N_{1}}^{I}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right)\right] \\
& {\left[M_{1 m}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M\left(s_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-1}+1}^{o}, x_{1}^{I}\right) & \cdots & M\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{1}^{I}\right) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M\left(s_{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{m-1}+1}^{o}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right) & \cdots & M\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{N_{1}}^{I}\right)
\end{array}\right]_{N_{1} \times N_{m}}} \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[M_{m 1}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{m 1}^{11} & \cdots & M_{m 1}^{1 N_{1}} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
M\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N}^{O}\right) & \cdots & M\left(S_{N_{1},}^{I}, x_{N}^{O}\right)
\end{array}\right]_{N_{0} \times N_{1}}}  \tag{30}\\
& M_{m 1}^{11}=M\left(s_{1}^{I}, x_{N_{1}+\ldots+N_{m+1}}^{0}\right) \\
& M_{m 1}^{1 N_{1}}=M\left(s_{N_{1}}^{l}, x_{N_{1}+\ldots+N_{N_{1}+1}}^{o}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.-M\left(s_{N_{1}+\ldots+N_{N+1}+1}^{0}, x_{N_{1++}}^{0}+N_{N_{1+1}+1}\right)\right]  \tag{31}\\
& M_{m m}^{N_{n} N_{n}}=-\left[\sum_{j=N_{1}+N_{N+1}}^{N} M\left(s_{j}^{\prime}, x_{N}^{\prime}\right)-M\left(s_{N}^{o}, x_{N}^{o}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For the mixed－type problem，a linear combination of Eqs．（22）and（27）is required to satisfy the mixed－type BCs．After the unknown density $\left(\left\{\alpha_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}\right.$ ） are obtained by solving the linear algebraic equations，the field solution can be solved by using Eqs．（4）and（5）．

## 3．NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

## Case 1：Dirichlet problem

The multiply－connected Dirichlet problem is shown in Figure 3，and an analytical solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \theta)=\frac{1}{r} \cos (\theta), \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact field solution is plotted in Figure 4 ．The field solutions by using the RMM（ 360 points）are shown in Figure 5.

## Case 2：Numann problem

The multiply－connected Neumann problem is shown in Figure 6，and an analytical solution is available as follows：

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r^{2} \cos (2 \theta)+r \sin (\theta) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field potential in Eq．（33）is shown in Figure 7. The norm error is defind as
$\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u_{\text {exact }}(r=1.6, \theta)-u(r=1.6, \theta)\right|^{2} d \theta$
The norm error of the RMM versus the total number $N$ of source points are shown in Figure 8．By selecting the 100 points to distribute，we can obtain the convergent result．From Figure 8，the field solutions by using the RMM（ 200 points）and the BEM（200 elements）are plotted in Figures 9 （a）and Figure 9 （b）， respectively．Comparing Figure 9 （a）with Figure 9 （b） and Figure 7 ，the RMM result agrees with the exact solution and the BEM result．

## Case 3：Mixed－type problem

The mixed－type problem for multiply－connected domain is shown in Figure 10，and an analytical solution is available as follows：

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=r^{3} \cos (3 \theta) \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact field solution is plotted in Figure 11．The defined norm error is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|u_{\text {exact }}(r=0.5, \theta)-u(r=0.5, \theta)\right|^{2} d \theta \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm error of the RMM versus the total number $N$ of source points is shown in Figure 12 and the convergent result is found after distributing 200 points． The field solutions by using the RMM（400 points） and the BEM（ 400 elements）are shown in Figures 13 （a）and（b），respectively．After comparing Figure 13 （a） with Figure 13 （b）and Figure 11，the RMM result agrees with the exact solution and the BEM result．

## 4．CONCLUSIONS

In this study，we used the RMM to solve the Laplace problems with multiply－connected domain subject to the Dirichlet，Neumann and mixed－type BCs．Only the boundary nodes on the real boundary are required．The major difficulty of the coincidence of the source and collocation points in the conventional MFS is then circumvented．Furthermore， the controversy of the off－set boundary outside the physical domain by using the conventional MFS no longer exists．Although it results in the singularity and hypersingularity due to the use of double layer potential，the finite values of the diagonal terms for the influence matrices have been extracted out by employing the regularization technique．The numerical results were obtained by applying the developed program to three examples with different BCs and shapes of domain．Numerical results agreed very well with the analytical solutions and the BEM．

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Financial support from the National Science Council under Grant No．NSC－94－2211－E－464－002 to the second author for Toko University is gratefully acknowledged．

## REFERENCES

［1］．Baker GR and Shelley MJ．Boundary Integral Techniques for Multi－connected Domains． Journal of Computational Physics 1986；64： 112－132．
［2］．Cheng AHD．Particular solutions of Laplacian， Helmholtz－type，and polyharmonic operators involving higher order radial basis functions．

Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements 2000；24：531－538．
［3］．Cheng AHD，Chen CS，Golberg MA and Rashed YF．BEM for theromoelasticity and elasticity with body force：a revisit．Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements 2001；25： 377－87．
［4］．Chen CS，Golberg MA and Hon YC．The method of fundamental solutions and quasi－Monte－Carlo method for diffusion equations．International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 1998；43：1421－35．
［5］．Chen JT，Chen IL and Lee YT．Eigensolutions of multiply connected membranes using the method of fundamental solutions．Engineering Analysis with Boundary Elements 2005；29： 166－174．
［6］．Chen JT，Lin SR and Chen KH．Degenerate scale for Laplace equation using the dual BEM． International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering 2005；62：233－261．
［7］．Chen JT，Wu CS，Lee YT and Chen KH．On the equivalence of the Trefftz method and method of fundamental solutions for Laplace and biharmonic equations．Computers and Mathematics with Applications，Accepted （2005）．
［8］．Chen JT，Chang MH，Chen KH and Lin SR． The boundary collocation method with meshless concept for acoustic eigenanalysis of two－dimensional cavities using radial basis function．Journal of Sound and Vibration 2002； 257：667－711．
［9］．Fairweather G and Karageorghis A．The method of fundamental solutions for elliptic boundary value problems．Advances in Computational Mathematics 1998；9：69－95．
［10］．Kupradze VD and Aleksidze MA．The method of functional equations for the approximate solution of certain boundary value problems． U．S．S．R．Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 1964；4：199－205．
［11］．Poullikkas A，Karageorghis A and Georgiou G． Methods of fundamental solutions for harmonic and biharmonic boundary value problems． Computational Mechanics 1998；21：416－23．
［12］．Saito K，Nakada M，Iijima K and Onishi K．A quasi－spectral method for Cauchy problem of 2D Laplace equation on an annulus．Journal de Physique 2005；12：128－139．
［13］．Young DL，Chen KH and Lee CW．Novel meshless method for solving the potential problems with arbitrary domain．Jurnal of Computational Physics 2005；209：290－321．


Figure 1 Laplace problem with holes


Figure 2 （a）Conventional MFS


Figure 2 （b）RMM


Figure 3 Problem sketch


Figure 4 Exact solution for the case 1


Figure 5 RMM for the case 1


Figure 6 problem sketch


Figure 7 Exact solution for the case 2


Figure 8 The norm error along radius $r=1.6$ versus total number of nodes


Figure 9 （a）RMM for the case 2


Figure 9 （b）BEM for the case 2


Figure 10 Problem sketch


Figure 11 Exact solution for the case 3


Figure 12 The norm error along radius $r=0.5$ versus total number of nodes


Figure 13 （a）RMM for the case 3


Figure 13 （b）BEM for the case 3

## 正規化無網格法求解含多洞

## 拉普拉斯問題

## 高政宏 ${ }^{1}$ 陳桂鴻 ${ }^{2}$ 陳正宗 ${ }^{1}$

＇國立台灣海洋大學河海工程學系 2稻江科技暨管理學院資訊管理學系

## 摘 要

在本論文中，使用正規化無網格法求解二維多連通拉普拉斯問題，利用勢能理論的雙層勢能法疊加出場解。藉由本研究所提出的去奇異技術可將核函數的奇異性與超強奇異性正規化，使得場點與源點可以同時分佈在相同的邊界上，因此可解得影響係數矩陣的主對角線項上的有限值。在本文中舉了Dirichlt，Numann及mixed－type三種邊界條件的多洞問題來測試，由本法所獲得之結果將與解析解及邊界元素法結果做比較，可獲得令人滿意的結果。

關鍵字：正規化無網格法，基本解法，超奇異性，多洞，雙層勢能。

